# Application Package AQ#1039

### TABLE OF CONTENTS – APPLICATION AQ#1039

- 1.0 APPLICANT'S AQUACULTURE LICENCE/LEASE APPLICATION
- 2.0 APPLICANT'S AQUACULTURE DEVELOPMENT PLAN
- 3.0 APPLICANT'S DEVELOPMENT PLAN ADDENDUM
  - 3.1 Baseline Assessment Report Addendum
  - 3.2 NS1039 Rattling Beach Boundary Amendment Addendum
- 4.0 APPLICANT'S SCOPING REPORT

## 1.0 APPLICANT'S AQUACULTURE LICENCE/LEASE APPLICATION



# **Aquaculture Amendment Application**

Licence/Lease No: 1039

#### **Applicant Information:**

Applicant: Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd	Business Registration No:
Contact Person: Jeffery Nickwerson	
Telephone No. (Work): <u>19025275536</u> (Home	e): (Cell): [
Fax No.: 15067551482 E-mail: jnickerson@cook	eaqua.com
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 33, Bridgewater, Nova Scotia	
	Postal Code: B4V 2W6
Civic Address:134 North Street, Bridgewater, Nova Scotia	
	Postal Code: <u>B4V 2W6</u>
<b>Amendment Request</b> : The amendment is requested for: (Check all appropria	ate hoxes)
□ Land-based	
	ellfish 🗌 Other species
<ul> <li>Change or addition of species</li> <li>Change of culture method</li> <li>Change of site boundaries (for marine applications)</li> </ul>	5)

□ Other change



Provide explanation of change requested. Add additional pages, as required.

We are requesting a boundary change to reflect the location the farm has been in for the last 15 years. There will be no increase in production.

#### **Application Materials**

A complete application includes the following:

- Amendment application fee (payable to Minister of Finance) according to Section 77 of the Aquaculture Licence and Lease Regulations for Nova Scotia made under Section 64, Chapter 25 of the Acts of 1996, the Fisheries and Coastal Resources Act
- Application Form
- Development Plan according to application
- Report on Public Engagement during Scoping (for adjudicative amendment applications and for other applications as applicable)

#### **Public Notice and Disclosure**

As part of the process for deciding on an aquaculture application, the Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture ("Fisheries and Aquaculture") will disclose application information to other government bodies, including, if applicable, the Nova Scotia Aquaculture Review Board for use at an adjudicative hearing relating to the application.

In accordance with departmental policy, which seeks to promote public involvement in the process for deciding on aquaculture applications, Fisheries and Aquaculture will disclose application information – not including, however, personal or business confidential information – on the departmental website.



Office Use Only

#### **Privacy Statement**

The personal and business confidential information collected as part of an aquaculture application will only be used or disclosed by Fisheries and Aquaculture for the purpose of deciding on the application.

All application information collected is subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act ("FOIPOP") and will only be used or disclosed in accordance with FOIPOP.

By signing and submitting this form, I acknowledge that I have read, understand, and accept the above statements regarding the collection, use, and disclosure of the information provided on this form.

Signature of Applicant	

Signature of Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture Designate

Date

November 22, 2017

Date

Submit completed applications to:

#### 2.0 APPLICANT'S AQUACULTURE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

\* The following Development Plan represents part of the adjudicative amendment application for Licence and Lease AQ#1039 - Rattling Beach. Baseline videos were recorded to support the Development Plan and have been provided to the Aquaculture Review Board on an external hard drive. The titles for the video files are listed below:

AQ#1039 Baseline 2016. ANB-01 AQ#1039 Baseline 2016. ANB-A AQ#1039 Baseline 2016. Corner 1 AQ#1039 Baseline 2016. Corner 2 AQ#1039 Baseline 2016. Corner 3 AQ#1039 Baseline 2016. Corner 5 AQ#1039 Baseline 2016. Corner 6 AQ#1039 Baseline 2016. Corner 7

NS1039 Rattling Beach – Boundary Amendment

Finfish Marine Aquaculture Development Plan

Kelly Cove Salmon 134 North Street. Bridgewater. NS. B4V2V6



## Table of Contents

Section 1	: Optimum Use of Marine Resources	. 1
Section 2	: The Contribution of the Proposed Operation to Community and Provincial	
Economic	Development	. 3
2.1	Production Plan	r
2.1		
2.2	Infrastructure	
2.3	Services and Suppliers	
2.4	Employment	. 5
2.5	Other Economic Contributions to the Local Community and Province	. 5
2.6	Financial Viability	. 5
2.7	Adverse Economic Impacts	. 5
Section 3	: Fisheries Activities in the Public Waters Surrounding the Proposed Aquacultural	
Operatio		
3.1	Status of Fisheries Activities	. 6
3.1.2		
3.1.3		-
Section 4	: Oceanographic and Biophysical Characteristics of the Public Waters	
4.1	Oceanographic Environment	
4.1.1		
4.1.		
4.1.3		
4.1.3	<b>.</b>	
4.1.5		
4.1.6		
4.1.7		
4.1.8		
4.1.9	10	
4.2	Baseline Monitoring	
4.3	Site Design	57
Section 5		
Operatio		58
5.1	Description of Other Users	58
5.1.2		
5.1.2		
5.1.3		
5.1.4		
5.1.5		
5.1.6		
5.1.7		
5.1.8	3 Geology and Archaeology	72
5.1.9	9 Shipwrecks	72
5.2	Significance of Proposed Area to Wildlife	75



5.2	2.1 National Wildlife Area	
5.2	2.2 Wetlands	75
5.2	2.3 Marine Protected Areas	75
5.2	2.4 Significant Habitat for Birds	75
5.2	2.5 Significance of Proposed Area to SARA	79
5.3	Impacts to Other Users Including Wildlife	89
5.3	3.1 Critical Habitat and Mitigation Plans for Wildlife	89
5.3	3.2 Impacts to Other Users	
5.4	Impacts by Other Users Including Wildlife	
5.4	4.1 Wildlife	
5.4	1.2 People Interaction	
Section	6: The Public Right of Navigation	
6.1	Navigation Protection Act Approval	
6.1	I.1 Notice of Works	
6.1	1.2 Transport Canada Approval Package	
6.1	1.3 Project Description	
Section	7: The Sustainability of Wild Salmon	
7.1	Identification of Local Salmon Populations	
7.2	Support of the Sustainability of Wild Salmon	101
7.2	2.1 Potential Impacts to the Wild Salmon Population	
7.2	2.2 Restoration Efforts	102
7.2		
Section 8	8. The Number and Productivity of Other Aquaculture Sites in the	Public Waters
Surroun	nding the Proposed Aquacultural Operation	104
8.1	Identification of Other Aquaculture Sites	104
8.2	Interactions with Other Aquaculture Operations	105
8.2	2.1 Environmental Conditions	105
8.2	2.2 Boat Traffic and Wharves	106
8.2	2.3 Shellfish and Atlantic Salmon Aquaculture	
List of Co	Contacts	109
Reference	nces	110
Appendi	lices	118
Appendi	lix A – Baseline Report	
Appendi	lix B – Financial Viability Letter	
Appendi	lix C – Notice of Works	
Appendi	lix D – Transport Canada Approval Package	
Appendi	lix E – Wildlife Interaction Plan	



#### Section 1: Optimum Use of Marine Resources

Aquaculture site Rattling Beach (#1039) is owned and operated by Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. (KCS). The marine farm consists of twenty, 49-m grid cells in a 2 x 10 configuration. The proposed lease incorporates all aquaculture-related gear, above and below the water line, with lease dimensions of 190 x 180 x 720 x 370 x 630 x 280 m, resulting in a farm area of 29.08 ha. The boundary amendment is necessary to incorporate all gear within the lease. KCS is not requesting an increase in production nor additional cages on site.

The general area around site #1039 appears on Canadian Hydrographic Service (CHS) Nautical chart #4396 (Annapolis Basin) and National Topographic System Map, Sector 021A (Annapolis Royale, Nova Scotia). The coordinates, obtained using DGPS, of the corners of the proposed lease area are located in Table 1.

Site #1039 is located on the western side of the Annapolis Basin, near the mouth of the Digby Gut channel in Digby County, Nova Scotia (Fig. 1). The site is approximately 2.5 km north of Digby. Rattling Beach is located in the Annapolis Basin, along with seven marine shellfish and two other marine finfish aquaculture sites. The basin also provides many different resources for humans and animals. Fishing, specifically lobster, scallops, and harvesting of rockweed, are also important activities contributing to the economic wellbeing of cities and towns surrounding the basin. In addition, this area is a significant habitat for migratory birds supported by the presence of unique microenvironments such as salt marshes, bogs, and fens. The basin is a tourist destination, were people enjoy whale watching, kayaking, camping, recreational fishing, and boating to name a few activities, in and surrounding Annapolis Basin. KCS has implemented policies and procedures to manage their farms and protect wildlife.

Aquaculture in the Annapolis Basin has been able to successfully co-exist with other resources in the area, providing increased employment and industry diversity. KCS is Cooke Aquaculture's farming division, and Cooke employs 152 people in Nova Scotia through its various divisions. Rattling Beach is an existing site and does not displace or adversely affect other industries in the area. Extensive benthic and water quality monitoring programs are in place at the site. KCS participates in various salmon restoration projects through Atlantic Canada and uses various operational measures to ensure wildlife interaction is as minimal and positive as possible.

APPROXIMATE SITE CO-ORDINATES (NAD 83)						
Corner	Corner Latitude Longitude					
1	44° 39' 27.6"	65° 45′ 24.3″				
2	44° 39' 28.2"	65°45′15.7″				
3	44° 39' 22.8"	65°45′12.5″				
4	44° 38' 59.6"	64°45′09.6″				
5	44° 38' 58.5"	64° 45′ 26.3″				
6	44° 39' 18.8"	64° 45′ 27.0″				
Approximate Site Center	44°39′13.6″	65°45′ 19.8″				

#### **Table 1.** Coordinates for the Boundary Amendment in Annapolis Basin

Rattling Beach NS1039

Finfish Marine Aquaculture Development Plan

November 2017






Figure 1.Proposed Boundary Location for Rattling Beach #1039 in Annapolis Basin



#### Section 2: The Contribution of the Proposed Operation to Community and Provincial Economic Development

#### 2.1 Production Plan

The expected grow out period is 22 months. In future years, actual stocking number may be lower or higher than projections and is determined by operational performance outcomes experienced at the site.

Species and Strain	Stock Source (hatchery)	Number of Cages and Type	Cage Size (m)	-	& Predator lume (m³)	Total Number of Fish Introduced	Mean Weight of Fish Introduced (g)	*Length of Grow- out Period	*Maximum Stocking Density (kg/m³)	*Maximum Biomass (kg)	Average Harvest Weight (kg)
Atlantic Salmon, Saint John	Any KCS owned and operated	20, HDPE	100m	Pred.	8042	660,000	150	20-21 months	25	3,504,000	6
River	hatchery			Rear.	7238						

Table 2.	Projected maximum values for	production cycle (assuming	g a mortality of 10% and an FCR of 1.2)
----------	------------------------------	----------------------------	---

\*Approximate time frame

**Table 3.**Harvest Plan Details

End Date	Date of Re-entry	Expected Fallow Period (months)
Jan	June	4
2018	2018	



#### 2.2 Infrastructure

Rattling beach is an existing site with the required infrastructure required to support operations already in place. Site infrastructure includes a feed barge and net cages. A lobster boat and/or skiff is used for transportation to and around the site.

Containment is an essential part of a marine finfish farm. The equipment and infrastructure must be of sturdy construction and take many factors into consideration, such as the weather, currents, ice flow, etc. to make sure the fish will stay contained.

In addition to the essential containment of fish in the marine environment, the equipment and infrastructure must be considered from a fish health perspective. Mechanical damage from either ill repaired equipment or infrastructure or improper choice of equipment or infrastructure is a welfare and fish health concern.

Cage enclosures must account for the control of predators in the natural environment as predators such as birds and seals can cause stress, injuries and losses.

GMG is the Fish Services division of Cooke Aquaculture Inc. and a sister company to Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. GMG provides the moorings for installation and the specifications were determined to be adequate based on product testing completed by GMG.

The moorings were designed based on historical winds, wave heights, oceanography, currents and KCS's 30 years of farming experience. Each area of the grid was designed to withstand different maximum loads based on the previous criteria.

The composition of the cage components such as the hand rail, float pipes, bird stands and weight rings are HDPE.

Multiple KCS vessels are used to service the cages at the site depending on the required task. Vessels included are; feeding boats, feed barge, skiffs and maintenance barge.

While in use, the vessels will be moored to the cages, otherwise they will be moored to the wharf or in service at other locations. A feed barge has its own unique mooring system and will remain on site with the cages.

Access to shore is necessary for all marine finfish sites. Wharves may be private or shared by multiple users or multiple sites and are suitable for site activities. The wharf used by this facility is the Digby Town Wharf.

#### 2.3 Services and Suppliers

Although Kelly Cove Salmon is a vertically integrated company, it utilizes local suppliers whenever possible. Types of suppliers used by KCS in Nova Scotia include: divers, mechanics, boat repair facilities, hardware providers, welders, heavy equipment operators, crane operators, marine supplies, fuel distribution companies, environmental consultants, electricians, boat brokers, boat builders, engine suppliers, hotels, restaurants, and ferries.



#### 2.4 Employment

Rattling Beach site is an important component of Kelly Cove Salmon's success in Nova Scotia. Kelly Cove Salmon (KCS) is Cooke Aquaculture's farming division. Cooke Aquaculture employs over 1600 people in Atlantic Canada, with 152 people employed in NS. Kelly Cove Salmon's positions range from feed and maintenance technicians, fish health and environmental management professionals and technical support to administrative positions. The majority of positions offered by KCS in Nova Scotia are full-time. Cooke's operations also contribute to employment in service and supply industries, as listed in 2.3 – Services and Suppliers. In addition, our feed division, Northeast Nutrition Inc., is based in Truro and our Distribution Company, AC Covert is based in Dartmouth.

#### 2.5 Other Economic Contributions to the Local Community and Province

KCS contributes to the local economy in Digby and Nova Scotia by utilizing the services and suppliers listed in section 2.3 – Services and Suppliers. Services and suppliers are located within Nova Scotia, and whenever possible, Digby.

#### 2.6 Financial Viability

See Appendix B for a letter asserting financial viability of the operation.

#### 2.7 Adverse Economic Impacts

The Rattling Beach site does not displace any other industry. Kelly Cove Salmon actively communicates with other local industries and permits local fishers to utilize the lease area for fishing. There are no expected adverse economic impacts.



#### Section 3: Fisheries Activities in the Public Waters Surrounding the Proposed Aquacultural Operation

#### 3.1 Status of Fisheries Activities

#### 3.1.1 Commercial Fisheries

There are over 500 species of fish found in Atlantic Canada and most of them are present off the coast of Nova Scotia. However, the number of commercially harvested finfish is much less than this and can be roughly grouped into two categories: 1) groundfish, which occur on or close to the seafloor, and 2) pelagic fish, which occur in the water column usually away from the bottom.

Various shellfish and seaweeds also support commercial fisheries. In 2015, the top five groundfish and pelagic species landed included herring, haddock, hake, redfish spp. and pollock (Table 4; Fisheries and Oceans 2017a).



2015 ATL	ANTIC COAST COMMERCIAL LAND		VIN	
	(metric tonnes, li			
	Ν	lova Scotia		Atlantic
	Maritimes	Gulf	Total	Total
Groundfish				
Atlantic Cod	1,458	22	1,480	12,234
Haddock	17,460	0	17,460	17,689
Redfish spp.	5,971	0	5,972	10,406
Halibut (Atlantic)	2,651	68	2,718	3,942
Flatfishes	1,612	130	1,742	9,124
Greenland turbot	Х	Х	Х	14,059
Pollock	3,855	0	3,855	4,046
Hake	7,842	6	7,848	8,089
Cusk	Х	0	0	200
Catfish	0	0	0	0
Skate	Х	0	0	241
Dogfish	Х	Х	0	0
Other	2,150	90	2,240	2,299
Total	43,292	319	43,611	82,330
Pelagic & other finfish				
Herring	40,939	5,638	46,576	114,200
Mackerel	852	332	1,183	4,143
Swordfish	1,579	0	1,579	1,579
Tuna	574	76	650	879
Alewife	Х	Х	434	2,202
Eel	19	2	21	241
Salmon (Atlantic)	0	0	0	0
Smelt	Х	Х	0	114
Silversides	0	0	0	254
Shark	89	0	89	89
Capelin	0	0	0	36,942
Other	27	0	27	67
Total	44,511	6,049	50,560	160,709
GRAND TOTAL	246,581	16,497	263,078	687,967

## **Table 4.** Atlantic Coast Commercial Landings for 2015Note: sourced from Fisheries and Oceans (2017a)

Note: X = Values have been suppressed to meet confidentiality requirements

November 2017



#### <u>Groundfish</u>

There are many commercially harvested species of groundfish off the south shore of Nova Scotia. The most common traditional fisheries include cod, haddock, and pollock. Fisheries for cod, haddock, and pollock occur mainly on the large fishing banks and in the Bay of Fundy. The fishery is conducted using mobile gear (otter trawl) and fixed gear (longline, handline, and gillnet) with the most active time of year being July through September (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2014. Haddock in 4X is in a rebuilding phase with a positive outlook; recruitment trends look very positive with spawning stocks continuing to increase in biomass since the last decade (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2017c. However, fish size is decreasing at age (Showell et al. 2013). Cod in 4X demonstrate poor juvenile recruitment and low biomass levels, although there is considerable uncertainty regarding stock status; this stock is accessed by a very large number of fishing vessels and sectors (Clark et al. 2015). O'Boyle (2012) listed Western Scotian Shelf cod as critical. The pollock fishery in the Western Scotian Shelf (WSS), which reached historic lows in 2000, has since increased due to improved recruitment; though, it is still considered to be in the cautious (i.e. considered neither healthy nor critical) state (O'Boyle 2012). The commercial value for haddock, cod and pollock for the Maritimes region of Nova Scotia is \$26 million, \$3 million and \$4 million, respectively (Fisheries and Oceans 2017b).

Flatfish are also important commercial groundfish but they are caught mostly on the fishing banks and deeper areas (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2014). In NAFO Divisions 4X5Y, these species are halibut, yellowtail flounder, American plaice, winter flounder, and witch flounder (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2014). Overall, most flatfish species in this area are in decline or at low levels. Winter flounder is better in overall status with some positive indicators present (O'Boyle 2012), but American plaice stock status was still in decline as of 2009 and COSEWIC considers the Maritime population to be threatened (COSEWIC 2009a). O'Boyle (2012) had considered silver-hake stock status to be critical; however, recent biomass estimates have shown a large increase in number in 2014 (DFO 2015a). Halibut stocks, however, appear to be improving and the biological information for this species continues to develop (DFO 2015b). The commercial value for halibut and flatfishes for the Maritimes region of Nova Scotia is \$37 million and \$2.5 million, respectively (Fisheries and Oceans 2017b).

The Rattling Beach site is present in the Maritimes Statistical Districts 38 & 39. For 2015, 177,099 kg of groundfish was landed in this district with a value of \$420,741. Key species landed include: Atlantic cod, witch flounder, haddock, halibut, monkfish, Pollock, redfish, sculpin, skate, white hake, and winter flounder. Both sculpin and winter flounder were noted as species caught for bait (C. O'Neil, pers. com.).

Figures 2 – 5 show the approximate groundfish landings off the coast of Nova Scotia between 1999 and 2003 (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2014.)


#### Species list

Atlantic pollock (*Pollachius virens*) Haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) American plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*) Winter, yellowtail, and witch flounder (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus, Limanda ferruginea* and *Glyptocephalus cynoglossus*)



Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*) Monkfish (*Lophius americanus*) Skate (unknown species) Cusk (*Brosme brosme*), restricted to by-catch only Sculpin (unknown species) Redfish (*Sebastes* sp.) Silver hake (*Merluccius bilinearis*) White hake (*Urophycis tenuis*), restricted to by-catch only





**Figure 2.** Commercial Groundfish Landings (1999 – 2003)







Figure 3. Commercial Cod, Haddock, and Pollock Landings (1999 – 2003)





**Figure 4.** Commercial Flatfish Landings (1999 – 2003)






Figure 5. Commercial Halibut Landings (1999 – 2003)



#### Pelagics

Southwest Nova Scotia / Bay of Fundy herring spawning component have been of concern for a decade or more, and stock status reports have indicated the need for rebuilding (Clark et al. 2012). Clark et al. (2012) presented evidence of the decline in spawning grounds, targeting of juveniles in the fishery, and declines in catches. Recent biomass estimates have shown uncertainty; however, long-term trends show a general decrease in German Bank from 1999 and an increase in Scots Bay from 2005 (DFO 2016). Approximated moving biomass averages for the Southwest Nova Scotia / Bay of Fundy area have indicated slight decreases over the past three years (DFO 2016c). The herring fishery largely takes place on dense summer feeding, overwintering, and spawning locations and is dominated by purse seine, gillnet, and weir (Figure 6; DFO 2016). Commercial value of the herring fishery for the Maritimes region of Nova Scotia is \$14 million (Fisheries and Oceans 2017b).

The Northwest Atlantic mackerel stock ranges from North Carolina to Labrador and has northern and southern spawning contingents (TRAC 2010). The Department of Fisheries and Oceans considered the status of the Atlantic mackerel stock to be in critical condition due to low abundances in egg and spawning biomass and appropriate reconstruction methods are being implemented (DFO 2017a). The mackerel fishery is conducted with traps, gillnets, and handlines and is primarily an inshore fishery of the spring and summer months and extends into more offshore waters for the fall and winter (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2014a). Because of high fishing mortality, mackerel landings of recent years (2011 - 2016) have decreased within the Northwest Atlantic region when compared to numbers from years previous (DFO 2017a). Figure 7 illustrates the general distribution of mackerel fishing activities in Atlantic waters. Commercial value of the mackerel fishery for the Maritimes region of Nova Scotia is \$4.5 million (Fisheries and Oceans 2017b).

The small pelagic fisheries are Scotia-Fundy wide, meaning that any gillnet license holder may fish in the area.

The North Atlantic swordfish stock has been rebuilt after a 10-year recovery plan commencing in 1999. This fishery is now sustainable and well controlled with Canadian annual landings of 1,505 t in 2013 being exported to the United States at a value of \$12.3 million (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2015a). Swordfish (Fig. 8) are caught using longline and harpoon primarily along the edge of Georges Bank, the Scotian Shelf, and the Grand Banks in vessels often less than 65 feet; DFO lists principal ports in Nova Scotia as Woods Harbour, West Head, and Pubnico (Lower East, Lower West, East) (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2016a). The bluefin tuna (Fig. 9) is the most common tuna found off the coast of Nova Scotia and is fished with tended line, rod and reel, harpoon, longline, and trap nets (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2014). The International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT 2014) consider Atlantic bluefin and albacore tuna stocks overfished from 2010 and 2012 stock assessments, which indicated low recruitment. The bluefin and albacore tuna stocks are of a critical status whereas the bigeye and yellowfin tuna stocks are considered healthy (O'Boyle 2012). Commercial value of swordfish and tuna for the Maritime region of Nova Scotia is \$12.9 million and \$4.8 million, respectively.

In Maritimes Statistical Districts 38 & 39, pelagic landings and associated value was not separated in the provided "other species" category. For 2015, 11,262,144 kg was landed comprising of species other than groundfish and lobster with a value of \$29,096,031. Key species include: Elver and herring (C. O'Neil, pers. com.).

November 2017




<u>Species list</u> North Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) Swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) Elver (*Anguilla rostrata*) Atlantic herring (*Clupea harengus*) Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*) Alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*)



Area of proposed	Herring Landings (1999-2003) metric tonnes Quantile breaks Quantile breaks 0.00 - 0.09 0.10 - 2.06 2.07 - 37.00 37.01 - 142.00 142.01 - 27,001.20 Herring Management boundaries Sub-unit management boundaries Sub-unit management boundaries
boundary amendment	Herring Landings (1999-2003) metric tonnes         Quantile breaks         0.00 - 0.09         0.10 - 2.06         2.07 - 37.00         37.01 - 142.00         142.01 - 27,001.20         Herring Management         20         Herring fishing areas         Sub-unit management
	metric tonnes
	Quantile breaks
	0.00 - 0.09
	0.10 - 2.06
	2.07 - 37.00 37.01 - 142.00
	142.01 - 27,001.20
20	Herring Management
	20   Herring fishing areas     -   Sub-unit management
Charlen and a second seco	boundaries
	Embayment line
	•••••• 65°30' west boundary line
	25 nautical miles from embayment line
	Special Management
	Trinity Ledge herring spawning
	closure
	Source: Fisheries and Oceans Canada


**Figure 6.** Commercial Herring Landings (1999 – 2003)





**Figure 7.** Commercial Mackerel Landings (1999 – 2003)





**Figure 8.** Commercial Large Pelagic Fish Landings, Excluding Bluefin Tuna (1999 – 2003)






Figure 9.Commercial Bluefin Tuna Landings (1999 – 2003)



#### Shellfish and Other Invertebrates

There are several shellfish species that are harvested off Nova Scotia and included are such commercially important species as scallops, lobsters, shrimp, clams/quahaug and crabs (Table 5; Fisheries and Oceans 2017a). Also harvested are sea cucumber and sea urchins.

## Table 5. Atlantic Coast Commercial Landings for 2015 Note: Source from Fisheries and Oceans (2017)

	eries and Oceans (2017a) NTIC COAST COMMERCIAL LANDING	S. BY REGION		
	(metric tonnes, l			
Species		Nova Scotia		Atlanti
-	Maritimes	Gulf	Total	Total
Shellfish				
Clams / quahaug	16,424	9	16,433	31,292
Oyster (1)	0	Х	48	1,370
Scallop (2)	55,233	64	55,297	61,062
Squid	Х	0	Х	15
Mussel (3)	0	0	0	(
Lobster	45,355	3,900	49,255	90,875
Shrimp	Х	Х	25,711	134,603
Crab, Queen	12,036	5,586	17,623	93,519
Crab, Other	435	326	761	5,162
Whelks	Х	0	Х	3,602
Cockles	Х	0	Х	124
Sea cucumber	2,551	0	2,551	6,504
Sea urchin	Х	0	Х	2,319
Other	0	0	0	(
Total	157,984	10,129	168,113	430,455
Subtotal	245,787	16,497	262,284	673,495
Others				
Marine plants	150	0	150	11,579
Lumpfish roe	0	0	0	33
Miscellaneous (4)	644	0	644	2,860
Total	794	4	298	14,472
GRAND TOTAL (5)	246,581	16,497	263,078	687,967

Note: X = Values have been suppressed to meet confidentiality requirements

(1) Oyster: Atlantic includes wild and farmed data.

- (2) Scallop includes meat with roe.
- (3) PEI mussels are now classified under "aquaculture" because they are a farmed product.
- (4) Miscellaneous value includes seal value.
- (5) Totals may not add up due to rounding.

Source: Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), Economic Analysis and Statistics



Invertebrate fisheries constitute the largest piece of the Nova Scotia fishery (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2014), of which the lobster fishery is the primary component. In 2015, Nova Scotia landed over ~50,000 t of lobster valued at \$695 million (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2017a, Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2017d). The inshore lobster fishery accounts for ~ 90% of the lobster landings (Coffen-Smout et al. 2013, Serdynska & Coffen-Smout et al. (2017)) (Fig. 10), in which the landings have more than doubled in the past 20 years (NSDFA 2014). The proposed farm falls within lobster fishing area (LFA) 35. Typical lobster grounds are characterized by a hard seafloor such as ledge, boulder, or cobble (Lawton 1993) whereas the proposed aquaculture farm is located over mostly gravel and sandy conditions. However, lobster fishermen are known to set their traps in waters ranging from a few feet deep to 25 fathoms and on various bottom types (C. MacDonald, pers. com.).

The Jonah-crab fishery occurs in both offshore and coastal areas of southwestern Nova Scotia; the rock crab is primarily found in shallow, nearshore areas (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2014d) (Fig. 11). An exploratory snow-crab fishery in NAFO Division 4X (the western portion of CFA 24) was initiated in 1994; catches are relatively low from 4X (generally less than 350 t per year), the season extends from November to May and only one area is considered commercially important (DFO 2017c) (Fig. 12). Commercial snow (queen) crab landings for 2015 and 2016 are illustrated in Figure 13, which indicates that the proposed boundary amendment of Rattling Beach does not fall within a snow crab fishing area. Snow crab is the second most valuable Canadian fishery export product, and the Scotia-Fundy fishable biomass has increased in most areas (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2015b). The commercial value of snow crab for the Maritimes region of Nova Scotia is \$38.9 million.

Shrimp represents Canada's fourth most valuable seafood export, with the northern shrimp being the most abundant in Atlantic Canadian waters. The fishery uses demersal otter trawl fishing vessels both in the inshore and offshore fishery. Initially, SFA 16 was the primary area for shrimp fishing on the Scotian Shelf (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2014b). In shrimp fishing area 16, several licenses are largely inactive due to low shrimp abundance in this area (Seafish 2015; Fig 14); however, Fisheries and Oceans maintain the stock biomass as being in the healthy zone (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2015c).

The commercial fishery for scallops is typically offshore, although a smaller inshore fishery does occur along parts of the Atlantic coast (Fig. 15). Historically, the area off Digby, in the Bay of Fundy, has been the key area for the inshore fishery (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2014). SPA 4 and 5 were joined under one Total Allowable Catch (TAC) limit for the 2013/2014 fishing season (Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2017e). Scallop production areas (SPAs) 4 and 5 are located off Digby and in Annapolis Basin, respectively. Scallops caught in SPA 4 were 227 t and in SPA 5 were 6.5 t for the 2016 fishing season (Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2017d; Fig. 16). The catch rate increased in both SPA 4 and 5 in comparison to 2015. The commercial biomass in SPA 4 is in the healthy zone (Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2017e). In 2016, the number and weight per ton of commercial scallop in SPA 5 were significantly above the medians of the 1996 to 2008 survey series, while recruit number and weight per ton were above historic long-term recruit medians in 1990 to 2008. The commercial value of the scallop fishery for the Maritimes region of Nova Scotia is \$167 million dollars.

In Maritimes Statistical Districts 38 & 39, invertebrate landings and associated value was not separated in the provided "other species" category except for lobster. For 2015, 11,262,144 kg was landed comprising of species other than groundfish and lobster with a value of \$29,096,031. Key invertebrate species landed include: clams (Bar, quahaugs, soft



shell and unspecified), rock crabs, sea scallops and sea urchins. Bait fisheries for rock crab were noted for these districts. In 2015, reported lobster landings weighed 2,898,078 kg with a value of \$40,951,586 (C. O'Neil, pers. com.).

The area of the proposed fish farm falls within shellfish harvesting area NS-18-010-001 (Fig. 17). The majority of Annapolis Basin is classed as conditionally approved waters for harvesting shellfish; however, the Rattling Beach site is between waters to the north and the south which are prohibited for harvesting. Figure 17 was produced by Environment Canada (D. MacArthur, pers. comm.).

#### Species list

Lobster (Homarus americanus) Shrimp (Pandalus borealis) Rock crab and Jonah crab (Cancer irroratus and C. borealis) Green crab (Carcinus maenas) Scallop (Placopecten magellanicus) Sea urchin (Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis) Soft-shell clam (Mya arenaria) Bar clam (Spisula solidissima) Quahaug (Mercenaria mercenaria)






Figure 10.Total Lobster Catch<br/>Note: sourced from Serdynska & Coffen-Smout et al. (2017)






Figure 11. Commercial Crab Landings (1999 – 2003)







Figure 12. Commercial Snow Crab Landings (1999 – 2003)

November 2017






**Figure 13.** Commercial Snow Crab Landings (DFO 2017b)

November 2017










Figure 15. Commercial Scallop Landings (1999 – 2003)






Figure 16.Scallop Production AreasNote: sourced from Fisheries and Oceans (2017e)






Figure 17.Shellfish Harvesting Classifications of the Annapolis Basin AreaNote: DFO is the central CSSP agency with respect to the real-time status of shellfish growing areaclassifications. DFO should be contacted directly for information on shellfish area closures.



#### <u>Seaweeds</u>

Marine plants harvested commercially in Nova Scotia include rockweed (*Ascophyllum nodosum*), Irish moss (*Chrondus Chrispus*), dulse (*Palmaria palmata*), and kelp (*Saccharina latissima, S. groenlandica* and *Laminaria digitata*). In 2013, approximately 332 t of marine plants were landed in Nova Scotia at a value of nearly \$107,560 (NSDFA 2013).

In Nova Scotia, *Ascophyllum* is harvested for animal fodder, fertilizer, and other specialty products. Irish moss is commonly harvested for carrageenan, which is used in the food industry for its thickening and stabilizing properties. Though the species is not under any immediate threat, Nova Scotian Irish moss populations are beginning to experience signs of increase in site-specific harvesting pressure, and protection methods are beginning to be recognized (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2013). Harvest rates of rockweed in Annapolis Basin have remained high over the past 30 years; however, these rates indicate that the habitat value of these beds is significantly altered and takes years to recover. Reassessment of long-term impacts on habitat and the ecosystem are important in determining future harvest rates.

The province of Nova Scotia has jurisdiction over the issuing of rockweed licenses. A provincial representative from NSDFA explained that rockweed harvesting can coexist with aquaculture and no conflict is anticipated between the industries (J. Huston, pers. com.). This is because rockweed harvesting takes place in shallow, intertidal water but aquaculture farms require deeper water. Irish moss also occurs low in the intertidal and into the shallow subtidal and is harvested with a hand rake (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2013). Harvesting *Ascophyllum* is considered a high-risk activity as these plants and other biota can be damaged due to harvest. Annapolis Basin has a history of overharvest impacts; one full closure of the basin resulted when harvest rates were greater than 50%. Therefore, mitigation actions such as seasonal closures during peak growth or reproductive effort may be necessary to ensure population status (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2013). There are currently no rockweed leases in place for Annapolis Basin; however, two (2) applications have been received for this area and are currently being processed by the Province of Nova Scotia (W. Vissers, pers. com.; Fig.18).






Figure 18.Rockweed Licences in Nova ScotiaNote: sourced from Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture

### 3.1.2 Recreational Fisheries

Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada was contacted for recreational fishing landings; however, this data is not available through their database (C. O'Neil, pers. com.). Local angling associations, such as the Nova Scotia Association of Anglers and Hunters, have been contacted but they do not record landing numbers.

Nova Scotia is divided into six recreational fishing areas (RFA's) to all for regional management. The Annapolis Basin is surrounded by two counties – Annapolis and Digby. The recreational fishing Area 4 encompasses Digby, Queens, Shelburne and Yarmouth while Area 5 is comprised of Annapolis, Kings and Hants. There were approximately 80,000 anglers in 2014 (NSDFA 2017). The most common freshwater species being fished in Areas 4 and 5 include: trout (rainbow, speckled and brook), small mouth bass, chain pickerel and shad are the most common freshwater species being fished by




recreational fishermen. The season for smallmouth bass, all species of trout, and chain pickerel is April 1 to October 31. There is no closed season for tidal waters, however in non-tidal water the season runs from April 1 to October 31. The bag limit is 5 fish for shad, bass and trout species and 25 fish for those fishing chain pickerel. NSDFA encourages anglers to visit their website on a regular basis, to ensure they are knowledgeable about current laws and regulation.

Table 6 provides a list of number of recreational fishing guides in Areas 4 and 5 and species fished (NSDFA 2017).

Company	Name of Guide	<b>Contact Information</b>	Area	Species
NS Wilderness			5	-Speckled, rainbow & brown trout
Guide Service				-smallmouth bass
				-other freshwater and saltwater
				species
N/A			5	-speckled & brown trout
				-rainbow trout
				-Atlantic salmon
N/A			5	-Speckled & brown trout
				-small mouth bass
				-Shad; chain Pickerel
				-Atlantic salmon
Natures Point			4	-bass
of View				-trout
N/A			4	-speckled trout
				-smallmouth bass
N/A			4	-saltwater species
N/A			4	-trout
				-smallmouth bass
				-chain pickerel
				-mackerel
Munro's			5	-speckled & brown trout
Mountain				-small mouth bass
Maple				
Hurricane			5	-speckled, brown, & rainbow trout
Harvesting				-smallmouth bass
				-chain pickerel
N/A			5	-speckled trout
				-smallmouth bass
				-chain pickerel
Dave's Guiding			5	-speckled and rainbow trout
Service				-smallmouth bass
				-Atlantic salmon
				-chain pickerel
N/A			5	-salmon
-				-trout
				-striped bass
				-shad

**Table 6.**Recreation Fishing Guides in Areas 4 &5

Rattling Beach NS1039 Finfish Marine Aquaculture Development Plan



Salmon angling seasons in all salmon fishing areas (SFA) in the Maritimes Region (SFA – 23) are closed due to conservation concerns with the exception of three rivers in SFA 19 (Cape Breton East; Fisheries and Oceans 2015e).

Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada was contacted for recreational fishing landings; however this data is not available through their database (C. O'Neil, pers. com.). In addition,

Nova Scotia Federation of Anglers & Hunters (NSFAH) was contacted to obtain information regarding recreational fishing efforts in the Digby and Annapolis Counties. **The Control of State Control** 

#### 3.1.3 Aboriginal Fisheries

Aboriginal landings were reported in Maritimes Statistical Districts 38 & 39 however the landing data, species fished, value and fishing effort was not provided by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (C. O'Neil, pers. com.).

The DFO Area Director for Acadia, Annapolis Valley and Bear River DFO was contacted for information on Aboriginal fisheries, but at the time of this report, an answer had not been received (pending communications with F. Quinn).

Relative fishing efforts cannot be reported as the information is unavailable.

The Bear River First Nation was contacted multiple times with no response.

#### 3.2 Impact on Fisheries Activities

The Environmental Monitoring Program Framework for Marine Aquaculture in Nova Scotia -June 2017 (NS EMP Framework 2017) lays out a series of principles and criteria to guide the management process and to determine levels of monitoring and mitigation for each aquaculture site. The document Standard Operating Procedures for the Environmental Monitoring of Marine Aquaculture in Nova Scotia -June 2017 (NS EMP SOP 2017) describes the procedures that support the application of the framework.

The NS EMP Framework 2017 focuses on benthic marine habitat in the immediate vicinity of the aquaculture site. Although sediment sulfide concentration is the key indicator for this environmental monitoring program, a suite of sediment variables are used to validate sulfide. In addition, benthic video collected at each monitoring station is required.

Benthic monitoring allows the assessment of organic loading beneath and around areas of aquaculture production, one of the primary concerns regarding aquaculture impacts on the environment. KCS adheres to the NS EMP Framework 2017 in Nova Scotia.



The monitoring strategy for this KCS sites follows the guidelines and procedures outlined in NS EMP Framework and NS EMP SOP 2017. An annual EMP monitoring event will occur during July 1<sup>st</sup> to October 31<sup>st</sup> of each year.

The site follows standard best management practices for rearing fish in a marine environment. These practices have controls in place to mitigate potential environmental effects. However, the site must also have a selection of additional mitigation strategies to apply if an environmental compliance threshold is exceeded.

In the event poor environmental performance is determined through monitoring, the site must implement mitigation. Furthermore, the site must update their mitigation plan to address the poor environmental performance and submit the updated plan to NSDFA.

These mitigation strategies must be based on best management practices and a hazard analysis of Environmental Impacts. For the Environmental Impact mitigation plan, this must be followed by an examination of each hazard to determine which process steps have the most significant hazards and therefore could have additional controls to reduce environmental impact.

Each site has a different risks of algae blooms and therefore algae monitoring requirements should be determined on a site by site basis, according to history and best available knowledge.

Algae monitoring will take place at the site on a weekly basis from May to October. Water samples will be collected by the Site Manager at the surface of the water near the center of the farm; once the sample is collected it may be stored on ice – depending on time until samples are delivered. Samples are sent to the office in Bridgewater where they are analyzed by trained staff and recorded.

Due to natural cycles and processes such as seasons, thermoclines, weather, haloclines, algal blooms, etc., it is of utmost importance to monitor water quality. Monitoring specific water parameters will aid the farmer in preparedness for dealing with health issues, assist with feeding regimes, and allow mitigative actions to be taken when conditions are less than optimum.

KCS provides detailed maps and diagrams of their sites when requested. These maps and diagrams show the location of all above and underwater infrastructure, thus aiding in fishing efforts. KCS reports harmful algal blooms to the province of Nova Scotia which can benefit invertebrate fishing activities within the Annapolis Basin.

A healthy marine environment is paramount to the site's operation. If the marine environment is poor enough to affect fisheries activities, it would also be detrimental to the site's production.



#### Section 4: Oceanographic and Biophysical Characteristics of the Public Waters

#### 4.1 Oceanographic Environment

#### 4.1.1 Wind

The proposed boundary amendment of NS aquaculture site #1039 is located near the channel at the entrance to Annapolis Basin, on the Fundy shore of Nova Scotia. The site is sheltered from the south around to the northwest due to its proximity to the mainland of Nova Scotia. The most significant wind directions for this site are from the east-northeast around to the south-southeast, to which the site is exposed to the greatest fetches.

The following wind speed data, including Figures 19 and 20, were collected from the Wind and Wave Climate Atlas – Volume I: The East Coast of Canada, for the Nova Scotian Shore, prepared by MacLaren Plansearch Ltd. (1991). Winds speed of less than 25 knots occur 90.9% of the time on the south shore of Nova Scotia. Storm force winds (i.e. > 45 knots) occur only 0.2% of the time. The most common wind directions are southwest (~20% occurrence) and west (~17.5% occurrence) while the least common wind directions are from the northeast (~7.5% occurrence), east (~6% occurrence), and southeast (~5.5% occurrence). Historically, the months with the highest mean wind speeds in the area have been January and December. During these months, the most frequent wind directions are from the northwest, north, and west, respectively. Annual wind statistics for the Fundy shore of Nova Scotia are presented in Figure 19 and summary graphs of average monthly wind speeds are presented in Figure 20.

Wind speed and direction data were also collected from the Brier Island weather station (Environment Canada 2017a) at Digby Neck, located at N44° 17' 09.000" W66° 20' 48.000". Data collected between April 1, 2011 and August 31, 2018 were used to produce the wind-rose plot of Figure 21. Based on this data, the most common and strongest winds in the Annapolis Basin area occur between 145 and 175° (coming from approximately the south-southeast through the southwest). Most commonly, wind speeds are between 2 and 8 km/h (Fig. 22). Maximum wind speed and direction recorded at the Brier Island weather station is presented in Table 6.

Date of Maximum Wind of the Year	Wind Speed (km/hr)	Wind Direction	
February 13, 2017	91	Ν	
February 16, 2016	92	SE	
February 15, 2015	95	NNW	
March 26, 2014	107	NNW	
February 17, 2013 March 26, 2013	95	NW	
December 30, 2012	91	NNW	
October 10, 2011 December 8, 2011	83	NW	

Table 7. M	Aaximum Wind S	peed and Direction	Measured at the Brien	· Island Weather Station
------------	----------------	--------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------









Figure 19.Annual Wind Statistics for the Bay of Fundy Shore<br/>Note: sourced from MacLaren Plansearch Ltd. (1991)









November 2017






Figure 21.Wind-rose Plot of Brier Island Weather Station Data Collected Between April 1, 2011 and August 31, 2017<br/>Note: the bars on the plot indicate the direction the wind was coming from<br/>Data sourced from Environment Canada (2017a)






Figure 22.Frequency of Wind Speed Observed at the Brier Island Weather Station between April 1, 2011 and August 31, 2017<br/>Data sourced from Environment Canada (2017a)



#### 4.1.2 Waves

The following wave height data, including Figures 23 and 24, were collected from the Wind and Wave Climate Atlas – Volume I: The East Coast of Canada, prepared by MacLaren Plansearch Ltd. (1991).

Wave heights of 6 m and greater are generally associated with winds speeds of 30 knots or more. Waves of less than 3 m in height were recorded 89.9% of the time while waves greater than 5 m were recorded only 1.0% of the time. Waves reaching the Fundy shore of Nova Scotia most commonly come from the southwest (24.7%) and west (18.9%). The aquaculture site at Rattling Beach is sheltered by land for these directions. The largest wave heights (i.e. > 5 m) generally come from the east. Waves coming from west, southwest, northwest, northeast, and southeast very rarely exceed 3.5 m in height. The greatest monthly average wave height for the Nova Scotian shore is 1.1 m, which occurs in the months of January, and December. Annual wave height statistics for the Nova Scotia shore are presented in Figure 23 and summary graphs of the average monthly wave heights are presented in Figure 24

Wave height data was also obtained from the National Data Buoy Center (NOAA 2017) to determine maximum waves. Data presented in Table 7 were collected by the Jonesport, Maine station 44027 buoy, which is located 20 nautical miles southeast of Jonesport (N44° 17' 13" W67° 18' 27"). The Jonesport, Maine buoy is the nearest buoy to the Rattling Beach site for which wave data is available.



Date of Maximum Wave of the Year	Wave Height (m)	Mean Wave Direction	Wave Period (s)	Sustained Wind Speed (knots)	Gusts (knots)	Wind Direction
March 15, 2017	5.78	SSE	7.16	28.2	35.6	N/A
December 30, 2016	5.78	SSW	6.3	38.9	48.6	N/A
January 27, 2015	8.43	N/A	9.09	38.9	48.2	Ν
February 15, 2014	6.12	N/A	12.12	33.2	42.6	WNW
November 27, 2013	6.55	N/A	10.0	15.6	45.1	SSE
January 14, 2012	7.18	N/A	11.43	35.0	42.0	WSW
November 23, 2011	4.8	N/A	8.33	15.0	43.3	NNE
January 26, 2010	6.07	N/A	9.09	33.6	41.8	SSE
December 10, 2009	6.29	N/A	10.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
January 8, 2009	5.66	N/A	11.43	30.5	38.9	WSW
October 29, 2008	8.08	N/A	11.43	33.0	39.7	SSW
February 15, 2007	6.88	N/A	10.81	34.8	42.6	SE
October 29, 2006	7.81	N/A	11.43	36.0	43.9	SW
November 23, 2005	6.82	N/A	12.12	23.9	30.3	SSW


November 2017



#### SIGNIFICANT WAVE HEIGHT STATISTICS EAST COAST AREA 1 - BAY OF FUNDY PERCENTAGE FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE BY DIRECTION Annuol MONTHLY DATA STATISTICS ODGP Waverider Direction - coming from NE Total Obs Ste 95X Freq Num Mean Dev Dir Ob s Lim Lie m m from m 0.0 - < 0.5 m 0.5 0.3 0.5 0.6 2.0 1.0 7.2 313 0.7 1.6 0.5 - < 1.0 m 2.0 2.1 2.5 5.1 3.1 24.7 1078 1.0 6.6 1.0 - < 1.5 m 2.3 1.7 2.3 1.0 1.7 7.0 5.0 4.0 24.9 1084 Jonuory 1.1 901 0.7 1.0 4.5 0.1 2.4 0.2 1.5 - < 2.0 m 1.7 1.5 2.0 3.3 2.7 0.6 1.1 4.0 16.9 735 February 0.9 0.7 0.7 6.6 0.1 2.0 0.2 980 2.0 - < 2.5 m 0.9 1.4 1.2 0.6 1.0 2.4 1.7 1.6 10.7 467 Morch 0.9 0.5 0.7 4.5 0.1 2.0 0.2 1177 \_ 2.5 - < 3.0 m 0.4 0.6 0.7 0.4 0.8 1.4 1.0 1.1 6.1 265 April 0.7 0.4 0.5 2.8 0.1 1.4 0.1 \_ 844 3.0 - < 3.5 m 0.1 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.7 4.7 205 May 0.6 0.4 1007 0.4 2.6 0.0 1.2 \_ 0.1 3.5 - < 4.0 m 0.1 0.3 0.5 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 2.1 91 June 0.5 0.3 0.3 2.8 0.0 1.0 814 0.0 \_ 4.0 - < 4.5 m 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1 1.0 43 July 0.4 0.3 0.3 2.7 0.0 0.9 0.0 1140 -4.5 - < 5.0 m 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.7 31 August 0.4 0.2 0.3 1.7 0.0 1086 0.8 0.0 \_ 5.0 - < 5.5 m 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.1 -0.3 15 September 0.5 0.4 0.4 2.7 0.0 1169 1.2 0.0 \_ 5.5 - < 6.0 m 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 -0.3 11 October 0.7 0.5 0.5 3.7 0.0 1261 1.7 0.1 \_ 6.0 - < 6.5 m 0.1 0.2 10 0.0 0.0 November 0.9 0.7 0.5 3.7 0.0 2.3 0.1 \_ 1072 6.5 - < 7.0 m 0.0 0.0 0.0 -0.1 4 December 849 1.1 0.7 0.9 3.8 0.1 2.5 0.2 \_ 7.0 -+ m 0.0 0.0 -0.1 4 0.0 Annual 0.7 0.6 0.0 12300 0.5 6.6 1.8 0.1 \_ Total 8.0 8.7 10.3 5.2 8.7 24.7 18.9 14.6 100.0 4356

# **Figure 23.** Significant Wave Height Statistics for the Fundy Shore Note: sourced from MacLaren Plansearch Ltd. (1991)

Finfish Marine Aquaculture Development Plan








Figure 24.Average Monthly Wave Height Statistics for the Bay of FundyShore<br/>Note: sourced from MacLaren Plansearch Ltd. (1991)



#### 4.1.3 Extreme Storm Events and Storm Surge

Nova Scotia is sometimes subject to extreme weather conditions. Wind and wave damage caused by storms, and ice damage during extremely low temperatures, are environmental hazards that could cause unwanted changes to the project. However, employing proper gear and using the most recent technologies for cage design and construction, as well as routine inspection and maintenance, will help prevent any unfavourable effects to the project caused by weather and climate extremes. KCS has several high energy sites in New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, and Newfoundland, which are exposed to strong winds and large waves. The grid and anchoring systems used at Rattling Beach have been proven successful at these high energy sites. The plastic, circular cages and grid components employed by KCS have been tested and shown to withstand wave heights of 8 m. During extreme weather conditions, personnel will not be working on the cage site. Once the extreme weather has passed, crews will be dispatched to examine the cage system and fish stock for damage. In the event damage is sustained, repairs will be carried out as necessary. Any significant damage will be reported to NSDFA.

#### 4.1.4 Tides

Based on Canadian Hydrographic Service Tide Tables (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2017f) for Digby (Station #325), the predicted highest high tide for 2017 is 9.2 m and the lowest low tide is -0.3 m, giving a maximum tidal range of 9.5 m. Typically, the tidal range is between 6 and 9 m. In 2016, the highest high tide was 9.4 m and the lowest low tide was -0.4 m, giving a tidal range of 9.8 m. However, storm surges, should they co-occur with the highest high water, could result in higher water levels.

#### 4.1.5 Currents

Collection of local current speed and direction data throughout the water column was carried out between June 29 and August 4, 2016 using a 600-kHz Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) deployed by NSDFA. The current meter could not be deployed at the center of the proposed lease due to the presence of gear and fish. The current meter was located  $\sim$  100 m to the southeast of the original lease boundaries (N44° 39′ 03.3″ W65° 45′ 14.8″).

At depths 3 - 10 m above the seafloor, most water flowed towards the NNE, with approximately 39% of all recorded currents travelling between 5 and 25 degrees. The depth-averaged current speed of all recorded profiles at this site was 22.65 cm/s. In depth profiles 3 - 10 m above the seafloor, the maximum recorded speed was 81.0 cm/s occurring 10 m from the bottom. The most frequently observed speeds were between 18 and 24 cm/s near the seafloor (25.3% at 3 m) and 24 and 36 cm/s within the mid water column (18.3% at 10 m). Data obtained from the upper water column did not yield reliable data with less than 75% of the data present; therefore, it was omitted from the analysis. Average current speeds significantly varied with depth, with the cell nearest to the surface having the highest occurrence of currents greater than 80 cm/s.

The maximum current speed observed was 81.0 cm/s while the minimum was 0.2 cm/s (Table 3). The overall mean current speed was 23.9 cm/s but currents in the uppermost cell presented (i.e. 10 m above the seafloor) were considerably faster at 32.8 cm/s. This may have been due to the influence of the wind. Overall, current speeds < 5 cm/s occurred 1.94% of the time. Graphs illustrating the current directions and current speed frequency distributions are located in Section 4.2 – Baseline Environmental Monitoring.



<b>Table 9.</b> Current Data Summary Statistics for Rattling Beach							
Rattling Beach		Current Speed Statistics					
Depth from Seafloor	Mean	Min	Max	Mode	< 2 cm/s	< 5 cm/s	Directional Modes
(m)	(cm/s)	(cm/s)	(cm/s)	(cm/s)	(%)	(%)	(Cardinal or Intercardinal)
3	19.8	2.4	51.6	18.3	0	0.83	NNE
4	21.3	0.4	55.9	19.1	0	1.04	NNE
5	22.5	0.4	60.1	20.3	0	1.71	NNE

0

0

0

0

0

0

2.14

2.3

2.01

3.56

1.94

1.94

11.7

17.1

29.5

40.7

33.1

24

Table 9. Cur

0.4

0.2

0.3

0.9

0.7

1

64.4

67.5

71.5

75.1

81

66

23.2

24

26.3

29.2

32.7

24.9

#### 4.1.6 Salinity

6

7

8

9

10

Overall

KCS reported salinities for Rattling Beach site between 30 and 32‰. According to the monthly, average, salinity data gathered from the DFO OSD Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program, (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2017g; Fig. 25) for Prince 5, Bay of Fundy, salinity ranges between 30.9 and 32.9‰. In general, salinity is lowest in April to June and highest between the months of August to December. The existing, successful, aquaculture site at Rattling Beach would indicate that the salinities in the area are tolerable for Atlantic salmon. Monthly, average, salinity data from Subarea 55 are presented in Figure 26 (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2007).

NNE

NNE

NNE

NNE

NNE

NNE






Figure 25. Contour Plot of Average Monthly Salinity of Prince 5 Station of DFO's Atlantic Zone Monitoring
 Program
 Note: Graph was obtained from the Fisheries and Oceans Canada (2017g), Marine Environmental
 Data Services website






Figure 26.Average Monthly Salinity of OES Subarea 55 (Bay of Fundy) at Various Depths<br/>Note: Data was obtained from the Oceans and Ecosystem Science website (Department of Fisheries 2007)


November 2017



#### 4.1.7 Temperature

Temperatures at the Rattling Beach aquaculture site were recorded and collected by KCS staff during site operations. The minimum water temperature was recorded in February 2015 and was approximately 0°C. The maximum water temperature was recorded in August 2016 and was approximately 16.6°C. Figure 27 displays the historical water temperature trends from the Rattling Beach site.

Long-term temperature data for the Fundy shore area (Prince 5) were sourced from the DFO OSD Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program and are presented in Fig. 29 (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2017g). Monthly, average, temperature data provided in Fig. 28 were derived from climatology data of the DFO Maritime Oceans and Ecosystem Science (OES) project, Hydrographic Database, Subarea 55 (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2007). Figures 28 and 29 display average and monthly water temperature data for the Fundy shore of Nova Scotia. Mean water temperatures from this data range between 1.8 and 12.7°C. The lowest temperatures of the year are normally experienced in February to March and the highest temperatures in August. The existing, successful, aquaculture site at Rattling Beach would indicate that the temperatures in the area are tolerable for Atlantic salmon.



**Figure 27.** Daily Water Temperature Data from the Rattling Beach Aquaculture Site #1039







Figure 28.Average Monthly Temperature Data of OES Subarea 55 (Bay of Fundy) at 0 to 30 m Deep<br/>Note: Data was obtained from the Oceans and Ecosystem Science website (Fisheries and Oceans<br/>2007).





**Figure 29.** Contour Plot of Average Monthly Temperatures from Prince 5 Station of DFO's Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program

Note: Graph was obtained from Fisheries and Oceans Canada (2017g).

The effects of superchill can be detrimental to fish health and may result in high mortalities. Superchill is a phenomenon caused by the cooling of seawater below the lethal temperature for Atlantic salmon (i.e. -0.75°C). Although cold temperatures cannot be entirely avoided in a northern climate, the effects of superchill may be diminished by fitting the cages with deep nets and locating cage systems in deep enough water that the fish may avoid the surface water layer which, in winter, tends to be colder than deeper water. Other mitigation strategies include avoiding stress in the fish by ceasing feeding and other activities at the cage site. These activities excite the fish and bring them up to the surface where the water is colder. KCS does not approach their cage sites or feed stock during time periods when superchill is a potential threat.



Sea ice is typically not a problem in Annapolis Basin. The thirty-year frequency of presence of sea ice (Fig. 30) and predominant ice type (Fig. 31) for the Bay of Fundy and Annapolis Basin are unknown. Both Figures 30 and 31 illustrate the thirty-year averages for the week of January 29, the week that appears to have the most sea ice coverage in Nova Scotia. KCS has no intentions of deploying equipment such as ice booms near the site. KCS does, however, continuously monitor for sea ice during winter months and will take necessary precautions, if needed. Freezing spray may occasionally build up on cage structures during extreme winter conditions. When ice build-up is a concern, it can be removed by site crews.




Figure 30.Frequency of presence of sea ice in Atlantic CanadaNote: Figure sourced from Environment Canada, Canadian Ice Service (2010)






Figure 31.Median of Predominant Ice Type in Atlantic CanadaNote: Figure sourced from Environment Canada, Canadian Ice Service (2010)


#### 4.1.8 Oxygen

Long-term, monthly, average, dissolved-oxygen data presented in Figure 32 are from the Fundy Prince 5 Station located at 44.93°N 66.85°W (Fisheries and Oceans 2017g). This was the closest monitoring station to the proposed location and was therefore chosen over alternate monitoring stations as a source of oceanographic data. From this averaged data, the lowest dissolved oxygen appeared in September - November, while the highest concentrations of dissolved oxygen were present in March - May.

Dissolved oxygen concentrations at the Rattling Beach aquaculture site were collected and reported by KCS staff during the site operations. The minimum DO value recorded was approximately 0 mg/L; however, an equipment malfunction is suspected for this date making the lowest, reliable concentration 6.98 mg/L. The maximum concentration recorded was



approximately 13.4 mg/L. For adult salmon, the lower limit of DO for optimal growth is generally accepted as 6 mg/L. The Rattling site typically displays DO values well above this threshold. Figure 33 illustrates the historical, DO trends from the Rattling Beach site.



**Figure 32.** Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations as Measured at the Prince 5 Station Note: Graph was obtained from the Fisheries and Oceans Canada (2017g), Marine Environmental Data Services Website







Figure 33. Dissolved Oxygen Levels as Measured at the Rattling Beach Aquaculture Site #1039

## 4.1.9 Bathymetry

Basic seafloor topography around the Rattling Beach aquaculture site is present in Figure 34. Section 4.2 – Baseline Environmental Monitoring provides additional information.





**Figure 34.** Basic Seafloor Topography



#### 4.2 Baseline Monitoring

A baseline survey of the proposed lease area was conducted on July 20, 2016. The baseline survey report is entitled Baseline Assessment Site #1039 Rattling Beach and dated October 20, 2016 (Appendix A).

#### 4.3 Site Design

The design of the Rattling beach site is a direct result of the known local bathymetry, oceanographic and benthic environment information. Additional information has been gathered in the baseline survey. This information is contained in Sections 4.1 & 4.2, and the baseline survey is included in Appendix A.



### Section 5: The Other Users of the Public Waters Surrounding the Proposed Aquacultural Operations

5.1 Description of Other Users

#### 5.1.1 Adjacent Property Owners

In October 2016, Acker & Doucette Surveying produced aquaculture site development plans which were submitted as a package with the signed notice of works to Transport Canada. In the development plans, adjacent property owners within 1,000-m were identified to the North (Figure 35) and South (Figure 36) of the proposed aquaculture lease for Rattling Beach #1039.

The proposed amendment will not adversely impact adjacent property owners or their access to the water.






Figure 35. Plan View of the Proposed Boundary Amendment of the Rattling Beach Aquaculture Site Showing Nearby Property Owners

November 2017






Figure 36. Plan View of the Proposed Boundary Amendment of the Rattling Beach Aquaculture Site Showing Nearby Property Owners



#### 5.1.2 Pleasure Craft and Commercial Vessels

Within 10-km of the Rattling Beach site, four (4) active wharves and/or boat landings are present (Figure 3). Culloden wharf is a community fishing wharf, Battery Point (Victoria Beach) is used for small crafts but mostly consists of fishing boats, Royal Western Nova Scotia Yacht Club (RWNSYC) for pleasure craft and The Port of Digby which accommodates community vessels including fishing vessels as well as Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd's working vessels for Victoria Beach (#1040) and Rattling Beach (#1039). Historic wharves in the area: Clementsport, Port Wade and Deep Cove Wharf (Coastal Communities Network 2005).




Figure 37. Current and Historic Wharves and Boat Landings near Rattling Beach Aquaculture Site

The Digby Ferry is located north of the site. The Fundy Rose ferry travels between Saint John, New Brunswick and Digby, Nova Scotia, once or twice daily depending on the time of year. The ferry route is outlined in Figure 38 (Bay Ferries 2017).

All pleasure crafts and commercial vessels must abide by the navigation buoys and markers present within the basin. The general route to enter and exit the basin is present in Figure 39. The Port of Digby has published a detailed map of Annapolis Basin, outlining anchorage areas and navigation buoys (Figure 40; i-Boating 2017).






**Figure 38.** Bay Ferries ferry route between Digby and Saint John





Figure 39. Pleasure Craft and Commercial Boat Traffic in Annapolis Basin





**Figure 40.** Navigational Buoys and Anchorage Areas in the Annapolis Basin Note: Figure was sourced from i-Boating (2017)

# 5.1.3 Fish & Seafood Processors

Fish and seafood processors within the area of the proposed Rattling Beach site were identified using Nova Scotia Fisheries Sector Council as a resource (Figure 41). The nearest processors are O'Neil Fisheries Ltd. and Casey Fisheries Ltd, at approximately 2.6-km from the proposed boundaries of the Rattling Beach site. O'Neil Fisheries Ltd. is located at 144 Water St. Digby. O'Neil Fisheries Ltd. primarily process scallop and haddock, however their multispecies groundfish vessels also land pollock, redfish, winter flounder, witch flounder and Atlantic halibut. Casey Fisheries Ltd. is located at 146 Water Str. Digby. The company specializes in Digby scallops, clams, cod, haddock, Pollock, flounder, lobster and rock crab. Other products include sea urchin roe, periwinkles and dulse.

Other processors include Mosher Longmire Fisheries Ltd. which is located at 11 1st Ave. Digby, approximately 4.0-km from the proposed boundaries of the Rattling Beach site. This company provides fresh or frozen fish and seafood. Broad Cove Fisheries is located at 1631 Culloden Road, approximately 7-km from the proposed Rattling Beach boundaries. Products include groundfish, live lobster, periwinkle and Mako shark.

While the processors are in relatively close proximity to the proposed Rattling Beach boundaries, no negative interactions have been documented.






Figure 41. Fish and Seafood Processors in Digby County

# 5.1.4 Recreation and Tourism

The Annapolis Basin area offers an extensive list of recreational and tourism activities. Perhaps the most well-known tourist attraction in the area of Annapolis Basin is whale watching tours. Opportunities are offered by Brier Island Whale Watching and Seabird Cruises, Ocean Explorations, Mariner Cruises Whale Watching and Seabird Tour, Gael Tours, Dockside Whale Watching & Charters, and Fundy Adventures.

The area of Annapolis Basin is also known to have tourism activities such as yachting out of the Royal Western Nova Scotia Yacht Club & Marina, located in Digby (~2.7 km from proposed site). Many different provincial and historic parks are around Annapolis Basin. Provincial Parks in the area include the Central Grove Provincial Park located on Digby neck and the Annapolis Basin Look Off in Digby (~1.2 km from proposed site). Historic sites include the Port Royal Habitation and National Historic Site, the Forte Anne Historic Site, and the Annapolis Royal Historic Gardens. Kayaking and canoeing rentals and routes for the Annapolis River, Bear River, and other rivers in the area are offered by Canoe Annapolis County. Private kayak tours are also offered throughout Annapolis Basin by Kayak Annapolis Royal and Dockside Kayak Rentals.




There are several lighthouses in the areas of Annapolis and Digby county, including the Prim Point Lighthouse in Victoria Park (~ 4.5 km from proposed site), the Bear River Lighthouse in Smiths Cove (~ 6.2 km from proposed site), and the lighthouse located in Gilberts Cove. Camping areas within Annapolis and Digby Counties are provided by Digby Campground and Fun Park, Fundy Trail Campground and Cottages in Delaps Cove, and Jaggers Point Ocean Front Campground in Smiths Cove.

Public beaches in the general vicinity of the Annapolis Basin include Sandy Cove Beach, a well-known tourist destination, and Smiths Cove, where clam digging is offered by the team of Fundy Adventures (~ 6.5 km from proposed site). Walking trails can be found in the Historical Association of Annapolis Royal's Historic Walking Trails. Hiking opportunities are also available on a large trail extending from the tail of Bear River in Smiths Cove to Harbourview (~ 6.6 km from proposed site). Many tourists come to enjoy freshly caught local seafood, which is offered at a number of restaurants around Annapolis Basin. Some of the more famous restaurants include Restaurant Composé, in Annapolis Royal, and Shore Road Seafood, in Hillsburn.

Annapolis Royal offers various places to stay the night, including Digby Pines Gold Resort and Spa, Coastal Inn Digby, Bayside Inn Bed and Breakfast, Seawinds Motel, Croft House Bed and Breakfast, The Garrison House, the Hillside House Inn, the Annapolis Royal Inn, At the Turret Bed & Breakfast, The Bailey House, and The Queen Anne Inn. Other Bed and Breakfasts in the area of Annapolis Basin include the Seafaring Maiden near Granville Ferry, the Harbour View Inn in Smiths Cove, Headley House by the Sea in Smiths Cove, and Ocean Hillside Bed and Breakfast in Digby. Figures 42 & 43 illustrates a number of tourist and recreational attractions, as well as other resources, in the area of the Rattling Beach aquaculture site.

Digby's tourism is an important economic sector. Specific events, such as the Wharf Rat Rally and Scallop Days, attract many visitors specifically in the summer time (Municipality of Digby, 2017a).





**Figure 42.** Resource Map of Annapolis Basin (Map: National Topographic System Map Sector 021A and Sector 020P)






Figure 43. Tourism and Recreation

# 5.1.5 Communities and Lodging

The Rattling Beach site is located approximately 2.5-km north of Digby, Nova Scotia. The population of the town of Digby is 2,060, however 17,323 people live in the county of Digby (Statistics Canada 2017a).

In Digby county, a number of cottages and campgrounds are available for use throughout the year. Table 9 lists lodging options within 10-km of the proposed Rattling Beach boundaries. Refer to Section 5.1.4 Recreation and Tourism for details regarding inns, bed and breakfasts and hotels.



Type of Lodging	Lodging	Distance and Location in Relation to the Site	General Location
Cottages	Birch Village	4.9 km – south	Smiths Cove
	The Villages of Mountain Gap Resort	5.5 km – south	Near Big Joggins overlooking Annapolis Basin
	Still Point Lodge	6.2 km – south southeast	Bear River
Campgrounds	Fundy Spray Camp Ground	5.3 km - south	Near Joggins Bridge
	Jaggars Point Oceanfront Campground	5.4 km– south southeast	Smiths Cove
	Digby Campground and Fun Park	2.5 km – west southwest	North of the town of Digby
	Red Roof Campground	4.5 km – west southwest	Inland off of Scenic Dr.
	Wild Coast Tents	7.5 km – east southeast	Bear River
	Bear River Millyard Recreation Campground and Camp Cottages	10.8 km – east southeast	Bear River

#### **Table 10.** Cottages and Campgrounds near Rattling Beach #1039.

#### 5.1.6 Municipal, Industrial and Agriculture

#### 5.1.6.1 Municipal

Residents and businesses have access to proper disposal of any residential, commercial, and industrial waste in this area. Waste removal which includes green bin, recycling, and garbage is available throughout the town of Digby and Digby County. Collection days is dependent upon location within this area. Alternatively, a public drop off and transfer station is located in Seabrook as well as a full collection site at Conway Workshop Association (Digby) for residential eWaste and waste from the industrial-commercial-institutional (ICI) sector. Bottle exchanges are also accessible in the area.

One of the largest sources of pollution, by volume, originates from municipal wastewater (Environment Canada 2012a). The Government of Canada manages the risks associated with effluent discharge under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act 1999 (CEPA 1999). Municipal wastewater treatment plants operate in accordance to the Wastewater Systems Effluent Regulations which have been established under the Fisheries Act, which state minimum quality standards. A waste treatment plant located in Smith's Cove, 4.7-km south southeast of the aquaculture boundaries, services the town of Digby and the county of Digby. The plant was being upgraded in 2013 to transfer Digby's waste directly to the Smith's plant so that the town's sewage treatment plant at the south end could be closed (Digby Courier




2013). As stated on the Municipality of Digby website, Digby "...takes pride in being a green, clean, active, secure, accessible, and healthy place to live, work and visit" (Municipality of Digby 2017b).

#### 5.1.6.2 Industrial

According to the Municipality of Digby, fishing has been the main contributor to Digby's economy. While traditional fishing, food processing and manufacturing remain important, Digby has become a destination for tourists (Municipality of Digby 2017a). Refer to Sections 5.1.3 Fish and Seafood Processors and 5.1.4 Recreation and Tourism for additional details.

#### 5.1.6.3 Agriculture

In 2010, diverse agricultural activities in Digby County accounted for approximately \$63.1 million in farm receipts. Animal production, greenhouse, nursery/floriculture, and agri-tourism such as wineries, U-picks, and farmers markets are the predominant activities within this area. Table 10 indicates the number of farms in the town of Digby and Digby County (Statistics Canada 2017b). In general, "other" animal production is the principal farm type mostly comprised of mink farming (Nova Scotia Federation of Agriculture 2014).





**Table 11.** Total Number of Farms in Digby and Digby CountyNote: Table recreated from Statistics Canada Census of Agriculture (Statistics Canada 2017b)

	Digby	Digby County
Cattle ranching/farming	6	14
Poultry and egg production	1	2
Pig/hog farming	2	2
Sheep and goat farming	1	2
Other animal production	39	68
Oilseed and grain farming	0	1
Vegetable/melon farming	5	7
Fruit/tree nut farming	9	21
Greenhouse, nursery and floriculture	5	10
Other crop farming	8	10
Total Farms	76	137

No known interactions between municipal, industrial or agriculture with the Rattling Beach site.

# 5.1.7 First Nations Territories/Reserves

The closest First Nations communities to the proposed project aquaculture site are the Bear River First Nations reserve from the Confederacy of Mainland Mi'kmaq, five Acadia First Nations reserves (Gold River 21, Medway River 11, Ponhook Lake 10, Wildcat 12, and Yarmouth 33) and Annapolis Valley First Nations Reserve. Statistics Canada reports that the Bear River reserve had a population of 102 individuals and a total private dwelling count of 44 (Statistics Canada 2013a). Statistics Canada reports that the Acadia First Nation reserves had a population of 157 individuals and a total private dwelling count of 62 in Yarmouth 33 Reserve (Statistics Canada, 2013b) and a population of 77 individuals and a total private dwelling count of 27 in Gold River 21 Reserve (Statistics Canada, 2013c). The other three Acadian First Nation reserves are inhabited with less than 33 individuals with no available labour force activity or occupation statistics. The Annapolis Valley First Nation Reserves had a population of 145 individuals. From the National Household Survey, the only reported reserve relying on natural-based resources was the Yarmouth 33.

Bear River First Nation has launched a project to re-establish traditional canoe routes for ecotourism named "7 Paddles". At present time, the community is focusing their efforts inward to build the foundation for the project, such as building of canoes and a cookhouse.



## 5.1.8 Geology and Archaeology

In the area of Annapolis Basin, the bedrock geology consists of alluvial and lacustrine clastic sedimentary rocks and local basalt, granite, syenite, gabbro, and minor felsic volcanic rocks of the Newark Supergroup (Hibbard et al. 2006). Closest to the Rattling Beach marine site are the

North Mountain (basalt) and Blomidon (lacustrine playa, sandflat, and deltaic clastic rocks, minor aeolian sandstone and conglomaerate) formations (Keppie 2000).

In the past, impacts to paleontological resources were assessed by the Nova Scotia Museum. An internal provincial review of new and existing aquaculture sites will be examined by Nova Scotia Communities, Culture, and Heritage (CCH) (S. Weseloh-McKeane, pers. com.). In general, most cage-based aquaculture sites, like Rattling Beach, cause minimal damage to submerged archaeological resources as the anchors are the only portion of the site in contact with the seafloor.

## 5.1.9 Shipwrecks

Several shipwrecks may be in the area of the proposed site (Maritime Museum of the Atlantic 2016); however, detailed locations or coordinates are not available. Estimates of some of the wreck locations are shown on Figure 43. Several shipwrecks reported in the Annapolis and Digby areas took place within Annapolis Basin. These include, but are not limited to, the Clarence A Shafner, the James Muir, the Lizzie Wharton, the Lorne B. Snow, the Marie Delphin, the Martha D. Mclain, the Meldon G., the Ora, the Ronald Eugene, the Robert Leonard, the Singer, and the Wanda Elaine.

The Clarence A. Shafner was stranded in Annapolis basin due to broken moorings in 1902 while on a voyage to Cuba. The schooner was considered a partial loss. In 1874, a barque, known as the James Muir, was stranded in Pond Cove of Bear Island in Annapolis Basin due to stress of weather; the ship was declared a partial loss, with approximately \$4500 of cargo lost. The schooner, Lizzie Warton, was in Annapolis Basin when it caught fire in 1901. The disaster resulted in the total loss of the ship along with \$800 worth of cargo. While out on a fishing voyage in 1914, the Lorne B. Snow was stranded in Annapolis Basin by unknown causes. The event led to the partial loss of the schooner. Similarly, the Marie Delphin was stranded at Hardy's Point in Annapolis Basin in 1894 for unknown reasons. The event led to the total loss of the schooner was on a fishing voyage when it suffered a total loss. In 1968, the Meldon G. foundered in Annapolis Basin resulting in the total loss of the ship. The brigantine, Ora, was wrecked in 1902 in Annapolis Basin due to stress of weather; the result was a partial loss. The Ronald Eugene was stranded at Man O' War Rock in Annapolis Basin in 1948 due to unknown causes resulting in the total loss of the ship. Also due to unknown causes, the Robert Leonard was stranded in Annapolis Basin in 1879; the event was deemed a partial loss. The fishing vessel, Singer, was lost due to heavy seas and stress of weather when it smashed ashore in Annapolis Basin in 1972. Also in 1972, the engine room of the Wanda Elaine caught fire while the ship was in Annapolis Basin, resulting in the wreckage and total loss of the fishing vessel.

# 5.1.10 Important Habitats and Conservation Areas

There are a few significant habitats within 5-km of the Rattling Beach site. The whole of Annapolis Basin (9,273.2 ha) has been designated as significant habitat for migratory birds. This area is part of an important migratory route called the Atlantic Flyway which follows the Atlantic Coast of North America and the Appalachians Mountains with end points at the Eastern Artic Islands/the coast of Greenland and the Gulf of Mexico. A salt marsh is present approximately 2.2 km south-



southeast of the Rattling Beach site and a number of marshes, bogs/fens, and swamps surround the basin (Fig. 44; NSDNR 2016). The only existing protected area within 5 km of the site is the Annapolis Basin Look-Off Provincial Park, which offers exceptional views of the whole basin on a clear day (Fig. 45).





Figure 44.Significant Habitats<br/>Note: Base map was obtained from NSDNR (2016)

November 2017





Note: Base map was obtained from NS Environment (2016)



## 5.2 Significance of Proposed Area to Wildlife

### 5.2.1 National Wildlife Area

Currently, there are 54 designated National Wildlife Areas (NWA) in Canada. A total of six (6) NWAs are present in the province of Nova Scotia, however none of the NWAs are within 50-km of the proposed aquaculture site (Environment Canada 2017b).

### 5.2.2 Wetlands

In Canada, 37 sites have been designated as Wetlands of International Importance. Three (3) are in Nova Scotia however none of the wetlands are within 50-km of the proposed aquaculture site (Ramsar 2017).

## 5.2.3 Marine Protected Areas

As defined by DFO, marine protected areas (MPAs) are geographic areas dedicated to and managed for the long-term conservation of nature. Fisheries and Oceans Canada establishes and manages MPAs under the Oceans Act in order to conserve numerous aspects of the areas. The aspects include, but are not limited to, commercial and non-commercial fishery resources, endangered or threatened marine species, unique habitats and other marine resources, or habitats necessary to fulfill the Minister's mandate of scientific research (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2016c).

The nearest MPAs to the proposed aquaculture site include the Gully, located 200 km off Nova Scotia and east of Sable Island, and the Musquash Estuary, located just 20 km southwest of Saint John, New Brunswick.

The Sable Gully is a submarine canyon formed by glacial ice erosion over thousands of years. Surrounding the Sable Gully is an important and highly functional area, in which several commercial fisheries are supported, and it is of great importance to the oil and gas industry. The MPA is a crucial habitat to a number of endangered or threatened species inhabiting the Scotian Shelf. Some of these species live in the Sable Gully year-round, including the Northern Bottlenose whale. Many endangered or threatened species such as various species of sharks, tuna, marlin, and seabirds are drawn to the area due to it copious amounts of plankton. The slopes and floor of the Sable Gully are known to have various crab species, sea pens, anemones, brittle stars, and a large variety of cold-water coral. Conservational efforts are in place as the area is used for continuous research and monitoring. The conservation efforts of DFO include the collection and analysis of data, regulatory monitoring of the shipping, fishing, research, tourism, and oil-and-gas activities in the surrounding area, development of regulation and industry codes, provision of educational activities at the Bedford Institute of Oceanography, and the evaluation and reporting required to produce a MPA management plan.

The Musquash Estuary is conserved by DFO, with the help of the management and owners of the surrounding area including Ducks Unlimited Canada, the Eastern Habitat Joint Venture, the Nature Conservancy of Canada, the Province of New Brunswick, and the Government of Canada. Conservational efforts for the area include the production of a management plan to maintain the productivity and biodiversity and reduce any human-caused modification to the habitat.

# 5.2.4 Significant Habitat for Birds

Most of the species of birds in Canada are protected under the Migratory Birds Convention Act (Environment and Climate Change Canada 2016a). Many migratory marine birds, shorebirds, gulls, and waterfowl inhabit the waterways and shores



of coastal Nova Scotia. Migratory birds protected by the Migratory Birds Convention Act and associated regulations generally include all seabirds except cormorants and pelicans, all waterfowl, all shorebirds, and most land birds, such as eagles, falcons, and hawks.

The Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network (WHSRN) has designated the upper beaches of the Bay of Fundy, to include Chignecto Bay in New Brunswick and Cumberland Basin and Minas Basin in Nova Scotia as WHSRN sites. Both areas are greater than 100-km in distance from the proposed aquaculture site (WHSRN, 2017).

The location of the proposed farm falls within block 113 of the Canadian Wildlife Service survey areas (Fig. 46). This bird block is not considered an Important Bird Area (IBA) by Bird Studies Canada (2014); however, the Province of Nova Scotia (NSDNR 2016; Fig. 46) recognizes Annapolis Basin as a significant habitat for migratory birds. Surveys, completed between February 2000 and March 2010 by the Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS) and Nova Scotia's Department of Natural Resources, identified several species of birds in blocks 113 (Table 11). Due to funding deficiencies, few surveys have been performed since March 2010 and no additional data are available for this block (A. Hicks, pers. comm.). The long-tailed duck was the most common bird noted followed by the merganser and scaup.

Kelly Cove Salmon operates with a Wildlife Interaction Plan in place. The WIP outlines all control measures and special requirements as they relate wildlife encounters at the site. Birds are specifically addressed in the WIP.






Figure 46. Map of Canadian Wildlife Service Survey Area Block 113



# **Table 12.** Waterfowl Identified in Block 113

		Canadian Wildlife Service – Block 113 Numbers of Sightings per Survey					
Diad Nama	02 F-h 00	16 Mar 00	1		-	22 1 10	Course d Tratal
Bird Name	02-Feb-00	<b>16-May-00</b>	04-Mar-04	26-Feb-07	18-Feb-09	22-Jan-10	Grand Total
American Black Duck	0	÷	81	98	424	312	915
American Green-winged Teal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
American Wigeon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atlantic Brant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barrow's Goldeneye	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black Scoter	0	0	0	75	61	137	273
Blue-winged Teal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bufflehead	130	0	44	0	37	0	211
Canada Goose	44	0	0	0	0	0	44
Common Eider	0	0	5	47	18	0	70
Common Goldeneye	0	0	48	9	111	22	190
Common Loon	0	0	9	9	21	2	41
Common Merganser	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
Female Common Eider	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Gadwall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greater Scaup	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harlequin Duck	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hooded Merganser	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
King Eider	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lesser Scaup	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Long-tailed Duck	0	0	306	224	88	0	618
Male Common Eider	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Mallard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern Pintail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern Shoveler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Red-breasted Merganser	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Ring-necked Duck	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Snow Goose	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Surf Scoter	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
Unidentified Cormorant	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
Unidentified Diving Duck	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unidentified Duck	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unidentified Goldeneye	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
Unidentified Merganser	0	0	91	317	139	1	548
Unidentified Scaup	62	0	52	192	33	0	339
Unidentified Scoter	0	0	2	85	0	0	87
Unidentified Teal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
White-winged Scoter	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Wood Duck	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	257	0	639	1057	953	476	3382



### 5.2.5 Significance of Proposed Area to SARA

The Species at Risk Act (SARA) prevents species from becoming threatened, endangered, or extirpated by preventing destruction of their habitat and prohibit harassment, capture or harming/killing of listed species. There are several species found in Nova Scotia and the Atlantic Ocean that are listed by COSEWIC, the Government of Canada Species at Risk Act, or the Nova Scotia Endangered Species Act as either endangered, threatened, or of special concern/vulnerable. Tables 12 - 15 list those species, their status, and their occurrence in the area of interest.

**Table 13.** Endangered Species in Nova Scotia and the Atlantic Ocean

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMENTS
<b>Endangered Species</b>	5	
Atlantic whitefish	Coregonus huntsmani	-Last COSEWIC designation (Nov 2010): endangered
		-Historically found only in the Tusket and Petite Rivière
		watersheds, and their adjacent estuaries and bays, but was
		extirpated from the Tusket River system sometime after 1982
		(Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2006)
		-Poor damming practices and insufficient fish ladders have led to
		declines (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2010)
		-Protected under the Species at Risk Act (Schedule 1)
		-Not known to frequent the study area
Blue whale	Balaenoptera musculus	-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2012):
		endangered
		-Blue whales range widely, inhabiting both
		coastal waters and the open ocean. Individuals
		belonging to the Atlantic population are
		frequently observed in estuaries and shallow
		coastal zones where the mixing of waters
		ensures high productivity of krill
		-Protected under the federal Species at Risk Act
		(Schedule 1) and the Marine Mammals
		Regulations, which fall under the Fisheries Act
		-Not known to frequent the study area
Leatherback sea	Dermochelys coriacea	-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2012):
Turtle (Atlantic		endangered
population)		-Is the most common sea turtle recorded in Nova
		Scotian coastal waters (NS Museum 2016)
		-Atlantic Canada supports one of the largest
		seasonal foraging populations of leatherbacks in
		the Atlantic (NOAA 2016b)



North Atlantic right whale	Eubalaena glacialis	Last COSEWIC designation (Nov 2013): endangered -Summer and fall occurrences in the offshore area called Grand Manan Basin -Protected under the federal <i>Species at Risk Act</i> (Schedule 1) and under the Marine Mammal Regulations under the <i>Fisheries Act</i> -Not known to frequent the study area
Piping plover	Charadrius melodus	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC designation (Nov 2013): endangered</li> <li>-Nests above high-water mark on exposed gravel or sandy beaches</li> <li>-On the Atlantic coast, they often nest in association with small cobble and other small beach debris on ocean beaches, sand spits or barrier beaches; they also forage for food on these beaches</li> <li>-Protected under the federal Species at Risk Act (Schedule 1), the federal Migratory Birds Convention Act and the Nova Scotia Endangered Species Act</li> <li>-No known beaches in the vicinity of the site (BSC 2014)</li> </ul>
Red knot rufa	Calidris canutus rufa	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2007): endangered</li> <li>-Migratory stopovers are vast coastal zones swept by tides twice a day, usually sandflats but sometimes mudflats. In these areas, the birds feed on molluscs, crustaceans, and other invertebrates. The species also frequents peat- rich banks, salt marshes, brackish lagoons, mangrove areas, and mussel beds</li> <li>-Protected under the federal Species at Risk Act (Schedule 1) and the Nova Scotia Endangered Species Act</li> <li>-Proximity to the study area is unknown</li> </ul>
White shark	Carcharodon carcharias	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2006): endangered</li> <li>-Occurs in both inshore and offshore waters; ranges in depth from just below the surface to just above the bottom, down to a depth of at least 1,280 m</li> <li>-It occurs in the breakers off sandy beaches, off rocky shores, and readily enters enclosed bays, lagoons, harbours, and estuaries, but does not penetrate brackish or fresh waters to any extent</li> <li>-No federal or provincial laws explicitly protect white sharks in Canadian waters; however, it is given SARA Schedule 1 status</li> </ul>



**Table 14.** Threatened Species in Nova Scotia and the Atlantic Ocean

COMMON NAME	y (Nova Scotia Canada 2010 SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMENTS
Threatened Species		
Canada warbler	Wilsonia anadensis	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2008): threatened</li> <li>-Found in a variety of forest types, but it is most abundant in wet, mixed deciduous-coniferous forest with a well-developed shrub layer</li> <li>-Protected under the <i>Species at Risk Act</i> (Schedule 1) and the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</i></li> <li>-Protected under <i>Canada National Parks</i> Act</li> <li>-Confirmed sightings throughout the Annapolis Basin area (BSC 2014)</li> </ul>
Chimney swift	Chaetura pelagica	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC status (Apr 2007): threatened</li> <li>-The species breeds in Nova Scotia</li> <li>-Roosts in chimneys, crevices, caves, and hollow trees</li> <li>-Protected under the <i>Species at Risk Act</i> (Schedule 1), the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</i> and the <i>Nova Scotia Endangered Species Act</i></li> <li>Confirmed sightings throughout the Annapolis</li> <li>Basin area (BSC 2014)</li> </ul>
Common nighthawk	Chordeiles minor	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2007): threatened</li> <li>-Nests in a wide range of open, vegetation-free habitats including dunes, beaches, recently harvested forests, burnt-over areas, logged areas, rocky outcrops, rocky barrens, grasslands, pastures, peat bogs, marshes, lakeshores, and river banks; also inhabits mixed and coniferous forests</li> <li>-Protected under the <i>Species at Risk Act</i> (Schedule 1), the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</i> and the <i>Nova Scotia Endangered Species Act</i></li> <li>-Confirmed sightings ~ 4 km south of the site (BSC 2014)</li> </ul>



Olive-sided	Contopus cooperi	-Last COSEWIC designation (Nov 2007): threatened
flycatcher		-Breeds in scattered locations throughout most of forested
		Canada
		-Most often associated with open areas containing tall, live
		trees or snags for perching
		-Protected under the federal Species at Risk Act (Schedule 1)
		and the Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994
		-Confirmed sightings $\sim$ 4 km south of the site (BSC 2014)

**Table 15.** Species of Special Concern in Nova Scotia and the Atlantic Ocean

r <b>n</b> narhichas lupus	-Last COSEWIC designation (Nov 2012): special concern -Primarily inhabits the cold, deep waters of the continental shelf; prefers rocky or hard clay bottoms and uses areas with sandy or muddy bottoms only occasionally -Protected under the federal <i>Species at Risk Act</i> (Schedule 1)
narhichas lupus	-Primarily inhabits the cold, deep waters of the continental shelf; prefers rocky or hard clay bottoms and uses areas with sandy or muddy bottoms only occasionally -Protected under the federal <i>Species at Risk Act</i> (Schedule 1)
	-May be present in the study area
alaenoptera physalus	-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2005): special concern -Associated with low surface temperatures and oceanic fronts during summer months; found from close inshore to well beyond the shelf break
	-Protected under the federal Species at Risk Act (Schedule 1)
hocoena phocoena	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2006): Special concern</li> <li>-Sometimes frequents bays and harbours, particularly during summer</li> <li>-Protected from certain activities under the Marine Mammal Regulations of the Fisheries Act -Protected by Species at Risk</li> </ul>
legaptera novaeangliae	Act Schedule 2 -Last COSEWIC designation (May 2003): not at risk -Humpback whales form distinct populations and live close to coastlines -SARA schedule 3
	hocoena phocoena

November 2017



Rusty blackbird	Euphagus carolinus	-Last COSEWIC status (Apr 2006): Special
	Lupnugus curonnus	
		concern
		-The breeding range of the rusty blackbird includes a vast
		portion of Canada; a very small number of rusty blackbird's
		winter, albeit sporadically, in the southern part of most
		Canadian provinces
		-Protected under the federal Species at Risk Act (Schedule 1)
		-Confirmed sightings near the aquaculture site (BSC 2014)
Short-eared owl	Asio flammeus	-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2008): Special
		concern
		-Breeds sporadically in arctic areas, coastal marshes, and
		interior grasslands where voles and other small rodents
		proliferate
		-Occasionally seen in coastal areas of Atlantic Canada
		-Confirmed sightings $\sim$ 4 km south of the proposed site
Sowerby's beaked whale	Mesoplodon bidens	Last COSEWIC designation (Nov 2006): special concern-This
		species is most often sighted in deep water, along the
		continental shelf edge and slope; only rarely seen in coastal
		waters
		-Protected under the Marine Mammal Regulations of the
		Fisheries Act

**Table 16.** Species with no SARA Status but with COSEWIC Designation in Nova Scotia and the AtlanticOcean

COMMON NAME	y (Nova Scotia Canada 2016 SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMENTS
Species with no SAR	A status	
American eel	Anguilla rostrate	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2012): threatened</li> <li>-Canadian range includes all fresh, estuarine, and coastal marine waters that are accessible to the Atlantic Ocean</li> <li>-Blockage of migratory streams is a major threat to the species</li> </ul>
American plaice	Hippoglossoides platessoides	-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2009b): threatened
Atlantic bluefin tuna	Thunnus thynnus	-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2011): endangered -Occurs in the western Atlantic from Newfoundland to the Caribbean Sea; actively fished in Canadian waters from July through December over the Scotian Shelf (COSEWIC 2011a)
Atlantic cod (Southern Population)	Gadus morhua	-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2010): endangered -Atlantic cod inhabit all waters overlying the continental shelves of the Northwest and the Northeast Atlantic Ocean -Commercial fishing is ongoing and contributes to decline; there is evidence of an unexplained increase in natural mortality in the 4X portion of the designatable unit
Atlantic salmon (Nova Scotia Southern Upland population)	Salmo salar	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC designation (Nov 2010): endangered</li> <li>-Acidification of freshwater habitats by acid rain is a major threat as is poor marine survival related to incompletely understood changes to the marine ecosystem (ASF 2016a)</li> <li>-The Annapolis, Round Hill, Moose, Bear, and Acacia Brook Rivers are listed as present salmon rivers and the Lequille as extirpated (ASF 2016b)</li> </ul>



Atlantic sturgeon (Maritime Populations)	Acipenser oxyrinchus	-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2011): threatened -Occurs in rivers, estuaries, near-shore marine environments, and shelf regions to at least 50 m depth along the Atlantic coast of North America (COSEWIC 2011b)
Bank swallow	Riparia riparia	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2013): threatened</li> <li>-In the Maritimes, it is most common and widespread on Prince</li> <li>Edward Island and the Northumberland Coast of New</li> <li>Brunswick and Nova Scotia</li> <li>-Bird Studies Canada records indicate bank swallows have</li> <li>been observed around Annapolis Basin (BSC 2014)</li> </ul>
Barn swallow	Hirundo rustica	-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2011): threatened -Protected under the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</i> -Bird Studies Canada records indicate confirmed occurrences of barn swallows on the shore nearest the aquaculture site (BSC 2014)
Basking Shark (Atlantic population)	Cetorhinus maximus	-Last COSEWIC designation (Nov 2009): special concern -Uses coastal, temperate waters (COSEWIC 2009c) -Mortality caused by fishing by-catch and boat strikes are cited as the major threats to the species (COSEWIC 2009b
Blue shark	Prionace glauca	-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2006): special concern -In Atlantic Canada, they are regularly found in almost all waters but are most often encountered offshore; fishing by- catch is the largest threat (COSEWIC 2006)
Eastern wood peewee	Contopus virens	Last COSEWIC designation (Nov 2012): special concern Bird Studies Canada (2014) considers occurrences of the bird in the area to be possible
Killer whale (Northwest Atlantic population)	Orcinus orca	-Last COSEWIC designation (Nov 2008): special concern -Northwest Atlantic distribution includes Nova Scotian waters (COSEWIC 2008)



I aggarband and turth	Canatta agnotta	Last COCEWIC designation (Apr 2010), and on some
Loggerhead sea turtle	Caretta caretta	-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2010): endangered -Routinely found in Atlantic Canadian waters; usually associated with the warmer offshore waters of the Gulf Stream (COSEWIC 2010b)
Peregrine Falcon (anatum subspecies)	Falco peregrinus anatum	-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2007): non-active -Prefer open habitats, such as sea coasts, for hunting -Protected under the Nova Scotia Endangered Species Act -Protected by the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (Appendix I)
Porbeagle shark	Lamna nasus	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2014): Endangered</li> <li>-Can be found from the coast to the open sea migrating annually to further inshore; seasonally ranging from the Scotian shelf and Bay of Fundy to Newfoundland on the continental shelf occasionally close to shore</li> <li>-Is protected by the Oceans Act and by the Fisheries Act under the terms of the Atlantic Fishery Regulations, 1985</li> <li>-Target fishing and by-catch of longline fisheries has resulted in the population decline, and still continues</li> <li>-Currently no fisheries management measures for this species</li> </ul>
Shortfin mako (Atlantic population)	Isurus oxyrinchus	<ul> <li>-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2006): threatened</li> <li>-Found in both inshore and offshore waters</li> <li>-COSEWIC identified fishing, pelagic long-lining in particular, as being the most significant threat to the species; no directed fishery for shortfin mako in Atlantic Canada, but it is caught as by-catch in other pelagic fisheries and is sought after for sport fishing</li> <li>-Managed under the Canadian Atlantic Pelagic Shark Integrated Fisheries Management Plan which allows for an unrestricted by-catch along with 100% dockside monitoring</li> </ul>



Smooth skate	Malacoraja senta	-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2012): special concern
	malacoraja senta	
(Lauranian-Scotian		-One of the smallest species of skate endemic to the western
population)		North Atlantic (Natanson et al. 2007)
		-By-catch mortality contributes to population decline (Natanson et al. 2007)
		-No direct fisheries for this species, however captured as by-
		catch in fisheries directed towards groundfish (Fisheries and
		Oceans Canada 2015d)
		-Population of the Laurentian-Scotian has accounted for 90% of
		the smooth skates in Canada, while covering 70% of the
		Canadian smooth skate range (Fisheries and Oceans Canada
		2015d)
		-Area of abundance along the Scotian Shelf has drastically
		declined since 1970 (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2015d)
Spiny dogfish	Squalus acanthias	-Last COSEWIC designation (Apr 2010): special concern
		-Inhabits Canadian waters ranging from Newfoundland to the
		Scotian Shelf, approximately 10 to 20% of those on the Scotian
		Shelf migrate south in the fall, returning in the spring (BIO
		2015a)
		-Widely distributed in temperate regions of the world's oceans
		and appears to be a habitat generalist; subject to both targeted
		and by-catch fishing mortality (COSEWIC 2010c)
		-Target of direct fisheries in Atlantic Canada (Fisheries and
		Oceans Canada 2015)
Thorny skate	Amblyraja radiata	-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2012): special concern
		-One of the most common skates in the Northwest Atlantic (BIO
		2015b)
		-Both a target of directed fisheries and caught as by-catch,
		although directed fisheries along the Scotian Shelf stopped in
		2005 (BIO 2015b)
		-Regarded as over fished and landing of this species is
		prohibited throughout the Gulf of Maine (BIO 2015b)
White hake	Urophycis tenuis	-Last COSEWIC designation (Nov 2013): threatened
		-Adjust their depth distribution to find temperatures in the
		range of 4 - 8°C (COSEWIC 2013a)



Winter skate (Georges	Leucoraja ocellata	-Last COSEWIC designation (May 2015): special concern
Bank- Western Scotian		-Estimated to have declined by 90% since 1970, now at a
Shelf-Bay of Fundy		historic low (IUCN 2009)
populations)		-Caught as by-catch in groundfish targeting fisheries (IUCN 2009)
		-Bottom-dwelling species usually found on sand and gravel and at depths less than 111 m (COSEWIC 2005) -Landings under quota control on the Scotian Shelf (IUCN 2009)

# 5.3 Impacts to Other Users Including Wildlife

## 5.3.1 Critical Habitat and Mitigation Plans for Wildlife

#### Atlantic Whitefish

Atlantic whitefish are protected under the federal Species at Risk Act (Schedule 1). The Nova Scotia Fishery Regulations under the Fisheries Act prohibit the taking of Atlantic whitefish from all provincial waters by any method at any time of the year. This species is also protected under the Nova Scotia Endangered Species Act. Under this Act, it is prohibited to kill, harm or collect this species. While the Mersey River is not listed as habitat for the Atlantic whitefish, there are reports of Atlantic whitefish moving along the shores of Liverpool Bay towards the Mersey River (C. Reynolds, pers. com.). Neither KCS nor any of its employees will attempt to harm or capture Atlantic whitefish.

### Leatherback Sea Turtle

The leatherback sea turtle is protected under the Species at Risk Act, which makes it an offense to kill, harm, harass, capture or take any individuals of a listed species. KCS will comply by these rules. If a leatherback sea turtle is spotted by any of the crew working on the aquaculture site, the Marine Animal Response Society (MARS) will be contacted at 1.866.567.6277 and given details of the sighting.

In 2006, the Atlantic Leatherback Turtle Recovery Team published a recovery strategy for the turtles in Atlantic Canadian waters. The recovery strategy document listed entanglement in commercial fishing gear, vessel collision from recreational boating and other ship traffic, marine pollution and oil and gas exploration and development as potential threats contributing to mortality. A summary of the gear types thought to be the highest risk for entanglement included longline, gillnet, traps and pots. Aquaculture gear was not mentioned in the document, but it stands to reason that aquaculture equipment, including all lines, should be kept in good working order.

### Migratory Birds

Most species of birds in Canada are protected under the Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994. Under the Migratory Birds Regulations (C.R.C., c. 1035), it is an offense to disturb, destroy or take a nest, egg, or shelter of a migratory bird, or possess a live migratory bird, or the carcass, skin, nest or egg of a migratory bird except under the authority of a permit. KCS personnel will abide by the Migratory Birds Convention Act and the associated regulations.



#### Piping Plover

Suitable piping-plover habitat can be approximated as a beach with the following attributes: a gently sloping foredune, wide stretches of beach that afford protection from flooding during high water, sand and/or gravel and/or cobble substrate, and a lack of vegetation (Environment Canada 2012b). A number of sites in Nova Scotia have been identified as meeting these criteria. Distribution often fluctuates due to changes in habitat. These changes may include, but are not limited to, beach width, composition of substrate, feeding areas, vegetation coverage, and human disturbance (COSEWIC 2013b). There is no known piping-plover beach near the proposed aquaculture site.

The piping plover is protected under the Species at Risk Act and the federal Migratory Birds Convention Act. KCS employees of the proposed aquaculture site will not kill, harm, or collect adults, young, or eggs of the piping plover.

### <u>Sharks</u>

No federal or provincial laws explicitly protect white sharks in Canadian waters (COSEWIC 2006). In Atlantic Canada, there are only three directed shark fisheries. One is a recreational fishery for the blue shark which is primarily in the form of annual derbies; the others are commercial fisheries aimed at the porbeagle shark and spiny dogfish (Canadian Shark Research Laboratory 2012). The practice of finning, removing, and retaining the fins and discarding the remainder of the shark at sea, was banned in Canadian waters in 1994 (Fisheries and Oceans 2002). KCS personnel will not attempt to attract, capture or harass any sharks in any way.

### <u>Whales</u>

Blue whales are protected under the Marine Mammals Regulations of the Fisheries Act. KCS will comply with these regulations and will not attempt to harvest, kill, or harass any blue whales (or any other whales, such as right whales) that are seen during aquaculture activities. Should any whale in distress be noted by any of the crew members at the aquaculture sites, the Marine Animal Response Society (MARS) will be contacted at 1.866.567.6277 and provided with details of the sighting. Vessels servicing the site will travel at a maximum speed of 9 knots in order to prevent damaging collisions between whales and aquaculture service vessels. This is below the recommended speed set by NOAA Fisheries Service for ships travelling through known whale areas (i.e. 9.9 knots).

# 5.3.2 Impacts to Other Users

# 5.3.2.1 Right to Navigation

The following figures provide information regarding navigation routes that are used by KCS while servicing the Rattling Beach aquaculture site (Figure 47). The layout of on-site equipment is provided in Figures 48-50.








Figure 47. Marine chart showing KCS vessel route from Rattling Beach to the Digby Government Wharf.














Figure 49. Rattling Beach cross-sectional plan A.









#### 5.3.2.2 Esthetics

Rattling beach site is an existing site. The requested boundary amendment does not affect the visual appearance of the site.

### 5.3.2.3 Bird Activity

Any activity on the water, which includes fishing and aquaculture, can result in an increased number of opportunistic birds such as seagulls. These birds can become a nuisance for adjacent property owners and tourists travelling in and around the basin. The site will continue to discourage deterring birds by using bird nets over the cages as well as maintaining the feed in closed containers.

# 5.4 Impacts by Other Users Including Wildlife

# 5.4.1 Wildlife

Due to the environment in which KCS operates, wildlife interactions will be unavoidable – both positive or neutral and negative (predator). Positive or neutral interactions may require management notification if the species is listed on a Species At Risk list or other similar document.

Negative or predator interactions should be noted to determine if there is an increase or decrease in activity. If a predator is persistent or there is the potential for endangerment of employees, deterrence methods may be required. Any interaction, whether intentional or accidental, must be reported. Interactions with birds and predators at a marine finfish site are to be avoided. Unwanted attention from birds and predators, such as seals, endangers the wildlife themselves, can present a nuisance to workers, may stress the fish, and may pose biosecurity and fish health risks.

Predator deterrence is a key to containment management. Predator exclusion using netting include predator netting, bird nets, shark guards, and containment nets. Site mortalities are to be contained in secure, closed containers and removed promptly from the site. The containers are to be checked daily to ensure mortality containment integrity. Feed is to be stored inside, or if outside, covered with tarps or stored inside a tub with a securely fastened lid. Routine and daily examinations of dead and live fish are conducted to inspect for signs of predator attack, and any are noted. Divers are called in when deemed necessary to verify net integrity below water if predator problems are detected.

To deter birds and to mitigate against interactions, each cage containing fish are equipment with a bird stand and net for the duration of the grow-out. These stands and nets remain in place during the production schedule but may be temporarily lifted during activities such as mortality dives, net washing, fish transfers or treatments.

Measures taken to protect fish from predators are always carried out in a manner that considers predator welfare and does not endanger the predator population; however, if a predator cannot be deterred and is threatening the security of the containment, it may be dispatched in accordance with Government Policy and Saltwater Management consent.

The Wild Life Interaction Plan contains prevention and control measures for wildlife (Appendix E.)



### 5.4.2 People Interaction

Interaction with people outside of KCS for the marine site is inevitable. Use of the Digby Government Wharf and the proximity of the site to Digby contribute to this. Interactions with people and organizations outside of KCS can raise biosecurity concerns, pollution concerns and potential safety concerns for site staff.

Biosecurity is a key component to managing the risk of pathogen spread. Biosecurity helps mitigate outbreaks of disease through the control of personnel, traffic, vehicles, biologics, and equipment. Biosecurity standard operating procedures must be developed and utilized to mitigate risk and to manage activities in such a way to reduce stress of animals and to reduce the potential for pathogen spread. Biosecurity must be considered within all procedures and must be addressed within procedural descriptions. All sites have a wharf usage biosecurity procedure which considers other users of the wharf.

Also, the potential for pollution from other industries within the Annapolis Basin exists. Bay Ferries operate a ferry terminal near the site and a fish processing plant is located nearby.

Water quality is monitored based on the uncertainty of natural cycles and processes such as seasons, thermoclines, weather, haloclines, algal blooms, etc., but also provides information on water quality as it relates to man-made factors. Monitoring specific water parameters will aid the farmer in preparedness for dealing with health issues, assist with feeding regimes, and allow mitigative actions to be taken when conditions are less than optimum. Aquaculture license holders will be responsible for monitoring oxygen and temperature on a daily unless weather conditions do not permit water quality monitoring.

Although environmental parameters such as low oxygen and high or low temperatures and algae levels cannot be controlled in a marine finfish operation, a site must have emergency plans in place to respond to such environmental parameters when they reach levels that may affect fish health and welfare. To better understand oxygen and temperature levels at the site, VEMCO temperature and oxygen sensors will be deployed at the farm - these will provide staff with real-time data 24 hours per day.

Mitigation plans are in place for the possibility of water quality issues related to oxygen, temperature, and algae.

Visitors to the Rattling Beach site are welcomed and are expected to follow basic biosecurity and health and safety (H&S) rules. This aids in ensuring that all parties on the site remain safe. The Site Manager should confirm with the Area Manager that a visitor(s) has approval to be on site if the Site Manager was not previously informed. All visitors must sign the log book. Visitors must change their footwear prior to stepping on site, rubber boots will be provided from the office. All visitors must wear a PFD provided by the office to the site and while on site and the use of footbaths and proper hygiene is mandatory. By adhering to strict biosecurity, H&S, and visitor protocols, KCS provides a safe working environment for employees, visitors, and the fish on site.

KCS operates under a detailed Safety Management System (SMS). The SMS contains procedures for dealing with Emergency Preparedness and Transportation. An emergency is classified as any situation that has the potential to cause harm to any employee, visitor or infrastructure on site.



#### Section 6: The Public Right of Navigation

#### 6.1 Navigation Protection Act Approval

#### 6.1.1 Notice of Works

Transport Canada requires a notice of works form in order to notify the Navigation Protection Program (NPP) regarding a proposed or existing work in navigable water. A completed and signed notice of works form with supporting documentation was submitted to Transport Canada on October 20th, 2016 under NWP File # 8200-94-3045. Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc. produced the aquaculture site development plans submitted with the signed notice of works. The plans include:

a. Proposed navigation aid limits to demonstrate the extent of the marine aquaculture site as well as adjacent parcels of land to the north and south of the lease. Property identification number (P.I.D. #) with corresponding owner names and addresses are also outlined in the plans;

b. Depiction of the basic seafloor topography within the proposed lease boundaries;

c. Demonstration of anchors, cages, and grid/mooring configuration location within the proposed lease boundaries;

d. Lateral and longitudinal cross sections demonstrating cage infrastructure, anchor blocks, mooring lines and seafloor profile.

Each plan indicates the exact location of the proposed lease, legal lease site number and position of the lease. See Appendix C for the complete Notice of Works Package.

### 6.1.2 Transport Canada Approval Package

Transport Canada granted Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. approval for Rattling Beach #1039 under the Navigation Protection Act on January 11th, 2017. The Minister of Transport approved the placement of the aquaculture facility as per the submitted development plans. Within the approval, Transport Canada outlined standard terms and conditions whereby all anchorage systems, gear and associated work must remain within the site boundaries, specific buoy markers are to be installed and maintained, and should any material/equipment drift from the boundaries, it must be immediately marked with a cautionary light and radar reflector and promptly removed or returned to maintain right of navigation.

See Appendix D for complete Transport Canada Approval package.

### 6.1.3 Project Description

The proposed lease incorporates all aquaculture-related gear, above and below the water line, therefore alterations to the grid are not required. Installation of specific buoy markers outlining the lease area is complete as per Transport Canada's approval package (Section 6.1.2).



#### Section 7: The Sustainability of Wild Salmon

#### 7.1 Identification of Local Salmon Populations

The Rattling Beach marine aquaculture site is located in the range of the Nova Scotia Southern Upland Population of Atlantic salmon. The Southern Upland region of Nova Scotia is divided into three salmon fishing areas: SFA 20, SFA 21, and part of SFA 22 (Fig. 51). The marine aquaculture site in Annapolis Basin is located in SFA 22.

The abundance of Atlantic salmon in the Maritimes Region has been in decline for over 20 years leaving populations in many rivers to become extirpated or listed endangered under the Species at Risk Act (SARA). A region-wide electrofishing survey conducted in 2000 found salmon in 28 of 52 rivers surveyed (54%) whereas a similar survey conducted in 2008 and 2009 found salmon in only 21 of 54 rivers surveyed (39%) (Fisheries and Oceans 2011). The pH of water samples collected in the 1980s and 1990s indicated that several rivers in Nova Scotia were partially to heavily acidified (Lacroix and Knox 2005, Gibson et al. 2009, Fisheries and Oceans 2011). River acidification is recognized as a major factor in the survival of Atlantic salmon in Nova Scotia.

All Atlantic salmon index populations within Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) Maritimes Region were assessed to be well below conservation (egg) requirements in 2014. Southern Upland (SU) and Outer Bay of Fundy (OBoF) Atlantic-salmon populations remain critically low; adult salmon returns to the LaHave River (SU), the Saint John River upriver of Mactaquac Dam, and the Nashwaak River (OBoF) remain among the lowest returns on record with estimated egg deposits ranging between 2 and 4% of conservation requirements in 2014 (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2015e). In 2016, assessment of the index population for SFA 21– Lahave River Salmon population above Morgan Falls, indicate that the egg deposition and parr densities were below conservation requirements (DFO 2017c).

In November 2010, COSEWIC designated the Outer Bay of Fundy, Inner Bay of Fundy, Nova Scotia Southern Upland, and Eastern Cape Breton population assemblages as endangered (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2011). However, the SARA status is "no status, no schedule". Within SFA 19 to 23, all rivers have been closed to recreational fishing as of 2010 (DFO 2017c). These rivers are all over 100 km away from the proposed aquaculture site (ASF 2016b).

The Salmon Atlas and the Atlantic Salmon Federation (Figs. 52-53) count five salmon rivers feeding into the Annapolis Basin: Annapolis River, Round Hill River, Moose River, Bear River, and Acacia Brook; the Lequille River is considered extirpated (ASF 2016b). Other nearby rivers that flow into Saint Mary's Bay include the Boudreau and Meteghan rivers, which both also have wild salmon (ASF 2016b). The aquaculture site under boundary amendment application is located approximately 4 and 6 km from the mouths of Acacia Brook and Bear Rivers, respectively, the nearest identified wild salmon rivers.







Figure 51.Atlantic Salmon Fishing Areas of Atlantic Canada<br/>Note: Figure was sourced from the Fisheries and Oceans (2015e). White, numbered circles identify<br/>designated Salmon Fishing Areas.





**Figure 52.** Atlantic Salmon Rivers of Nova Scotia According to The Salmon Atlas Note: Figure was sourced from The Salmon Atlas (2016).






Figure 53.Present Atlantic Salmon Rivers of Nova ScotiaNote: Figure was sourced from the Atlantic Salmon Federation (2016a)

#### 7.2 Support of the Sustainability of Wild Salmon

#### 7.2.1 Potential Impacts to the Wild Salmon Population

To reduce potential impacts to the wild salmon population, the Atlantic Salmon Federation (ASF) recommends placement of marine cages away from the mouths of Atlantic salmon rivers, emphasis on sustainable aquaculture with minimal environmental effects and disease transfer, as well as increased containment protocols.

ASF's review on marine cage aquaculture states escapes are inevitable due to "equipment failure due to accidents, predators, storms and high wave action, or vandalism" which has resulted in identification of farmed salmon in rivers within Maine and Newfoundland (ASF 2013). The main concerns regarding escapees include interbreeding with wild stock which can reduce fitness of the wild population as well as competition for freshwater habitat. ASF stresses the need for transparency regarding escapee events. Government and industry should communicate pertinent information immediately to the public and local community groups.



ASF outlines concern for wild salmon migrating in the area of a marine aquaculture facility, due to increased risk of disease transfer to wild populations, specifically Infectious Salmon Anemia (ISA) and sea lice. To reduce the risk of disease transfer, ASF suggests immediate industry response is required to reduce disease transfer. However, the use of chemicals such as SLICE and hydrogen peroxide to treat sea lice outbreaks continues to be a point of contention due to the potential release into the marine waters and impacts on the health of crustaceans (ASF 2013).

Refer to Section 7.2.3 – Mitigation Efforts and Regulatory Requirements for information regarding containment protocols, disease management and environmental monitoring which support the sustainability of wild salmon.

#### 7.2.2 Restoration Efforts

NSLC Adopt a Stream program (2017) has engaged over 35 Nova Scotia community-based groups to participate in restoration of steam habitats. Supports include remediation of culverts, re-establishing fish passage ways and planting streamside trees to prevent erosion. Adopt a Stream program indicates two (2) restoration efforts near the Annapolis Basin. The first is the L'sitkuk watershed (the Bear River) which is scheduled to undergo restoration to support aquatic connectivity and spawning pools through updating digger logs, liming, repair damage to culverts, and collect water quality data. The community group involved with this project is the Bear River First Nation group. The other restoration effort is currently underway within the Annapolis River Watershed. Restoration of fish passageways and habitat enhancement is being guided by the Clean Annapolis River Project (CARP) group in collaboration with members of the Bear River First Nation. This project will install digger logs and deflectors to enhance the habitat quality as well as remove debris, install tailwater control/baffles, barriers and chutes. Restoration efforts will benefit a variety of species including salmon, trout, striped bass and eel.

The aquaculture site, Rattling Beach #1039, will have no foreseeable impact on the restoration efforts in the Annapolis Basin and Digby area.

KCS is actively searching for wild salmon restoration collaborations in Nova Scotia.

#### 7.2.3 Mitigation Efforts and Regulatory Requirements

Several mitigation measures can be employed to reduce the potential impacts of salmon aquaculture on wild salmon populations. A list of priority objectives to reduce the risk of interactions between wild and farmed salmon was provided by DFO (1999). They are as follows:

1) Improved containment, including the development and implementation of Code of Practice, contingency plans, and a reporting system for escapees

2) Improved fish health management, including completion and implementation of provincial Codes of Practice, including contingency plans and a reporting system for specified diseases

3) Upgrading policy for introductions and transfers of fishes and improving related enforcement

4) Enhancing education and training of aquaculture workers, particularly relative to containment and farm/hatchery management

5) Ensuring the maintenance of wild stocks at or above their conservation requirements



6) Continuing the use of local stocks as donors, where possible, for currently practiced aquaculture, or using other strains if rendered sterile or properly contained, and

7) Continue incorporating risk analysis into the review process for the location of hatcheries and salmon farms.


Aquaculture license holders of marine finfish must operate to comply with the Aquaculture Management Regulations for Nova Scotia (Schedule A – Regulations Respecting Aquaculture Management made by the Governor in Council under Section 4 Chapter 25 of the Acts of 1996, the Fisheries and Coastal Resources Act). A detailed Farm Management Plan (FMP) is required to outline the company's policies for fish health management, containment management, farm operations and environmental monitoring. The FMP is reviewed by Nova Scotia's Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture to ensure compliance to the Aquaculture Management Regulations. KCS policies outlined in the FMP address priority objectives 1, 2, 4, and 6, as listed above, to reduce the potential impacts of salmon aquaculture on wild salmon populations. Points 3, 5, and 7 are beyond the control of KCS. The FMP, in part or in whole, will be made available upon request by DFA or other parties.



# Section 8. The Number and Productivity of Other Aquaculture Sites in the Public Waters Surrounding the Proposed Aquacultural Operation

#### 8.1 Identification of Other Aquaculture Sites

There are nine (9), aquaculture sites less than 15 km from the Rattling Beach site; two are marine finfish (Atlantic salmon), one is licensed for halibut (#1302), one for quahog (#1228), five for soft-shell clam (#1343, 1338, 1342, 1339, 1340) and one is for mixed species of sea/bay scallop, American Oyster, and European Oyster (#1042; Fig. 49, Table 15). The Atlantic salmon farms nearest to the site are both owned by KCS; however, only one (#1040) is operational.



Note: Figure was sourced from the Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (2016)



Site #	Distance to Rattling Beach (km)	Species	Owner
1302	2.2	Halibut	Pronet Micro Systems Inc.
1343	2.2	Soft-shelled clam	Innovative Fisheries Products
1041	2.7	Atlantic salmon	Kelly Cove Salmon
1040	2.8	Atlantic salmon	Kelly Cove Salmon
1042	3.9	Sea scallop, bay scallop; American oyster; European oyster	Innovative Fisheries Products
1338	5.9	Soft-shell clam	Innovative Fisheries Products
1342	6.6	Soft-shell clam	Innovative Fisheries Products
1339	7.5	Soft-shell clam	Innovative Fisheries Products
1340	11.1	Soft-shell clam	Innovative Fisheries Products
1228	14.2	Quahog	Innovative Fisheries Products

#### Table 17. Distance from Rattling Beach #1039 to nearby finfish and shellfish aquaculture sites

#### 8.2 Interactions with Other Aquaculture Operations

This site is not part of an Aquaculture Management Area (AMA) for the purpose of managing the health of aquatic animals in the area as we are the sole Atlantic salmon producer in the Production Area.

We do however, operate a company managed stocking/harvesting plan that follows similar principles to an AMA.

#### 8.2.1 Environmental Conditions

The water within Annapolis Basin is continually circulated and flushed as a result of a significant tidal range. Based on Canadian Hydrographic Service Tide Tables (Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2017f) for Digby (Station #325), the predicted highest high tide for 2017 is 9.4 m and the lowest low tide is -0.4 m, giving a maximum tidal range of 9.8 m. Typically, the tidal range is between 6 and 9 m.

Collection of local current speed and direction data throughout the water column was carried out between June 29 and August 4, 2016 using a 600-kHz Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) deployed by NSDFA. Most of the water flowed towards the NNE. Recorded current speeds demonstrated 18 to 24 cm/s near the seafloor, 24 to 36 cm/s mid-water



column and the greatest currents at the surface. With significant currents and flow directed towards the mouth of the basin, a significant interaction with other aquaculture operations as a result of onsite activity, is unlikely.

Annual environmental monitoring of Rattling Beach is conducted in accordance to the Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture's Standard Operating Procedures for Environmental Monitoring of marine Aquaculture Sites in Nova Scotia. Rattling Beach has returned Oxic classifications for the last two production cycles, indicating this site is stocked and managed sustainably.

#### 8.2.2 Boat Traffic and Wharves

Site #1039 is located on the western side of the Annapolis Basin, near the mouth of the Digby Gut channel. All the aquaculture operations in Annapolis Basin, including Rattling Beach, are situated near the shore. Farm gear and structures, when marked in accordance to NPP approval, do not pose a navigation risk or impedance.

The Port of Digby Fishermen's Wharf accommodates community vessels including fishing vessels as well as working vessels Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. sites, Victoria Beach (#1040) and Rattling Beach (#1039) (Figure 55).

Within the production cycle, disease, including parasites are spread by the movement of live fish, both farmed and wild, the movement of dead fish, human or animal movement between farms, equipment transfers and those naturally occurring in the water column are minimized through the following good management biosecurity practices. Footbaths are to be used upon entering and exiting the site vessel. All equipment will be disinfected prior to being introduced to the Rattling Beach site. Site crew are aware of internal biosecurity protocols regarding staff and equipment movement from site to site and from public locations to the site.

Visitors to the Rattling Beach site are welcomed and are expected to follow basic biosecurity and health and safety rules. The Site Manager should confirm with the Area Manager that a visitor(s) has approval to be on site if the Site was not previously informed. If a certain site has a fish health concern, visitors will not be allowed to visit the site – unless granted permission by Saltwater Management or the Fish Health Manager. All visitors must sign the log book. Surprise visitors such as Government inspectors should also be reported to Management. Visitors must change their footwear prior to stepping on site, rubber boots will be provided from the office. Special exemptions may be given in the instances of surprise inspections or large group tours or if the visitor(s) are low risk and will not be handling fish or involved in farming operations. All visitors must wear a PFD provided by the office to the site and while on site and the use of footbaths and proper hygiene is mandatory.





Figure 55. Current and Historic Wharves and Boat Landings Near Rattling Beach Aquaculture Site



#### 8.2.3 Shellfish and Atlantic Salmon Aquaculture

A total of seven (7) shellfish and two (2) active Atlantic salmon net pen aquaculture sites are present in the Annapolis Basin (Figure 1). There are no direct interactions between shellfish and Atlantic salmon aquaculture, specifically related to disease transfer.



Contact Name	Affiliation	E-mail	Phone	Date of Contact	Reason for Contact
Justin Huston	NSDFA	hustonje@gov.ns.ca	(902) 424- 2996	May 11, 2007	Rockweed harvesting
David MacArthur	Environment Canada	David.MacArthur@eg.gc.ca	(902) 426- 6296	Jul 5, 2016	Shellfish Areas
Carl MacDonald	DFO	Carl.MacDonald@dfo-mpo.gc.ca	(902) 426- 1488	Sep 28, 2011	Fisheries
	ᆕ			Oct 11, 2017	Recreational Fisheries
Colin O'Neil	DFO – Policy & Economics	Colin.ONeil@dfo-mpo.gc.ca	(902) 426- 6296	Oct 18, 2016	Fisheries
Frank Quinn	DFO – Area Director	Frank.Quinn@dfo-mpo.gc.ca	(867) 393- 6719	Oct 10, 2017	Aboriginal Fisheries
Wendy Vissers	NSDFA	Wendy.Vissers@novascotia.ca	(902) 526- 3617	Oct 4, 2016	Rockweed licences
Sean Weseloh McKeane	Communities, Culture and Heritage	Sean.WeselohMcKeane@novascotia.ca	(902) 424- 6475	Jun 12, 2016	Archaeological resources



#### References

Atlantic Leatherback Turtle Recovery Team, 2006. Recovery strategy for leatherback turtle (Dermochelys coriacea) in Atlantic Canada. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Ottawa, vi + 45 pp. <u>http://www.registrelepsararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/plans/rs\_Leatherback\_turtle\_Atlantic\_population\_0207\_e.p</u> <u>df</u>, accessed June 28, 2016

Atlantic Salmon Federation (ASF), 2013. Aquaculture Facts. <u>http://0104.nccdn.net/1\_5/1f2/28c/360/aquaculture-backgrounder2013v2.pdf</u>, updated August 2013, accessed September 25, 2017.

Atlantic Salmon Federation (ASF), 2016a. Atlas of rivers, Nova Scotia, acid-rain impacted. <u>http://atlanticsalmonfederation.org/rivers/novascotia.html</u>, accessed June 22, 2016

Atlantic Salmon Federation (ASF), 2016b. Atlas of rivers, Nova Scotia, present. <u>http://atlanticsalmonfederation.org/rivers/novascotia.html</u>, accessed June 22, 2016

Bay Ferries 2017. Nova Scotia to New Brunswick Ferry. <u>https://www.ferries.ca/nb-ns-ferry/schedule/</u>, accessed September 21, 2017.

Bedford Institute of Oceanography (BIO). 2015a. Spiny Dogfish <u>http://www.bio.gc.ca/sharks/maritime/squalusacanthias-en.php</u>, updated June 16, 2015, accessed June 24, 2016

Bedford Institution of Oceanography (BIO). 2015b. Thorny Skate <u>http://www.bio.gc.ca/science/research-</u> <u>recherche/fisheries-pecheries/rays-raies/atlanticatlantique/ amblyraja-radiata-en.php,</u> updated June 16, 2015, accessed June 24, 2016

Bird Studies Canada (BSC), 2014. Maritimes breeding birds atlas: maps. <u>http://www.mbaaom.ca/jsp/map.jsp?lang=en</u>, accessed June 23, 2016

Canadian Shark Research Laboratory, 2012. Shark Fisheries. <u>http://www.marinebiodiversity.ca/shark/english/fisheries.htm</u>, updated October 23, 2012; accessed November 15, 2012.

Clark, D.S., Clark, K.J., Claytor, R., Leslie, S., Melvin, G.D., Porter, J.M., Power, M.J., Stone, H.H. and Waters, C., 2012. Limit reference point for Southwest Nova Scotia / Bay of Fundy spawning component of Atlantic herring, *Clupea harengus* (German Bank and Scots Bay). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2012/025. iii + 14 p., <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/Csas-sccs/publications/resdocs-docrech/2012/2012\_025-eng.pdf</u>, accessed June 9, 2016

Clark, K.J., Clark, D.S., Andrushchenko, I.V. and Swain, D.P., 2015. Recovery Potential Assessment (RPA) for the Southern Designatable Unit (NAFO Divisions 4X5Yb and 5Zjm) of Atlantic Cod (*Gadus morhua*). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2015/069. v + 58 p., <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/Publications/ResDocs-</u> <u>DocRech/2015/2015\_069-eng.pdf</u>, accessed June 9, 2016

Coastal Communities Network 2005. Nova Scotia Wharves. <u>http://www.closetothecoast.ca/.</u> Archived. Accessed March 2016.

Coffen-Smout, S., Shervill, D., Sam, C., Denton, C. and Tremblay, J., 2013. Mapping inshore lobster landings and fishing effort on a Maritimes Region modified grid system. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 3024: 33 pp.



COSEWIC, 2005. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the winter skate Leucoraja ocellata in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa.

http://www.registrelepsararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/cosewic/sr\_winter\_skate\_e.pdf, accessed June 27, 2016

COSEWIC, 2006. COSEWIC status and stock assessment report on the blue shark Prionace glauca in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. vii +46 pp. http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/cosewic/sr\_blue\_shark\_e.pdf, accessed June 23, 2016

COSEWIC, 2008. COSEWIC assessment and update status report on the killer whale Orcinus orca, southern resident population, northern resident population, west coast transient population, offshore population and Northwest Atlantic / Eastern Arctic population, in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. http://www.registrelepsararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/cosewic/sr\_killer\_whale\_0809\_e.pdf, accessed June 24, 2016

COSEWIC, 2009a. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the American plaice *Hippoglossoides platessoides* Maritime population, Newfoundland and Labrador population, Arctic population in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. x + 74 pp. <u>http://www.registrelep-</u><u>sararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/cosewic/sr\_american\_plaice\_0809\_e.pdf</u>, accessed June 9, 2016

COSEWIC, 2009b. COSEWIC status and stock assessment report on the basking shark Cetorhinus maximus in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. viii + 56 pp. <u>http://www.registrelepsararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/cosewic/sr\_Basking%20Shark\_0810\_e1.pdf</u>, accessed June 23, 2016

COSEWIC, 2010a. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the loggerhead sea turtle Caretta Caretta in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. viii + 75 pp. <u>http://www.registrelepsararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/cosewic/sr\_Loggerhead%20Sea%20Turtle\_0810\_e.</u> pdf, accessed June 24, 2016

COSEWIC, 2011b. COSEWIC status and stock assessment report on the Atlantic bluefin tuna Thunnus thynnus in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. ix + 30 pp. <u>http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/cosewic/sr\_atlantic\_bluefin\_tuna\_0911\_eng.pdf</u>, accessed June 23, 2016

COSEWIC, 2010c. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the spiny dogfish Squalus acanthias, Atlantic population, in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. vii + 50 pp. <u>http://www.registrelepsararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/cosewic/sr\_Spiny%20Dogfish\_0810\_e1.pdf</u>, accessed June 27, 2016

COSEWIC, 2011b. COSEWIC status and stock assessment report on the Atlantic sturgeon Acipenser oxyrinchus in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. Xiii + 49 pp. <u>http://www.registrelepsararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/cosewic/sr\_Atlantic%20Sturgeon\_2011\_e.pdf</u>, accessed June 23, 2016

COSEWIC, 2013a. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the White Hake Urophycis\tenuis in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. xiii +45 pp. <u>http://www.registrelepsararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/cosewic/sr\_White%20Hake\_2013\_e.pdf</u>, accessed June 27, 2016



COSEWIC, 2013b. Assessment and status report on the piping plover Charadrius melodus. <u>http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/cosewic/sr\_Piping%20Plover\_2013\_e.pdf</u>, accessed June 28, 2016

Digby Courier. 2013. Town and municipality sharing sewer plant. <u>http://www.digbycourier.ca/news/2013/2/24/town-and-municipality-sharing-sewer-plan-3183620.html</u>. Updated February 24, 2013, accessed September 25, 2017.

DFO, 1999. Interaction between wild and farmed Atlantic salmon in the Maritime Provinces. DFO Mar. Reg. Hab. Status Rep. 99/1E. <u>http://www.oldsalmon.ca/docs/issues/interact.pdf</u>, accessed October 10, 2017

DFO, 2012. 2010 Survey of Recreational Fishing in Canada. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/stats/rec/canada-rec-eng.htm</u>, accessed September 26, 2017

DFO, 2015a. Interim report on Scotian Shelf silver hake (NAFO Divs. 4VWX) stock status. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Resp. 2015/004. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/publications/scr-rs/2015/2015\_004-eng.pdf</u>, accessed June 9, 2016

DFO, 2015b. 2014 assessment of Atlantic halibut on the Scotian Shelf and southern Grand Banks (NAFO Divisions 3NOPs4VWX5Zc). DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Resp. 2015/004. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csassccs/publications/scr-rs/2015/2015\_004-eng.pdf</u>, accessed June 9, 2016

DFO. 2015c. 2015 assessment of 4VWX herring. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep.2015/040. http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/publications/sar-as/2015/2015\_040-eng.pdf, accessed June 9, 2016

DFO. 2015d. Assessment of Nova Scotia (4VWX) snow crab. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci.Advis. Rep. 2015/034. http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/Publications/SARAS/2015/2015\_034-eng.pdf, accessed June 9, 2016

DFO. 2016. 4VWX herring 2016 Update Report. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2016/036. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/Publications/ScR-RS/2016/2016\_036-eng.pdf</u>, updated July 2016, accessed September 26, 2017

DFO, 2017a. Assessment of the Atlantic mackerel stock for the Northwest Atlantic (Subareas 3 and 4) in 2016. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2017/034. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/publications/sar-as/2014/2014\_030-eng.pdf</u>, updated August 2017, accessed September 26, 2017

DFO. 2017b. Assessment of Nova Scotia (4VWX) snow crab. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2017/033. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/Publications/SAR-AS/2017/2017\_033-eng.pdf</u>, updated August 2017, accessed September 26, 2017

DFO. 2017c. Stock Status of Atlantic Salmon in Salmon Fishing Areas (SFAs) 19-21 and 23. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Resp. 2017/020, <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/Publications/ScR-RS/2017/2017\_020-eng.pdf</u>, accessed September 22, 2017

Digby Courier. 2013. Town and municipality sharing sewer plant. <u>http://www.digbycourier.ca/news/2013/2/24/town-and-municipality-sharing-sewer-plan-3183620.html</u>. Updated February 24, 2013, accessed September 25, 2017.

Environment Canada, Canadian Ice Service, 2010. Sea ice climatic atlas, east coast 1980-2010. <u>http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications/8DFED3F9-4BD6-49F3-9ACA-F9AA9F52A96D/East\_Coast\_2010\_E.pdf</u>, accessed June 20, 2016



Environment Canada 2012a. Data Sources and Methods: Municipal Wastewater Treatment Indicator. <u>https://www.ec.gc.ca/indicateurs-indicators/48190375-C5F1-4504-9755-409F7E28D3ED/MWWS\_en.pdf</u>, updated April 2012, accessed September 25, 2017.

Environment Canada. 2012b. Recovery strategy for the piping plover (Charadrius melodus melodus) in Canada. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series. Environment Canada, Ottawa. v + 29 pp. <u>http://www.registrelepsararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/plans/rs\_piping\_plover\_melodus\_e1.pdf</u>, accessed June 28, 2016

Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2016a. Birds protected in Canada under the Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994. <u>https://www.ec.gc.ca/Nature/default.asp?lang=En&n=496E2702-1</u>, accessed July 5, 2016

Environment Canada, 2017a. Past weather and climate, historical data. <u>http://climate.weather.gc.ca/historical\_data/search\_historic\_data\_e.html</u>, accessed September 20, 2017

Environment Canada. 2017b. Protected Areas Network. <u>http://www.ec.gc.ca/ap-pa/ Modified</u>: July 24, 2017. Visited Sept 21, 2017.

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2002. Canadian Atlantic pelagic shark integrated fisheries management plan 2002 - 2007. <u>http://www.marinebiodiversity.ca/shark/english/document/2002 - 2007%20SHARK%20management%20Plan.pdf</u>, accessed November 20, 2012.

Fisheries and Oceans Canada. 2006. Recovery strategy for the Atlantic whitefish (Coregonus huntsmani) in Canada. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Ottawa, xiii + 42 pp, <u>http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/virtual\_sara/files/plans/rs\_Atlantic\_Whitefish\_0207\_e.pdf</u>, accessed June 23, 2016

Fisheries and Oceans Canada (Ocean and Ecosystem Science), 2007. DFO Temperature – Scotian Shelf / Gulf of Maine Environment Canada 2012. Data Sources and Methods: Municipal Wastewater Treatment Indicator. <u>https://www.ec.gc.ca/indicateurs-indicators/48190375-C5F1-4504-9755-409F7E28D3ED/MWWS\_en.pdf</u>, updated April 2012, accessed September 25, 2017.

Fisheries and Oceans Canada. 2010. Atlantic Whitefish. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/speciesespeces/profiles-profils/whitefish-coregone-eng.html</u>, updated August 10, 2010, accessed June 24, 2016

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2011. Status of Atlantic salmon in salmon fishing areas (SFAs) 19-21 and 23. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Resp. 2011/005, <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/Csas-sccs/publications/ScR-RS/2011/2011\_005-eng.pdf</u>, accessed June 8, 2016

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2013. Assessment of Information on Irish moss, rockweed and kelp harvests in Nova Scotia. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/Publications/SAR-AS/2013/2013\_004-eng.pdf</u>, updated March 2013, accessed September 26, 2017

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2014a. Fisheries. <u>http://www.inter.dfo-</u> <u>mpo.gc.ca/Maritimes/Oceans/OCMD/Atlas/Fisheries</u>, last updated March 4, 2014; accessed June 9, 2016

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2014b. Seafisheries, 2014 value of Atlantic coast commercial landings, by region (thousand dollars). <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/stats/commercial/landdebarq/sea-maritimes/s2014av-eng.htm</u>, accessed June 9, 2016



Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2015a. Fisheries sustainability – swordfish. <u>http://www.dfompogc.ca/fm-gp/sustainable-durable/fisheries-peches/swordfish-espadon-eng.htm</u>, updated March 6, 2015; accessed June 1, 2016

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2015b. Fisheries sustainability – snow crab. <u>http://www.dfompo.gc.ca/fm-gp/sustainable-durable/fisheries-peches/snow-crab-eng.htm</u>, updated March 6, 2015; accessed June 1, 2016

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2015c. Fisheries sustainability – shrimp. <u>http://www.dfompo.gc.ca/fm-gp/sustainable-durable/fisheries-peches/shrimp-crevette-eng.htm</u>, updated March 6, 2015; accessed June 1, 2016

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2015d. Smooth Skate (Laurentian-Scotian Population) <u>http://www.dfo-</u> <u>mpo.gc.ca/species-especes/profiles-profils/smoothskate-raievelours-LSeng.html</u>, updated September 4, 2015; accessed June 24, 2016

Fisheries and Oceans, 2015e. Status of Atlantic salmon in salmon fishing areas (SFAs) 19-21 and 23. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Resp. 2015/021, <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/Publications/ScR-RS/2015/2015\_021-eng.pdf</u>, accessed June 8, 2016

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2016a. Canadian Atlantic swordfish and other tunas. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/fm-gp/peches-fisheries/ifmp-gmp/swordfish-espadon/swordfish-2004-espadon-eng.htm</u>, updated December 14, 2017, accessed September 26, 2017

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2016b. Assessment of Scallops (Placopecten magellanicus) in scallop production areas 1 to 6 in the Bay of Fundy. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis.Rep. 2016/004, <a href="http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\_2016/mpo-dfo/Fs70-6-2016-004-eng.pdf">http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\_2016/mpo-dfo/Fs70-6-2016-004-eng.pdf</a>, accessed October 17, 2016

Fisheries and Oceans Canada. 2016c. Marine Protected Areas (MPA). <u>http://www.dfompo.gc.ca/oceans/mpa/index-eng.html</u>, update June 24, 2016 accessed July 7, 2016

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2017a. 2015 Atlantic coast commercial landings, by region. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/stats/commercial/land-debarq/sea-maritimes/s2015aq-eng.htm</u>, updated February 3, 2017, accessed September 26, 2017

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2017b. 2015 Value of Atlantic Landings. <u>http://www.dfo-</u> <u>mpo.gc.ca/stats/commercial/land-debarq/sea-maritimes/s2015av-eng.htm</u>, last updated January 24, 2107, accessed September 26, 2017

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2017c. Haddock. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/species-especes/profiles-profils/haddock-aiglefin-eng.html</u>, last updated March 3, 2017, accessed September 26, 2017

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2017d. 2015 Volume of Atlantic Landings. <u>http://www.dfo-</u> <u>mpo.gc.ca/stats/commercial/land-debarq/sea-maritimes/s2015aq-eng.htm</u>, updated February 3, 2017, accessed September 26, 2017

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2017e. Assessment of Scallops (*Placopecten magellanicus*) in scallop production areas 1 to 6 in the Bay of Fundy. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 201/002, <u>http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\_2017/mpo-dfo/Fs70-7-2017-002-eng.pdf</u>, updated February 2017, accessed September 26, 2017



Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2017f. Tides, Currents, and Water Levels. http://www.tides.gc.ca/eng/find/region/5, accessed September 22, 2017

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2017g. Oceanography and Scientific Data, Prince 5 Station, hydrographic data, <u>http://www.meds-sdmm.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/isdm-gdsi/azmp-pmza/hydro/station/yearly-annuelle-eng.html?a=1&y=2016</u>, updated November 23, 2016; accessed September 25, 2017

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). 2003. General situation of world fish stocks. <u>http://www.fao.org/newsroom/common/ecg/1000505/en/stocks.pdf</u>, accessed October 8, 2016

Gibson, A.J.F., Bowlby, H.D. Sam, D.L. and Amiro. P.G., 2009. Review of DFO Science information for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) populations in the Southern Upland region of Nova Scotia. Can. Sci. Adv. Sec. Res. Doc. 2009/081

Hibbard, J.P., van Staal, C.R., Rankin, D.W. and Williams, H. 2006. Lithotectonic map of the Appalachian Orogen, Canada-United States of America; Geological Survey of Canada, Map 2096A, scale 1:1,500,000

i-Boating. 2017. Free Marine Navigation Charts and Fishing Maps. <u>http://fishing-app.gpsnauticalcharts.com/i-boating-fishing-web-app/fishing-marine-charts-navigation.html#11.62/44.6505/-65.6940</u>. Accessed October 31 2017.

Important Bird Areas Canada, 2016. IBA Canada important bird areas. http://www.ibacanada.com/mapviewer.jsp?lang=EN, accessed August 30, 2016

International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT), 2014. Stock assessments. https://www.iccat.int/en/assess.htm, updated December 11, 2014; accessed June 1, 2016

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2009. Leucoraja ocellata. <u>http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/161631/0</u>, accessed June 24, 2016

Keppie, J.D. (compiler), 2000. Geological map of the province of Nova Scotia. Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources. Minerals and Energy Branch, Map ME 2000-1, scale 1:500,000

Lacroix, G.L. and Knox, D. 2005. Acidification status of rivers in several regions of Nova Scotia and potential impacts on Atlantic salmon. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2573: v + 71 p.

Lawton, P., 1993. Salmon aquaculture and the traditional invertebrate fisheries of the Fundy Isles region: habitat mapping and impact definition. Cooperation Agreement on Fisheries and Aquaculture Development Contract Number 291.303

MacLaren and Plansearch Limited, 1991. Wind and Wave Climate Atlas, Volume 1, The east coast of Canada, Transport Canada.

Maritime Museum of the Atlantic. 2016. Marine Heritage Database; Annapolis Basin. http://novascotia.ca/museum/wrecks/wrecks/, updated October 5, 2007, accessed July 5, 2016

Municipality of Digby. 2017a. Key Economic Sectors. <u>https://www.digbydistrict.ca/key-economic-sectors.html</u>, accessed September 25, 2017.

Municipality of Digby. 2017b. Public Works. <u>https://www.digbydistrict.ca/public-works.html.</u> Accessed September 22, 2017.



Natanson, L.J., Sulikowski, J.A., Kneebone, J.R. and Tsang, P.C., 2007. Age and growth estimates for the smooth skate, Malacoraja senta, in the Gulf of Maine. Enivron Biol Fish 80: 293-308

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2017. National Data Buoy Center. <u>http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/</u> updated July 7, 2017, accessed September 20, 2017

Nova Scotia Canada. 2016. Species at Risk Overview <u>http://novascotia.ca/natr/wildlife/biodiversity/species-list.asp</u>, updated Nov. 27, 2015; accessed June 24, 2016

Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (NSDFA), 2013. 2013 Nova Scotia commercial landings. <u>http://novascotia.ca/fish/documents/commercial-stats/2013\_NS\_Commercial\_Landings.pdf</u>, accessed September 26, 2017

Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (NSDFA), 2014. Industry overview. http://novascotia.ca/fish/commercial-fisheries/industry-overview/, accessed September 26, 2017

Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (NSDFA). 2017. Sportfishing Guides. <u>https://novascotia.ca/fish/sportfishing/sportfishing-guides/#area-five</u>, accessed October 11, 2017

Nova Scotia Environment, 2016. Nova Scotia's Protected Areas. <u>http://www.novascotia.ca/nse/protectedareas/map.asp</u>, accessed August 29, 2016

Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources (NSDNR). 2016. Significant Species and Habitats Database. <u>https://nsgi.novascotia.ca/plv/</u>, accessed June 23, 2016

Nova Scotia Federation of Agriculture. 2014. Statistical Profile of Digby County. <u>http://nsfa-fane.ca/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/Statistical-Profile-of-Digby-County.pdf</u>. accessed September 22, 2017.

Nova Scotia Fisheries Sector Council. 2017. Processing Companies. <u>http://www.nsfsc.ca/processingcompanies.html</u>. accessed September 21, 2017.

NSLC Adopt a Stream, 2017. NSLC Adopt a Stream – Groups and Projects. <u>http://adoptastream.ca/groups-and-projects</u>, accessed October 6, 2017

O'Boyle, 2012. Fish stock status and commercial fisheries: state of the Scotian Shelf report. Fisheries and Oceans Canada. <u>http://coinatlantic.ca/docs/fish-stock-status-and-commercial-fisheries.pdf</u>, accessed June 9, 2016

Port of Digby 2017. Facilities and Services. <u>http://www.portofdigby.ca/facilities-and-services</u>, Accessed September 21, 2017.

Ramsar. 2017. Wetlands in Canada. <u>http://www.ramsar.org/wetland/canada</u>, accessed October 2, 2017.

Seafish. 2015. Northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in Canadian waters, shrimp fishing areas (SFAs) 13-16, shrimp trap. <u>www.seafish.org/rass/do\_pdf.php?id=2496&section=all</u>, updated October 2015, accessed June 1, 2016

Serdynska, A. and Coffen-Smout, S. 2017. Mapping Inshore Lobster Landings and Fishing Effort on a Maritimes Region Statistical Grid (2012-2014). <u>http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\_2017/mpo-dfo/Fs97-6-3177-eng.pdf</u>, accessed September 26, 2017



Showell, M.A., Themelis, D., Mohn, R.K. and Comeau, P.A., 2013. Haddock on the Southern Scotian Shelf and Bay of Fundy in 2011 (NAFO Division 4X5Y). DFO Can. Sci. Advis.

Statistics Canada. 2017a. Digby TY [Census division], Nova Scotia and Digby, CTY [Census division], Nova Scotia (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 98-316-X2016001. Ottawa. Released September 13, 2017. <u>http://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E</u>, accessed September 22, 2017

Statistics Canada 2017b. Table 004-0200 – Census of Agriculture, farms classified by the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), every 5 years (number), CANSIM (database). Accessed September 22, 2017.

Statistics Canada. 2013a. Bear River (Part) 6, IRI, Nova Scotia (Code 1203009) (table). National Household Survey (NHS) Profile. 2011 National Household Survey. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 99-004-XWE. Ottawa. <u>http://www12.statcan.gc.ca/nhs-enm/2011/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E</u> Released September 11, 2013; accessed June 8, 2016.

Statistics Canada. 2013b. Yarmouth 33, IRI, Nova Scotia (Code 1202040) (table). National Household Survey (NHS) Profile. 2011 National Household Survey. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 99-004-XWE. Ottawa. <u>http://www12.statcan.gc.ca/nhs-enm/2011/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E</u> Released September 11, 2013; accessed June 8, 2016.

Statistics Canada. 2013c. Gold River 21, IRI, Nova Scotia (Code 1206011) (table). National Household Survey (NHS) Profile. 2011 National Household Survey. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 99-004-XWE. Ottawa. <u>http://www12.statcan.gc.ca/nhs-enm/2011/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E</u> Released September 11, 2013; accessed June 8, 2016.

The Salmon Atlas, 2016. Atlantic salmon rivers of Nova Scotia, Canada. <u>http://www.salmonatlas.com/atlanticsalmon/canada-east/nova-scotia/mapnovascotia.html</u>, accessed July 5, 2016

Transboundary Resource Assessment Committee (TRAC), 2010. Atlantic mackerel in the Northwest Atlantic. TRAC Status Report 2010/01. <u>http://www.bio.gc.ca/info/intercol/trac-cert/documents/reports/TSR\_2010\_01\_E.pdf</u>, accessed June 9, 2016

Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network 2017. Bay of Fundy. <u>www.whsrn.org</u> visited Sept 21, 2017



Appendices



Appendix A – Baseline Report

## Baseline Assessment Report

## Site #1039 Rattling Beach

Annapolis Basin Digby County Nova Scotia

October 20, 2016

Prepared for: Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. P.O. Box 1546 Shelburne, NS BOT 1W0

Prepared by: Sweeney International Marine Corp. NRC-IMB Research Facilities 1411 Oxford Street Suite 264-265 Halifax, NS B3H 3Z1 Tel: (902) 492-7865 (902) 492-0359 Fax: (902) 492-7734 www.simcorp.ca



SIMCorp File #SW2016-059

New Brunswick

Newfoundland

Nova Scotia



NRC-IMB Research Facilities 1411 Oxford Street Suite 367-368 Halifax, NS B3H 3Z1 **Tel: (902) 492-7865 (902) 492-0359** Fax: (902) 492-7734 www.simcorp.ca

October 20, 2016

SIMCorp File #SW2016-059

Mr. Jeff Nickerson Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. P.O. Box 1546 Shelburne, NS B0T 1W0

Dear Mr. Nickerson,

#### Reference: Rattling Beach (#1039) Baseline Report

Please find enclosed the above noted report and attached video footage for the proposed boundary amendment of site #1039 at Annapolis Basin, N.S.

If you have any questions or comments on the above noted report please do not hesitate to contact me at 902-492-7865.

Sincerely,

Snaun Aliain, B.Sc. Marine Environmental Biologist Sweeney International Marine Corp.

cc: Jessica Feindel (NSDFA) Mike Szemerda (KCS) Bob Sweeney (SIMCorp)

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	RODUCTION	3
1.0 IN I		
2.0 CO	NTACT INFORMATION	5
3.0 ME	THODOLOGY	5
3.1	Sampling Locations	5
3.2	Sample Collection	
3.3	-	
4.0 SEI	DIMENT SAMPLE ANALYSIS AND DATA COLLECTION	10
4.1	Sediment Sample Analysis	
4.2		11
4.3	ADCP Deployment	
5.0 RE	SULTS AND OBSERVATIONS	11
6.0 DIS	CUSSION	24
6.1	Benthic Observation and Analysis	24
6.2	Current Speed and Direction	

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 – Current boundary and center coordinates of Rattling Beach (#1039) 3
Table 2 – Proposed boundary and center coordinates of Rattling Beach (#1039) 4
Table 3 – Baseline Sampling Coordinates at Site #1039, Annapolis Basin for
Boundary Amendments7
Table 4 – Reference station coordinates for current and proposed lease
boundaries at Rattling Beach (#1039)7
Table 5 – Environmental Quality Definitions for Nova Scotia Marine Aquaculture
Monitoring10
Table 6 – ANB-A Benthic Log12
Table 7 – Corner #4 Benthic Log13
Table 8 – Corner #5 Benthic Log14
Table 9 – Corner #6 Benthic Log15
Table 10 – Corner #7 Benthic Log16
Table 11 – Corner #1 Benthic Log17
Table 12 – ANB-01 Benthic Log
Table 13 – Corner #2 Benthic Log19
Table 14 – Corner #3 Benthic Log20
Table 15 – Redox potential and sulphide ion concentration for samples collected
at proposed marine aquaculture lease #103921

i

Table 16 – 2016 Baseline porosity and percent organic matter data for site #103	39
	23

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Current Rattling Beach (#1039) location in Annapolis Basin
Figure 2 – Proposed boundary location for Rattling Beach (#1039)4
Figure 3 – Baseline sampling stations at Rattling Beach (#1039)6
Figure 4 – Proposed reference station locations for new lease boundaries and
ADCP deployment location at Rattling Beach (#1039)8
Figure 5 – Mean redox potential and sulphide ion concentration at proposed
marine aquaculture lease #103922
Figure 6 – Average current speed and direction recorded at site #1039 within 3 –
10 m above the seafloor25
Figure 7 – Interpolated 2D bathymetric profiles of site #1039 at Rattling Beach.26
Figure 8 – Interpolated 3D surface map of site #1039 at Rattling Beach site27

#### APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A Sulphide Probe Calibration Certificates
- APPENDIX B Redox and Sulphide Data Sheets
- APPENDIX C Sediment Grain Size Analysis
- APPENDIX D Grab Photos Content
- APPENDIX D Screen Captures of the Seafloor
- APPENDIX F Sample Storage Temperatures
- APPENDIX G Sediment Sample Quality Criteria
- APPENDIX H ADCP Data



## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The following baseline report and attached video have been prepared by SIMCorp for Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. to summarize the findings of the formal baseline environmental survey required as part of the application for a boundary amendment of Rattling Beach (#1039). Marine aquaculture site #1039 is located on the western shore of the Annapolis Basin, near the mouth of Digby Gut channel in Digby County (Fig. 1). This area is shown on CHS chart #4396. The current lease has dimensions of approximately 160 x 460 x 210 x 460 m with an area of approximately 8.74 ha (Table 1).




Figure 1 – Current Rattling Beach (#1039) location in Annapolis Basin

Table 1 – Current boundar	and center coordinates	of Rattling Beach (#1039)
	y and contor coordination	

SITE COORDINATES (NAD 83)		
Corner	Latitude	Longitude
1	44° 39' 20.34"	65° 45' 27.36"
2	44° 39' 20.40"	65° 45' 20.10"
3	44° 39' 08.76"	65° 45' 17.64"
4	44° 39' 05.52"	65° 45' 17.58"
5	44° 39' 05.40"	65° 45' 27.06"
Site Centre	44° 39' 12.68"	65° 45' 22.68"

SW2016-05	59
-----------	----



The proposed boundary amendment extends the lease boundaries to accommodate all below surface gear. The dimensions of the proposed lease are approximately 140 x 180 x 725 x 590 x 260 m with an area of approximately 24.01 ha (Fig. 2, Table 2).




Figure 2 – Proposed boundary location for Rattling Beach (#1039)

Table 2 - Proposed boundary and center coordinates of Rattling Be	Beach (#1039)
---	---------------

SITE COORDINATES (NAD 83)		
Corner	Latitude	Longitude
1	44° 39' 27.0"	65° 45' 24.5"
2	44° 39' 27.0"	65° 45' 18.2"
3	44° 39' 22.9"	65° 45' 12.6"
4	44° 38' 59.6"	65° 45' 09.7"
5	44° 38' 59.7"	65° 45' 26.3"
6	44° 39' 05.8"	65° 45' 26.3"
7	44° 39' 18.8"	65° 45' 27.0"
Approximate Site Center	44° 39' 13.8"	65° 45' 19.2"



Benthic field data contained within this report were collected by SIMCorp Field Supervisor and Marine Environmental Biologist Shaun Allain, B.Sc. and Marine Environmental Biologists Janelle Arsenault B.Sc. and Marshall Elsemore B.Sc., and Technician Rob Bosien on July 20, 2016. High tides were at 12:40 (7.7 m), low tides were at 18:49 (1.2 m).

Current speed and direction data presented in this document were collected with the use of an Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP), deployed by Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (NSDFA) at site #1039 from June 29 to August 4, 2016 (37 days).

## 2.0 CONTACT INFORMATION

Proponent:	
Company Name:	Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd.
Principal Contact:	Mr. Jeff Nickerson
Mailing Address:	P.O. Box 1546
	Shelburne, Nova Scotia
	B0T 1W0
E-mail:	jnickerson@cookeaqua.com
Project Management:	
Company Name:	Sweeney International Marine Corp.
Principal Contact:	Bob Sweeney
Mailing Address:	46 Milltown Blvd.
	St. Stephen, New Brunswick
	E3L 1G3
Telephone:	(506) 467-9014
Cellular:	
Facsimile:	(506) 467-9503
E-mail:	@simcorp.ca

### 3.0 METHODOLOGY

The methods employed to conduct the seafloor sediment condition analyses were adapted, in consultation with Nova Scotia's Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (NSDFA) officials, from a combination of Appendix 2 of the New Brunswick Department of Agriculture, Aquaculture and Fisheries (NB DAAF) *Bay of Fundy Marine Aquaculture Site Allocation Application Guide* (SOPs) and Appendix B of the Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture draft *Standard Operating Procedures for the Environmental Monitoring of Marine Aquaculture in Nova Scotia* dated June 2016.

## 3.1 Sampling Locations

A total of nine stations were investigated for the purpose of this baseline survey (Fig. 3). Currently, the site is stocked, therefore only the seven corners of the proposed boundaries and two reference stations were sampled. The sampling station coordinates *SW2016-059* 



are present in Table 3; sampling at the approximate site center was omitted because gear is present on site.

Reference stations previously sampled for the site (ANB-01 and ANB-05) are approximately 374 and 100 m from the current lease boundaries (Table 4). Extending the lease boundaries to incorporate all aquaculture site specific gear, above and below the waterline, will decrease the distance of the reference station ANB-01 to 155 m. ANB-05 will be within the proposed boundary; therefore, a new reference station is required. It is proposed ANB-A be located at N44° 38' 56.5" W65 45' 13.5", which is approximately 105 m south of the lease boundary. The recommended locations of the reference stations to accommodate the proposed boundaries are illustrated in Figure 3 and Figure 4.

An ADCP was deployed by Nova Scotia's Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture at the coordinate N44° 39' 03.3" W65° 45' 14.8" in approximately 14 m of water on June 29 to August 4. The current meter could not be deployed at the center of the proposed site due to the presence of gear and fish. Therefore, the location between the current and proposed site boundaries was chosen which is greater than 100 m from the nearest aquaculture site gear to avoid distortion of data (Fig. 4).




Figure 3 – Baseline sampling stations at Rattling Beach (#1039)




**Table 3 -** Baseline Sampling Coordinates at Site #1039, Annapolis Basin forBoundary Amendments


	SITE COORDINATES (NAD 83)						
Station	Location	Latitude	Longitude				
RB1	NW corner	44° 39' 27.0"	65° 45' 24.5"				
RB2	NE corner	44° 39' 27.0"	65° 45' 24.5"				
RB3	ENE corner	44° 39' 27.0"	65° 45' 18.2"				
RB4	SE corner	44° 39' 22.9"	65° 45' 12.6"				
RB5	ESE corner	44° 38' 59.6"	65° 45' 09.7"				
RB6	SE corner	44° 38' 59.7"	65° 45' 26.3"				
RB7	SSE corner	44° 39' 05.8"	65° 45' 26.3"				
ANB-01	Upstream Reference	44° 39' 18.8"	65° 45' 27.0"				
ANB-A	Downstream Reference	44° 39' 13.8"	65° 45' 19.2"				

**Table 4 –** Reference station coordinates for current and proposed lease boundaries at Rattling Beach (#1039)

<b>REFERENCE STATION COORDINATES (NAD 83)</b>					
Station	Latitude	Longitude	Lease Boundary		
ANB-01	44° 39' 32.5"	65° 45' 21.2"	Current and Proposed		
ANB-05	44° 39' 02.3"	65° 45' 19.7"	Current		
ANB - A	44° 39' 56.5"	65° 45' 13.5"	Proposed		







## 3.2 Sample Collection

A standard Ponar grab was used to collect sediment samples from all of the baseline stations; however, many of the samples could not be collected as the stations were located on hard bottom. After deployment, the grab was pulled aboard and placed on the deck. When present, the overlying water in the grab was removed via siphon and a picture was taken of the contents (Appendix D). Notes were taken on time, location, sediment type, colour, depth, odour, flora and fauna, etc. Sediment subsamples were collected from the top 2-cm of the grab samples with 10-mL syringes that were sealed with Parafilm M<sup>®</sup> and capped to form an airtight seal until analysed. Syringes were labelled and placed in a plastic cooler with ice. Samples were kept cool until analysed for redox, sulphide, porosity, and percent organic matter. The remaining top 2-cm of sediment was placed in 2-oz Whirl-Paks for use in grain size analysis.

Sample temperatures were recorded using HOBO ProV2 temperature loggers. Temperatures recorded from inside the sample cooler are presented graphically in Appendix F.

All reasonable efforts were made to conform to the SOPs, maintain storage temperature of samples, to collect samples that were as undisturbed as possible and to preserve the

#### SW2016-059

integrity of the samples until analysed. However, site #1039 is characterized by coarse sediments, mainly of cobble stones, pebbles, and moderately packed medium-to-fine sand, gravel, and mud. Retrieving three undisturbed, soft sediment samples with minimal leakage from the grab and at least 5 cm sediment depth was not possible from at any of the stations. Samples were collected from both of the reference stations (ANB -01 and A) as well as three corner stations (Corners #2, 3, & 4) for redox, sulphide, porosity, percent organic matter, and grain size analyses. These samples came from grabs which failed to meet one or more of the criteria; achieving 5 cm of sediment penetration was not always possible and grabs were often leaking due to catching rocks and shells (Appendix G).

## 3.3 Video Surveillance

Video footage was recorded using a Seaviewer Underwater Camera System, which was mounted perpendicular with the seafloor in an aluminum frame; i-Torches were used for light. A 0.25-m<sup>2</sup> quadrat was visible in the field of view as a size reference. The video camera frame includes a scale bar demarcated with 5-cm segments. Live video footage from the underwater camera was recorded using a J.W. Fishers digital video recorder (DVR) built into a VRM-1 video recorder and monitor system with a GPS interface, which allowed coordinate positions to be overlaid onto the video. Video recording of each sampling station started at the surface with the viewing of a "whiteboard" showing collection location information, followed by a 360° pan of the area at the sampling station and then the underwater footage. The recording continued uninterrupted for the duration of the underwater surveillance and was concluded only after the camera was returned to the vessel at the surface. Footage coverage included the camera's descent, impact with the sediment surface, and minimum of 5-m<sup>2</sup> of seafloor over a minimum duration of two minutes. Screen shots of the seafloor for each sample location were taken and are presented in Appendix E. All on-site visual assessments have been recorded in the field notes and video assessments supplement the field data included in this report. Seafloor characteristics for each station are presented in Tables 6 - 14.

## 3.4 Bathymetric Profiling

Bathymetric profiling of the existing lease area was carried out on October 5, 2016 using a Hummingbird system Helix 5 SI-GPS to record X, Y, and Z coordinates throughout the lease. The data gathered during the scanning was then compiled and a three dimensional surface map and a two dimensional contour diagram produced by interpolation. Scanning of the Rattling Beach area began at the northern boundary of the proposed lease. Parallel transects were run the length of the lease area, separated by approximately 50 m. The maps illustrate the basic bathymetry of the scanned area and can serve to aid in the planning and placement of marine farm infrastructure such as grid anchors and other moorings.



## 4.0 SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYSIS AND DATA COLLECTION

## 4.1 Sediment Sample Analysis

All sediment samples were analysed within 72 hours of collection for redox potential and sulphide ion concentration (Table 15, Fig. 5). Temperatures were taken for each sample. Redox readings in mV were adjusted for temperature to produce mV readings relative to the normal hydrogen electrode ( $mV_{NHE}$ ). Sulphide samples were brought to the same temperature at which the sulphide probe was calibrated before a reading was taken. Redox and sulphide measurements were made on the 0- to 2-cm deep portion of the grab samples. These results can be related to the Environmental Quality Definitions for Nova Scotia Marine Aquaculture Monitoring seen in Table 5. A copy of the laboratory data sheet for the redox and sulphide is presented in Appendix B.

Sediment samples from each station were sent to the SIMCorp Marine Benthic Sediments Laboratory for analysis of porosity, total organic content and grain size. The results of these analyses are presented in Table 16 and Appendix C.

**Table 5** – Environmental Quality Definitions for Nova Scotia Marine Aquaculture

 Monitoring

	Sediment Classification				
Measurement	Oxic	Hypoxic	Anoxic		
Sediment colour	Tan to depth > 0.5 cm	to depth > 0.5 cm Tan to < 0.5 cm with some black sediments at surface			
Microbial presence	No sulphur bacteria present	Patchy sulphur bacteria	Widespread bacterial mats		
Macrofaunal Assemblage	Wide array of infauna and epifauna	Mixed group of mostly small infauna	Small infauna only		
Sulfide, µM	< 750 (A) 750 to 1500 (B)	1500 to 3000 (A) 3000 to 6000 (B)	> 6000		
Redox (Eh), mV	>100 (A) 100 to -50 (B)	-50 to -100 (A) -100 to -150 (B)	< -150		
Organic matter, %	<= reference*	1.5 to 2X ref.	>2X reference		
Porosity, %	<= reference*	1 to 10X ref.	> 10X reference		



## 4.2 Equipment and Calibrations

Redox measurements were taken using a combination meter (Fisher Accumet AP125) and probe (Orion Epoxy Sure-Flow Combination Redox/ORP Electrode), which was checked for electrical function just prior to and after use. Readings were taken according to the SOP protocols. Sulphide measurements were taken using a calibrated combination meter (Fisher Accumet AP125) and probe (Orion 96-16 Sure-Flow Combination Silver/Sulphide Electrode). Meter and sulphide probe calibration took place in accordance with SOP protocols at 09:55 on July 21, 2016. One probe was calibrated and used to analyse the samples. The calibration event resulted in a final slope range between -27 and -33 mV (-30.0 mV) the calibration curve was between -25 to -30 mV [500 to 5000  $\mu$ M read: -25.6 mV, 1000 to 10000  $\mu$ M read: -25.1 mV]. The results of the five-point, factor-calibration are located in Appendix A. The calibration temperature was 20.8°C.

## 4.3 ADCP Deployment

Measurements of the current speed and direction were collected at Rattling Beach using a 600 kHz Teledyne RDI Workhorse Sentinel ADCP unit deployed by the Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (Fig. 4). The current meter could not be deployed at the center of the proposed site due to the presence of gear and fish. Therefore, a location between the current and proposed site boundaries was chosen, greater than 100 m from the nearest aquaculture site gear to avoid distortion of data. This meter was deployed at site #1039 for a period of approximately 37 days between June 29 and August 4, 2016. The ADCP was configured to record the current speed and direction of the water column in one (1) meter bins, collecting a profile every fifteen (15) minutes. Once the unit was recovered, the data were downloaded and analysed by NSDFA and processed by SIMCorp Marine Environmental Biologist Marshall Elsemore. Graphs and figures illustrating the frequency distribution of both current speed and direction are presented in Appendix H and raw current speed and direction data is included in the supplementary material attached to this report (*RattlingBeach.xls*).

## 5.0 RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

The following are baseline sampling station benthic characteristics at proposed marine aquaculture lease #1039 in the order they were sampled.



## Table 6 – ANB-A Benthic Log

Sampling Date:	July 20th, 2016						
Water Body:	Annapolis Bas	Annapolis Basin					
Lease Name and Number:	Rattling Beac	Rattling Beach #1039					
Water Temperature (°C)	14.4 °C						
Wind Direction and Speed:	NW 15 knots	shifting to	SW 15-20 I	knots			
Wave Action:	calm						
Current Direction & Speed:	Strong SW->I	NE with the	wind				
Tide Schedule:	High: 12:40 L	ow: 18:49					
Vessel:	Carolina Skiff						
Lease # or Reference Site:	Reference						Station Comments:
Video Start Time:	10:36 AM	10:36 AM					
Recorder Name(s):	Shaun Allain	Shaun Allain					
Sample Collector's Name(s)	Sediment Sa	Sediment Sampler: Marshall Elsemore Syringe Sampler: Janelle Arsenault				Video Notes:	
Sampling Station ID:	ANB-A				Moderately easily disturbed, fine, brown sand & pebbles; scallop		
Dist. and Dir. from Waypoint:	8 m @ 330°				shells; rock crabs; macroalgae		
Sampling Coordinates:	N44 38.946 W	N44 38.946 W65 45.228					Benthic Descriptor Key:
Station Depth (m):	11	11					e.g. Gas bubbles, feed, faeces, sediment: colour, type, and consistency
Video (Y/N):	Y	Y				<sup>2</sup> e.g. Strong, slight, none	
Number of Collection Attempts:	6	6					<sup>3</sup> e.g. Eel grass, kelp, lobster, starfish, Beggiatoa, polycheates, etc.
Sample/Collection method	Ascension Speed (m/s)	Sample (Y/N)	Sample ID	Sediment Description <sup>1</sup>	Odour <sup>2</sup>	Sediment Sample Depth (cm)	Flora/Fauna <sup>3</sup>
Benthic Replicate 1 (10 mL)			ANB - A				
Standard Ponar Grab	0.28	Y	(1)	Brown mud, sand, gravel	None	4	Scallop shell
Benthic Replicate 2 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab	0.23	Y	ANB - A (2)	Brown mud, sand, gravel	None	1	Scallop shell
Benthic Replicate 3 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab	0.28	Y	ANB - A (3)	Brown mud, sand, gravel	None	1	Whelks, fish bone



## Table 7 – Corner #4 Benthic Log

Lease # or Reference Site:	Corner					Station Comments:	
Video Start Time:	11:28 AM						
Recorder Name(s):	Shaun Allain						
Sample Collector's Name(s)	Sediment Sa	mpler: Ma	arshall Else	more Syringe Sampler: Janell	e Arsenault		Video Notes:
Sampling Station ID:	Corner #4						Moderately easily disturbed, brown sand, mud, pebbles, boulders &
Dist. and Dir. from Waypoint:	8 m @ 3.2 °						shell hash; rock crabs; scallop shells; macroalgae
Sampling Coordinates:	N44 38. 9977	W65 45.16	514				Benthic Descriptor Key:
Station Depth (m):	20						<sup>1</sup> e.g. Gas bubbles, feed, faeces, sediment: colour, type, and consistency
Video (Y/N):	Υ						<sup>2</sup> e.g. Strong, slight, none
Number of Collection Attempts:	3					<sup>3</sup> e.g. Eel grass, kelp, lobster, starfish, <i>Beggiatoa</i> , polycheates, etc.	
Sample/Collection method	Ascension Speed (m/s)	-	Sample ID	Sediment Description <sup>1</sup>	Odour <sup>2</sup>	Sediment Sample Depth (cm)	Flora/Fauna <sup>3</sup>
Benthic Replicate 1 (10 mL)				Brown mud, sand, pebbles,			
Standard Ponar Grab	0.28	Y	RB4 (1)	shell hash	None	2	Shell, whelk, barnacles
Benthic Replicate 2 (10 mL)				Prown mud cond pobbles			
Standard Ponar Grab	0.28	0.28 Y RB4 (2) Brown mud, sand, pebbles, None 5		Whelks			
Benthic Replicate 3 (10 mL)	Brown mud, sand, pebbles,						
Standard Ponar Grab	0.27	Y	RB4 (3)	shell hash	None	3	Whelks, barnacles



## Table 8 - Corner #5 Benthic Log

	<b>^</b>						
Lease # or Reference Site:	Corner						Station Comments: No sediment samples were able to be collected.
Video Start Time:	12:10 PM						
Recorder Name(s):	Shaun Allain						
Sample Collector's Name(s)	Sediment Sa	mpler: Ma	arshall Else	more Syringe Sampler: Janel	le Arsenault		Video Notes:
Sampling Station ID:	Corner #5						Moderately easily disturbed, brown mud, sand & boulders; kelp; algae;
Dist. and Dir. from Waypoint:	7 m @ 310°						macroalgae; barnacles; rock crabs; other crab species (possibly green crabs)
Sampling Coordinates:	N44 38.9975 \	N64 45.44	25				Benthic Descriptor Key:
Station Depth (m):	7.4						<sup>1</sup> e.g. Gas bubbles, feed, faeces, sediment: colour, type, and consistency
Video (Y/N):	Y						<sup>2</sup> e.g. Strong, slight, none
Number of Collection Attempts:	5						<sup>3</sup> e.g. Eel grass, kelp, lobster, starfish, <i>Beggiatoa</i> , polycheates, etc.
Sample/Collection method	Ascension Speed (m/s)	-	Sample ID	Sediment Description <sup>1</sup>	Odour <sup>2</sup>	Sediment Sample Depth (cm)	Flora/Fauna <sup>3</sup>
Benthic Replicate 1 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab		Ν					
Benthic Replicate 2 (10 mL)					1		
Standard Ponar Grab		N					
Benthic Replicate 3 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab		Ν					



## Table 9 - Corner #6 Benthic Log

	•						
Lease # or Reference Site:	Corner						Station Comments: No sediment samples were able to be collected.
Video Start Time:	12:31 PM						
Recorder Name(s):	Shaun Allain						
Sample Collector's Name(s)	Sediment Sa	mpler: Ma	arshall Else	emore Syringe Sampler: Janel	e Arsenault		Video Notes:
Sampling Station ID:	Corner #6						Moderately easily disturbed, light-brown sand, silt & boulders; kelp;
Dist. and Dir. from Waypoint:	6 m @ 336°						red algae; periwinkles; sea star
Sampling Coordinates:	N44 39.0996	W65 45.44	01				Benthic Descriptor Key:
Station Depth (m):	7						<sup>1</sup> e.g. Gas bubbles, feed, faeces, sediment: colour, type, and consistency
Video (Y/N):	Y						<sup>2</sup> e.g. Strong, slight, none
Number of Collection Attempts:	5						<sup>3</sup> e.g. Eel grass, kelp, lobster, starfish, <i>Beggiatoa</i> , polycheates, etc.
Sample/Collection method	Ascension Speed (m/s)		Sample ID	Sediment Description <sup>1</sup>	Odour <sup>2</sup>	Sediment Sample Depth (cm)	Flora/Fauna <sup>3</sup>
Benthic Replicate 1 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab		N					
Benthic Replicate 2 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab		N					
Benthic Replicate 3 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab		N					



## Table 10 – Corner #7 Benthic Log

Lease # or Reference Site:	Corner						Station Comments: No sediment samples were able to be collected.
							Station Comments. No seument samples were able to be collected.
Video Start Time:	12:54 PM						
Recorder Name(s):	Shaun Allain						
Sample Collector's Name(s)	Sediment Sa	mpler: Ma	arshall Else	more Syringe Sampler: Janel	e Arsenault		Video Notes:
Sampling Station ID:	Corner #7						Mostly boulders covered in barnacles and crustose algae; small
Dist. and Dir. from Waypoint:	5 m @ 335						patches of brown sand and mud; rockweed, green crab
Sampling Coordinates:	N44 39.316 W	/65 45.452					Benthic Descriptor Key:
Station Depth (m):	6						<sup>1</sup> e.g. Gas bubbles, feed, faeces, sediment: colour, type, and consistency
Video (Y/N):	Y						<sup>2</sup> e.g. Strong, slight, none
Number of Collection Attempts:	5						<sup>3</sup> e.g. Eel grass, kelp, lobster, starfish, <i>Beggiatoa</i> , polycheates, etc.
Sample/Collection method	Ascension Speed (m/s)		Sample ID	Sediment Description <sup>1</sup>	Odour <sup>2</sup>	Sediment Sample Depth (cm)	Flora/Fauna <sup>3</sup>
Benthic Replicate 1 (10 mL)						/	
Standard Ponar Grab		N					
Benthic Replicate 2 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab		N					
Benthic Replicate 3 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab		N					



## Table 11 – Corner #1 Benthic Log

	-						
Lease # or Reference Site:	Corner						Station Comments: No sediment samples were able to be collected.
Video Start Time:	1:11 PM						
Recorder Name(s):	Shaun Allain						
Sample Collector's Name(s)	Sediment Sa	mpler: Ma	arshall Else	more Syringe Sampler: Janel	le Arsenault		Video Notes:
Sampling Station ID:	Corner #1						Mostly boulders covered in barnacles; some light brown mud with
Dist. and Dir. from Waypoint:	4 m @ 128°						sand patches; red algae and kelp
Sampling Coordinates:	N44 39.4502 \	N65 45.40	58				Benthic Descriptor Key:
Station Depth (m):	6.7						<sup>1</sup> e.g. Gas bubbles, feed, faeces, sediment: colour, type, and consistency
Video (Y/N):	Υ						<sup>2</sup> e.g. Strong, slight, no ne
Number of Collection Attempts:	5						<sup>3</sup> e.g. Eel grass, kelp, lobster, starfish, <i>Beggiatoa</i> , polycheates, etc.
Sample/Collection method	Ascension Speed (m/s)	-	Sample ID	Sediment Description <sup>1</sup>	Odour <sup>2</sup>	Sediment Sample Depth (cm)	Flora/Fauna <sup>3</sup>
Benthic Replicate 1 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab		Ν					
Benthic Replicate 2 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab	N						
Benthic Replicate 3 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab		Ν					



## Table 12 – ANB-01 Benthic Log

Lease # or Reference Site:	Reference						Station Comments:
Video Start Time:	1:30 PM						
Recorder Name(s):	Shaun Allain						
Sample Collector's Name(s)	Sediment Sa	mpler: Ma	arshall Else	emore Syringe Sampler: Janel	le Arsenault		Video Notes:
Sampling Station ID:	ANB-01						Moderately packed, brown sand, rocks & shell debris; scallop shells;
Dist. and Dir. from Waypoint:	10 m @ 290°						crab; piece of trash
Sampling Coordinates:	N44 39.5431	W65 45.36′	16				Benthic Descriptor Key:
Station Depth (m):	23						<sup>1</sup> e.g. Gas bubbles, feed, faeces, sediment: colour, type, and consistency
Video (Y/N):	Y						<sup>2</sup> e.g. Strong, slight, no ne
Number of Collection Attempts:	4						<sup>3</sup> e.g. Eel grass, kelp, lobster, starfish, <i>Beggiatoa</i> , polycheates, etc.
Sample/Collection method	Ascension Speed (m/s)	-	Sample ID	Sediment Description <sup>1</sup>	Odour <sup>2</sup>	Sediment Sample Depth (cm)	Flora/Fauna <sup>3</sup>
Benthic Replicate 1 (10 mL)			ANB-01				
Standard Ponar Grab	0.26	Y	(1)	Brown mud	Moderate	4	Shells, hooded shrimp
Benthic Replicate 2 (10 mL)			ANB-01				
Standard Ponar Grab	0.27 Y Ar		(2)	Brown mud, sand, cobble	Slight	3	Kelp, shells, barnacles
Benthic Replicate 3 (10 mL)	ANB-01						
Standard Ponar Grab	0.25	Y	(3)	Brown mud, sand	None	4	Whelks, shells



## Table 13 – Corner #2 Benthic Log

Lease # or Reference Site:	Corner						Station Comments:
Video Start Time:	2:10 PM						
Recorder Name(s):	Shaun Allain						
Sample Collector's Name(s)	Sediment Sa	mpler: Ma	arshall Else	more Syringe Sampler: Janell	e Arsenault		Video Notes:
Sampling Station ID:	Corner #2						Moderately to easily disturbed brown mud, sand & cobble; lots of
Dist. and Dir. from Waypoint:	4 m @ 153°						shell debris and scallop shells; some Flustra
Sampling Coordinates:	N44 39.4480	W65 45.302	20				Benthic Descriptor Key:
Station Depth (m):	27						1 e.g. Gas bubbles, feed, faeces, sediment: colour, type, and consistency
Video (Y/N):	Υ						<sup>2</sup> e.g. Strong, slight, none
Number of Collection Attempts:	5					<sup>3</sup> e.g. Eel grass, kelp, lobster, starfish, <i>Beggiatoa</i> , polycheates, etc.	
Sample/Collection method	Ascension Speed (m/s)		Sample ID	Sediment Description <sup>1</sup>	Odour <sup>2</sup>	Sediment Sample Depth (cm)	Flora/Fauna <sup>3</sup>
Benthic Replicate 1 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab	0.3	Y	RB2 (1)	Brown mud, sand, rocks	Moderate	4.5	Rockweed, Flustra, quahog, whelk
Benthic Replicate 2 (10 mL)				Brown mud cond pobbles			
Standard Ponar Grab	0.28	0.28 Y RB2 (2) Brown mud, sand, pebbles, cobble, gravel None 3		3	Quahog, scallop shell, amphipods		
Benthic Replicate 3 (10 mL)	Brown mud cond pobbles						
Standard Ponar Grab	0.29	Y	RB2 (3)	Brown mud, sand, pebbles, rocks	None	1	Shells, whelks, worm tubes, barnacles



## Table 14 - Corner #3 Benthic Log

Lease # or Reference Site:	Corner						Station Comments: 1st video was scrapped due to the camera
Video Start Time:	2:59 PM						shifting in the frame. Lots of strong current affecting this station at
Recorder Name(s):	Shaun Allain						the time of sampling.
Sample Collector's Name(s)		moler: Ma	arshall Fise	emore Syringe Sampler: Janell			Video Notes:
Sampling Station ID:	Corner #3			enore cyringe campier. daren	c / lisenauli		Moderately easily disturbed mud, sand & cobble; shell debris;
Dist. and Dir. from Waypoint:	12 m @ 145°						scallop shells; <i>Flustra;</i> rock crab; hermit crab
Sampling Coordinates:	N44 39.3764	W65 45.204	49				Benthic Descriptor Key:
Station Depth (m):	31		-				<sup>1</sup> e.g. Gas bubbles, feed, faeces, sediment: colour, type, and consistency
Video (Y/N):	Y						<sup>2</sup> e.g. Strong, slight, none
Number of Collection Attempts:	3					<sup>3</sup> e.g. Eel grass, kelp, lobster, starfish, <i>Beggiatoa</i> , polycheates, etc.	
Sample/Collection method	Ascension Speed (m/s)	-	Sample ID	Sediment Description <sup>1</sup>	Odour <sup>2</sup>	Sediment Sample Depth (cm)	Flora/Fauna <sup>3</sup>
Benthic Replicate 1 (10 mL)	,					,	
Standard Ponar Grab	0.27	Y	RB3 (1)	Brown mud, sand	None	1	Shells, whelks
Benthic Replicate 2 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab	0.28	Y	Y RB3 (2) Brown mud, sand, shell debris, rocks None 5		Whelks		
Benthic Replicate 3 (10 mL)							
Standard Ponar Grab	0.29	Y	RB3 (3)	Brown mud, sand, gravel, shell debris	None	2	Whelks

## **Table 15 –** Redox potential and sulphide ion concentration for samples collected at proposed marine aquaculture lease #1039

Site #1039 – Rattling E	Beach	Sample Collection: Sample Analysis:	July 20, 2016 10:2 Redox: July 21 201 Sulphides: July 21,	6 10:05 - 1				
Sample	I.D.	Core Sample Temp	Redox	Redox	Sulp	Sulphide		
Station	ID#	°C	mV	mVNHE	μΜ	mV		
	1	8.9	11.6	226.7	313	-869.9		
ANB-01	2	10.5	-209.0	4.5	193	-864.2		
	3	10.5	-75.8	137.7	467	-875.1		
Means	-	10.0	-91.1	123.0	324	-869.7		
	1	8.2	-15.8	200.0	25	-837.1		
ANB-A	2	7.5	70.0	286.5	58	-848.1		
_	3	10.8	55.0	268.2	55	-847.2		
Means		8.8	36.4	251.6	46	-844.1		
	1	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
Corner #1	2	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
	3	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
Means		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	1	8.8	-35.8	179.4	343	-871.0		
Corner #2	2	12.0	-36.5	175.5	382	-872.4		
	3	10.4	-119.6	94.0	292	-869.2		
Means		10.4	-64.0	149.6	339	-870.9		
	1	8.2	-109.7	106.1	34	-840.8		
Corner #3	2	8.2	-66.9	148.9	19	-833.2		
	3	9.9	-11.2	202.9	51	-846.2		
Means		8.8	-62.6	152.6	35	-840.1		
	1	10.0	-125.4	88.6	29	-838.9		
Corner #4	2	8.0	-112.1	103.9	117	-857.0		
	3	10.2	46.3	260.1	23	-835.5		
Means		9.4	-63.7	150.9	56	-843.8		
	1	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
Corner #5	2	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
	3	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
Means		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	1	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
Corner #6	2	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
	3	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
Means		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	1	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
Corner #7	2	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
	3	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		
Means		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

#### Redox Test Solution

Prior to analysis:	220.8 mV @ 25°C
Post analysis:	220.8 mV @ 25°C

#### Sulphide Probe 1 Calibration:


Standard	mV
100	-855.3
500	-876.3
1000	-884.6
5000	-901.9
10000	-909.7


Sulphide Probe Calibration Temperatures: 20.8°C

Sample met all grab quality criteria Sample did not meet all quality criteria Reference Station NS = No Sample

#### SW2016-059







SW2016-059



**Table 16 –** 2016 Baseline porosity and percent organic matter data for site#1039

Station	Sample #	Porosity Value (%)	% Organic Matter
ANB-01	1	30.16	2.29
ANB-01	2	25.83	1.99
ANB-01	3	44.22	4.34
ANB-A	1	21.40	1.65
ANB-A	2	20.01	1.27
ANB-A	3	17.84	1.55
Corner #1	1	NS	NS
Corner #1	2	NS	NS
Corner #1	3	NS	NS
Corner #2	1	17.61	1.39
Corner #2	2	15.59	1.94
Corner #2	3	19.18	1.45
Corner #3	1	12.61	0.77
Corner #3	2	21.22	1.36
Corner #3	3	18.67	1.16
Corner #4	1	18.16	1.31
Corner #4	2	25.01	1.78
Corner #4	3	26.12	1.88
Corner #5	1	NS	NS
Corner #5	2	NS	NS
Corner #5	3	NS	NS
Corner #6	1	NS	NS
Corner #6	2	NS	NS
Corner #6	3	NS	NS
Corner #7	1	NS	NS
Corner #7	2	NS	NS
Corner #7	3	NS	NS

Note: samples in turquoise are from reference stations



## 6.0 **DISCUSSION**

## 6.1 Benthic Observation and Analysis

Review of the video footage and grab observations collected from the proposed lease area in the Annapolis Basin reveal no evidence of waste feed, salmon faeces, or other organic deposits. The substrate beneath site #1039 consisted mainly of cobble stones and pebbles at the western most stations closer to shore where sediment was not retrievable by surface-deployed grab. The remaining stations, where sediment was obtained, consisted mostly of medium to fine sand, gravel, and mud that was moderately packed. Shell hash and scallop shells were also very common due to scallop shucking that occurs in the area. Grain size analysis results are presented in Appendix C and further support these observations.

Flora and fauna observed in the video footage and in collected grab samples included rock crabs, green crabs, hermit crabs, whelks, barnacles, kelp, rockweed, sea stars, *Flustra*, periwinkles, and quahogs.

Analysis of the sulphide concentration and redox potential of the collected sediments revealed oxic conditions at every station where sediment could be collected. It should be noted that none of the grabs that yielded sediment met all of the necessary grab criteria; however, samples were collected when possible. The highest mean sulphide concentration obtained during this baseline assessment was 339  $\mu$ M at the station located at corner #2 of the proposed lease.


## 6.2 Current Speed and Direction

The petals on the current rose diagrams indicate the direction in which the current was flowing (*i.e.* if the broad ends of the petals are pointing to the east, then the current was flowing to the east). Analysis of the depth averaged current speed and direction at site #1039 shows that the majority of water flow experienced at this location flowed towards the north northeast, with approximately 42% of all recorded currents travelling between 5 and 25 degrees (NNE). The depth averaged current speed of all recorded profiles at this site was 23.87 cm/s (Fig. 6). In the depth profiles analysed, 3 – 10 m above the ocean bottom, the maximum recorded speed was 81.0 cm/s occurring 10 m from the bottom. The most frequently observed speeds were between 18 and 24 cm/s near the seafloor (25.3% at 3 m) and between 36 and 42 cm/s within the upper water column (22.2% at 10 m). The figures in Appendix H illustrate some of the trends in current flow throughout the water column at Rattling Beach. The direction of current flow remains relatively consistent throughout the water column, but the upper-most cell had a nearly unidirectional flow travelling between about 5 and 25 degrees. Data obtained from cells higher in the water column did not yield reliable data. Less than 75% of the data was present and was omitted from analysis. Average current speeds significantly varied with depth, with the cell nearest to the surface having the highest occurrence of currents greater than 80 cm/s.

SW2016-059



## **Figure 6** – Average current speed and direction recorded at site #1039 within 3 – 10 m above the seafloor





## 6.3 Bathymetry

Side scan-based, depth profiling of lease #1039 was carried out on October 5, 2016 and the data gathered used to produce both a three-dimensional, surface map and a twodimensional, contour diagram of the site. Figures 7 - 8 show the water depth within the survey area at the time of scanning, which ranged from approximately 2m at the northwest and southwest corners. The eastern side of site is in deeper water ranging from 20 m in the southeast corner to 36 m in the northeast corner.

It should be noted that the Z axis of the 3D surface map is not displayed at the same scale as that of the X and Y axes. This exaggerates relatively small and gradual depth changes over a large geographical area allowing for a more easily understood bathymetric profile. Depths in both the 2D and 3D contour diagrams have not been corrected for tidal influences, thus the soundings displayed represent the depths at the time of recording and not depth relative to chart datum.





Figure 7 – Interpolated 2D bathymetric profiles of site #1039 at Rattling Beach







APPENDIX A Sulphide Probe Calibration Certificate



NRC-IMB Research Facilities 1411 Oxford Street Suite 367-368 Halifax, NS B3H 321 **Tel: (902) 492-7865 (902) 492-0359** Fax: (902) 492-7734

Date:	21-Jul-16
Meter:	2007891
Sulfide Probe ID:	RS1-12416

Project: SW2016-059 Rattling Beach (#1039)

5-point calibration using 100, 500, 1000, 5 000 and 10 000  $\mu$ M sulphide standards.


Date calibration performed:	21-Jul-16		
Time calibration completed:	9:55am	Expiration time:	12:55pm
Calibration performed by:	Leah Lewis-McCrea		

Temperature calibration performed at: 20.8°C

#### Calibration -

After calibration the standards were re-measured to verify calibration.

10 μM (really 100 μM)	set at	-855.3 mV	read at	8.19 µM at	-852.6 mV
50 μM (really 500 μM)	set at	-876.3 mV	read at	44.2 µM at	-874.2 mV
100 μM (really 1000 μM)	set at	-884.6 mV	read at	94.3 µM at	-883.7 mV
500 μM (really 5 000 μM)	set at	-901.9 mV	read at	532 µM at	-902.1 mV
1 000 µM (really 10 000 µM)	set at	-909.7 mV	read at	1070 µM at	-910.0 mV



	Final slope (meter) =	-30.0 mV
<ul> <li>Calibration</li> <li>Verification</li> </ul>	<u>10 fold slope (validation</u> 500 to 5 000 μM: 1000 to 10 000 μM:	<u>י)</u> -25.6 mV -25.1 mV
	500 to 5 000 µM:	-25.6 mV

Calibration meets final slope range of -27 to -33 mV and 10-fold slope of -25 to -30 mV.

#### Signed off by:



Senior Laboratory Manager

APPENDIX B Redox and Sulphide Data Sheet



NRC-IMB Research Facilities
1411 Oxford Street
Suite 367-368
Halifax, NS
B3H 3Z1
Tel: (902) 492-7865
(902) 492-0359 Fax: (902) 492-7734
www.simcorp.ca

Site #: Redox Start: Sulphide Start:	10:05am 10:10am	Beach (#1039) n on 21-Jul-16 n on 21-Jul-16		nple Collection: Redox Stop: Sulphide Stop:	10:55am on 21-Jul-16           10:55am on 21-Jul-16			
Sample I.	D.	Temp	Redox		Sulphide			
Station	ID #	°C	mV	unadjusted µM	mV	adjusted µM		
	1	8.9	11.6	31.3	-869.9	313		
ANB-01	2	10.5	-209.0	19.3	-864.2	193		
	3	10.5	-75.8	46.7	-875.1	467		
	1	8.2	-15.8	2.48	-837.1	24.8		
ANB-A	2	7.5	70.0	5.80	-848.1	58.0		
	3	10.8	55.0	5.52	-847.2	55.2		
	1	-	-	-	-	-		
Corner #1	2	-	-	-	-	-		
	3	-	-	-	-	-		
	1	8.8	-35.8	34.3	-871.0	343		
Corner #2	2	12.0	-36.5	38.2	-872.4	382		
	3	10.4	-199.6	29.2	-869.2	292		
	1	8.2	-109.7	3.42	-840.8	34.2		
Corner #3	2	8.2	-66.9	1.86	-833.2	18.6		
	3	9.9	-11.2	5.13	-846.2	51.3		
	1	10.0	-125.4	2.89	-838.9	28.9		
Corner #4	2	8.0	-112.1	11.7	-857.0	117		
	3	10.2	46.3	2.31	-835.5	23.1		
	1	-	-	-	-	-		
Corner #5	2	-	-	-	-	-		
	3	-	-	-	-	-		
	1	-	-	-	-	-		
Corner #6	2	-	-	-	-	-		
	3	-	-	-	-	-		
	1	-	-	-	-	-		
Corner #7	2	-	-	-	-	-		
	3	-	-	-	-	-		

Field Crew:	Shaun Allain
	Rob Bosien
	Janelle Arsenault

### Redox Check (mV):

220.8 mV @ 25°C 220.8 mV @ 25°C

Analysis Crew: Leah Lewis-McCrea Una Goggin

#### Equipment:

Sulphide Analysis	
Probe kit:	NSLAB004
Sulphide probe:	RS1-12416
Temperature probe:	T012

#### SAOB + L-AA mixture

Addition: 10:00am

#### Prior to analysis: Post analysis:

220.8

Sulphide Temp:

<u>20.8°C</u>

Redox reading at 2 minutes

# Redox Analysis Meter number: 487142 Redox probe: R002 Temperature probe: T007

remperature	probe:	

----

Expiration: 1:00pm

#### Signed off by:



Senior Laboratory Manager

APPENDIX C Sediment Grain Size Analysis



NRC-IMB Research Facilities 1411 Oxford Street Suite 367-368 Halifax, NS B3H 3Z1 Tel: (902) 492-7865 (902) 492-0359 Fax: (902) 492-7734

Date:02-Aug-16File No.:SW2016-114Site Name/#:Rattling Beach (#1039)Province:Nova Scotia

## Grain Size Analysis

			% Fraction								
		mm	Corner #1	Corner #2	Corner #3	Corner #4	Corner #5	Corner #6	Corner #7	ANB-01	ANB-A
Gravel	Pebble	>4	NS	40.745	22.918	22.694	NS	NS	NS	13.406	24.511
Glaver	Granule	2-4	NS	6.308	4.643	5.258	NS	NS	NS	2.759	4.891
	Very Coarse	1-2	NS	4.365	2.687	4.147	NS	NS	NS	2.086	7.075
	Coarse	0.5-1	NS	5.592	8.040	6.531	NS	NS	NS	6.765	13.920
Sand	Medium	0.25-0.5	NS	11.257	14.015	10.581	NS	NS	NS	32.562	19.261
	Fine	0.125-0.25	NS	12.377	22.035	17.301	NS	NS	NS	16.193	7.386
	Very Fine	0.063-0.125	NS	15.261	15.278	19.990	NS	NS	NS	13.742	12.561
Mud	Silt	0.004 - 0.063	NS	4.094	10.383	13.497	NS	NS	NS	12.486	10.396
% Gravel			NS	47.054	27.561	27.952	NS	NS	NS	16.165	29.402
% Sand		NS	48.852	62.055	58.551	NS	NS	NS	71.349	60.202	
	% Mud		NS	4.094	10.383	13.497	NS	NS	NS	12.486	10.396

Note: NS - No Sample Collected

#### Signed off by:



Leah Lewis-McCrea, M.Sc. Senior Laboratory Manager APPENDIX D Grab Photos

## ANB-A

## **Pre-siphon**

## Post-siphon







Grab leaked and was not siphoned

Grab leaked and was not siphoned

## Corner #4

## **Pre-siphon**

## Post-siphon





Grab leaked and was not siphoned






## Corner #5 Grabs were not sampled













## Corner #6 Grabs were not sampled











## Corner #7 Grabs were not sampled











## Corner #1 Grabs were not sampled











## ANB-01

## **Pre-siphon**

## Post-siphon









Grab leaked and was not siphoned

## Corner #2

## **Pre-siphon**

## Post-siphon







Grab leaked and was not siphoned

Grab leaked and was not siphoned

## Corner #3

## **Pre-siphon**

## Post-siphon







## Grab leaked and was not siphoned

Grab leaked and was not siphoned

APPENDIX E Screen Captures of the Seafloor




















APPENDIX F Sample Storage Temperature



APPENDIX G Sediment Sample Quality Criteria

Station	Grab attempts	Grabs that were subsampled	Grab retrieval speeds (cm/s)	Flap position	Sediment depths (cm)	Reason for rejecting grab	Free-falls	
ANB-A	6	4, 5, 6	28, 23, 28	Down		1 - no sediment		
					4, 1, 1	2 - no sediment	Yes	
						3 - no sediment	<u> </u>	
Corner #4	3	1, 2, 3	28, 28, 27	Down	2, 5, 3	N/A	No, yes, yes	
	5	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	1 - no sediment	N/A	
Corner						2 - no sediment		
#5						3 - no sediment		
#5						4 - no sediment		
						5 - no sediment		
	5	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	1 - no sediment	N/A N/A	
Corner #6						2 - no sediment		
						3 - no sediment		
						4 - no sediment		
						5 - no sediment		
	5	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	1 - no sediment		
Corner #7						2 - no sediment		
						3 - no sediment		
						4 - no sediment		
						5 - no sediment		
	5	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	1 - no sediment	↓	
Corner #1						2 - no sediment	N/A	
						3 - no sediment		
						4 - no sediment		
						5 - no sediment		
ANB-01	4	2, 3, 4	26, 27, 25	Down	4, 3, 4	1 - no sediment	Yes	
Corner #2	5	3, 4, 5	30, 28, 29	Down	1521	1 - no sediment	Yes	
	Э				4.5, 3, 1	2 - no sediment		
Corner #3	3	1, 2, 3	27, 28, 29	Down	1, 5, 2	N/A	No, yes, yes	


Station	Grab Attempt						
Station	Grab 1	Grab 2	Grab 3	Grab 4	Grab 5	Grab 6	
ANB-A	SP	SP	SP	SP	SP	SP	
Corner #4	SP	SP	SP	-	-	-	
Corner #5	SP	SP	SP	SP	SP	-	
Corner #6	SP	SP	SP	SP	SP	-	
Corner #7	SP	SP	SP	SP	SP	-	
Corner #1	SP	SP	SP	SP	SP	-	
ANB-01	SP	SP	SP	SP	-	-	
Corner #2	SP	SP	SP	SP	SP	-	
Corner #3	SP	SP	SP	-	-	-	


PP = Petite Ponar


SP = Standard Ponar


Grabs there were subsampled are highlighted in green


APPENDIX H ADCP Data


















NS1039 Rattling Beach							
	Speed			Direction			
	Most						
<b>Distance from</b>	Frequent	%	Average	Highest	%		
Bottom (m)	(cm/s)	Occurrence	(cm/s)	Frequency (°)	Occurrence		
3	18-24	25.3	19.8	5-15	19.7		
4	18-24	23.1	21.3	5-15	20.2		
5	18-12	20.6	22.5	5-15	20		
6	18-24	18.7	23.3	5-15	21.0		
7	18-12	19.6	24.0	5-15	19.6		
8	30-36	15.6	26.3	5-15	20.2		
9	30-36	19.4	29.2	5-15	19.0		
10	36-42	22.2	32.8	5-15	15.7		
Depth Averaged	18-24	18.3	23.9	5-15	19.4		



Sweeney International Marine Corp. 46 Milltown Blvd.

St. Stephen, NB E3L 1G3

NRC-IMB Research Facilities 1411 Oxford Street Suite 367-368 Halifax, NS B3H 3Z1





November 2017

Appendix B – Financial Viability Letter







November 20th, 2017

Province of Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture 1575 Lake Road Shelburne, Nova Scotia B0T 1W0

### Attention: Bruce Hancock, Director of Aquaculture

Dear Mr. Hancock,

## Re: NS Aquaculture Lease and License 1039 Boundary amendment

Peter Buck, CFO Cooke Aquaculture Inc. Vice-President, Finance Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd.

cc J Nickerson, KCS cc Kris Nichols, COO cc Michael Szemerda, VP



Appendix C – Notice of Works



46 Milltown Blvd. St. Stephen, N. B. Canada, E3L 1G3 **Tel: (506) 467-9014** Fax: (506) 467-9503 www.simcorp.ca

October 20. 2016

SIMCorp File #SW2016-059 NWP File # 8200-94-3045

Manager Transport Canada, Navigation Protection Program Atlantic Region 95 Foundry Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor PO Box 42 Moncton, NB E1C 8K6

Dear Manager:

## Reference: Notice of Works Form and Site Development Plans / Rattling Beach #1039 – Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd.

Please accept this package on behalf of our client Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. for Approval under the *Navigation Protection Act* for Lease/Licence #1039 at Rattling Beach located in the Annapolis Basin in the Province of Nova Scotia.

Attached is a completed and signed Notice of Works form; as well as, six (6) copies of the site development plans showing the location, a top/plan drawing with dimension and side/profile drawings with dimensions.

We trust that you will find everything to be in order. However, should you require additional information or have questions, please feel free to contact our office at any time.

Yours truly,

Amanda Daigle Office Manager

Cc. Bob Sweeney, SIMCorp. Jeff Nickerson, Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. Mike Szemerda, Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. Stuart Lane, Transport Canada - NPA



# NAVIGATION PROTECTION ACT (NPA) NOTICE OF WORKS FORM

WARNING: Any false or misleading statement with respect to this form and supporting documentation, including the misrepresentation of a material fact, may result in the refusal to authorize or issue Approval, or result in the suspension or cancellation of an Approval obtained through fraudulent means.

#### PRIOR TO COMPLETING THIS FORM:

1. Determine if your project is on a navigable water listed on the Schedule to the NPA. A *Notice to the Minister* is required for works on scheduled navigable waters. Works on non-scheduled navigable waters may be eligible to opt in; if requesting Opt-in, the Opt-in annex must be included with your *Notice to the Minister*.

2. Self assess your project against the *Minor Works Order* to determine if a *Notice to the Minister* is required. Links to the NPA Schedule, Order and Regulations can be accessed through the Navigation Protection Program (NPP) website at <a href="http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/programs-621.html">http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/programs-621.html</a>.

## PURPOSE

This Notice of Works Form and its supporting documentation (as well as other relevant information) which may be required for a review by Transport Canada (TC), once completed and submitted, comprise the Notice to the Minister as required under the NPA. For assistance in completing your submission, refer to the guidance provided on the NPP website under "Apply to the NPP" including the Guide to the Navigation Protection Program's Notification, Application and Review Requirements.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS						
Mandatory Information Checklist (incomplete information will be returned with no act	ion)	Recommended Information (may expedite your review)				
Completed and signed "Notice of Works Form" fields completed	with all mandatory	Body of water details				
Top/Plan drawing with dimensions <sup>1</sup> Side/Profile drawing with dimensions <sup>1</sup>		<ul> <li>Body of water use information</li> <li>Impacts, obstructions and mitigation plans</li> </ul>				
<sup>1</sup> 6 copies if hard copy submission		Any environmental review information     Operation, maintenance and marking plans				
		Photographs of work site and body of water Aboriginal consultation results				
		Other government agencies involved				
		Water lot lease information     Opt-in request annex (non-scheduled navigable waters only)				
<ul> <li>When submitting a Notice to the Minister, owners should note:</li> <li>All plans and drawings must be legible when printed on 11" x 17" paper</li> <li>For e-mail submissions, provide a scan of all relevant supporting documentation</li> <li>Your completed Notice to the Minister should be sent to the appropriate regional office as outlined below</li> </ul>						
TRANSPORT CANADA NAVIGATION PROTECTION PROGRAM REGIONAL OFFICE LOCATIONS						
Pacific Region 820-800 Burrard Street Vancouver BC V6Z 2J8 Telephone: 604-775-8867 Email: <u>NPPPAC-PPNPAC@tc.gc.ca</u>	Prairie and Northern Region Canada Place 1100-9700 Jasper Ave Edmonton AB T5J 4E6 Telephone: 780-495-8215 Email: <u>NPPPNR-PPNRPN@tc.gc.ca</u>		Ontario Region 100 South Front Street, 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor Samia ON N7T 2M4 Telephone: 519-383-1863 Email: <u>NPPONT-PPNONT@tc.gc.ca</u>			
Headquarters (For info on the NPP and NPA ONLY) Notices not processed at this office	Quebec Region 401-1550 d'Estimauville Avenue, 5th Floor Quebec QC G1J 0C8		Atlantic Region 95 Foundry Street, 6th Floor P.O. Box 42			

Telephone: 877-646-6420

Email: PPNQUE-NPPQUE@tc.gc.ca

Tower C, 330 Sparks Street, 18th Floor Ottawa ON K1A 0N5 Telephone: 613-991-3476 Email: <u>NPPHQ-PPNAC@tc.gc.ca</u>



Moncton NB E1C 8K6

Telephone: 506-851-3113

Email: NPPATL-PPNATL@tc.gc.ca



NAVIGATION PROTECTION ACT NOTICE OF WORKS FORM		TC file number (if known): 8200-94-3045				
			Are you the riparian property ov	vner? OYes	No	
GENERAL INFORMATION						
Official and/or local name(s) of the body of water (Required)			Is the body of water listed on the schedule to the NPA?			
Rattling Beach, Annapolis Basin			●Yes	Unk	nown	
Are you also requesting an Approval, if required?			Is this an Opt-in request?	-		
			OYes  No		1	
Are you representing an Aboriginal group?			Is the work near/on First Nations reserve or land claim?			
OYes  No			OYes  No		nown	
Does this project involve throwing or d	epositing materials in water	r?	Does this project involve dewatering a body of water?			
OYes ONo			OYes  No			
OWNER CONTACT INFORMATION*						
Individual or company name (Required	)		Contact name (Required)			
Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd.			Jeff Nickerson			
Mailing address (Required)						
P.O. Box 1546						
City/Town (Required)	· · ·	Province/Te	rritory (Required)		Postal code (Required)	
Shelburne		Nova Sc	otia		BOT 1W0	
Primary telephone number (Required)	Other telephone number		E-mail	-		
902-275-7493		jnickerson@cookeaqu	e.com			
Owner's agent/mandatary (contractor/c	onsultant/representative/co	p-proponent, if	any)			
Company name			Contact name			
SIMCorp Marine Environm	ental Inc.		Shaun Allain			
Mailing address 46 Milltown Blvd.						
City/Town		Province/Ter	ritory		Postal code	
St. Stephen NB		NB			E3L 1G3	
Primary telephone number	Other telephone number	1	E-mail			
902-492-7865			@simcorp.ca			
WORK SITE INFORMATION		1000000011		Sec. 1 19 10220-0-1		
Nearest municipality/county/district (Required)			Province/Territory (Required)			
Digby			Nova Scotia			
Site location such as lot, concession, section, township, range, meridian, 911 address, property identification, etc. (Required)						
Rattling Beach aquaculture site #1039 is located in Annapolis Basin, approximately 3 kilometers north of the town of Digby. Site #1039 is situated on the western side of Annapoli Basin.				-		
Site position Latitude North (Required)			Site position Longitude West (Required)			
Degrees         44         Minutes         39         Seconds         13.8			Degrees 65 Minutes 45 Seconds 19.2			
Hydro chart number: 4396			Topo map number:			



Body of water details, such as characteristics, bank/bottom features, biological components, flow/tides, etc. Site #1039 is located on the western side of Annapolis Basin, occupies a 29.08-ha parcel, and is located over waters ranging from 6 - 31 m in depth. The sediment composition of the seafloor is composed primarily of medium to fine sand, gravel, and mud that is moderately packed. Areas of the lease near the western shore of the basin consist mainly of boulders, cobble stones, and pebbles. Potential obstructions, such as natural/man-made, other works, navigation aids, etc.					
Totalita obstractorio, such as hata anna mado, otroi works, navigatori and	1.010.				
This site consists of plastic circular cages and a feed storage barge. Tag lines and anchor buoys are also located throughout the lease area. The outside corners of the lease are marked with a 0.6 m buoy equipped with a light and radar reflectors. Annapolis Basin also has a marked navigation channel.					
Land use/Ownership, such as past/current, private/government, rural/suburban,	coastal, environmental, etc.				
Aquaculture site #1039 is owned by Kelly Cove S	almon Ltd.				
BODY OF WATER USE INFORMATION					
Navigation types (check all that apply)	Maximum vessel size				
Commercial	Length Width Draft				
	Manoeuvrability (check all that apply)				
One-way 💿 Two-way	Poor 🖌 Good Excellent				
Day/Night Volume	Navigation season(s) (check all that apply)				
Day Night  Both Low Med High	✓ Winter ✓ Spring ✓ Summer ✓ Fall				
Other uses such as cottagers, special events, fishing, etc					
Annapolis Basin is used by Bay Ferries Ltd. to it makes its run to and from Digby, Nova Scotia also use the basin as passage between the port and scallop draggers are common in the area. Re	and Saint John, New Brunswick. Fishing vessels of Digby and the Bay of Fundy. Lobster vessels				
PROJECT INFORMATION					
Name of work such as bridge, dam, marina, etc. (Required)	Type of work (check all that apply) (Required)				
	Construct Place Alter				
Aquaculture site	Repair Decommission Rebuild				
	Permanent Temporary Remove				
Brief project description (or attach) such as status, structures, operation, etc. (Re	equired)				
The aquaculture site consists of two strings of 10 circular 100 m circumference cages. Cages are present on site all year round.					
Method of construction such as temporary works, activities, etc. (Required)					
Aquaculture sites consist of anchored cage systems (see attached plans). Crews visit the site					
daily to feed and maintain the cage system.					
Anticipated impacts such as source, severity, mitigation, marking, waste/debris management, use, cumulative, etc.					
Anticipateu impacts such as source, seventy, mitigation, marking, waste/debits n	lanagement, use, cumulairve, etc.				
Expected start date (dd-mm-yyyy) (Required)	Expected completion date (dd-mm-yyyy) (Required)				
Immediately	Ongoing				



#### PROTECTED A (WHEN COMPLETED)


ENVIRONMEN	ITAL REVIEW INFO	RMATION	1997 - P. 1997 - P.			
is the work located on Federal lands?			Is the project a designated project under the Regulations Designating Physical Activities under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012?			
OYes	No	Unknown	OYes	No	Unknown	
Is the project subject to Northern Environmental Assessment (EA) Regime(s)?			If yes, identify the northern EA regime(s) that apply			
Yes No Unknown			Inuvialuit F	Inuvialuit Final Agreement (IFA)		
			Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act (MVRMA)			
			Nunavut La	and Claims Agreeme	nt (NLCA)	
			Yukon Env	ironmental and Socio	economic Assessment Act (YESAA)	
Other Federal C	Organizations involve	d				
🖌 Canadian E	invironmental Assess	ment Agency (CEAA)	Environme	nt Canada (EC)		
Fisheries ar	nd Oceans Canada (I	DFO)	Natural Re	sources Canada (NR	Can)	
Major Proje	cts Management Offi	ce (MPMO)	Northern Projects Management Office (NPMO)			
Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada (AANDC)		Other:				
Aboriginal A	Affairs and Northern I	Development Canada (AANDC)	Other:			
OWNER AUTH	-	Development Canada (AANDC)	Other:			
OWNER AUTH	ORIZATION <sup>2</sup> that the information of I am authorized, as th	Development Canada (AANDC) contained herein and in any of the supporting the owner, to submit this Notice to the Minist	g documents is c	19-	curate to the best of my knowledge and 10-2016 n-yyyy) (Required)	
OWNER AUTH	ORIZATION <sup>2</sup> that the information of I am authorized, as th	contained herein and in any of the supportin ne owner, to submit this Notice to the Minist	g documents is c	19-	10-2016	


<sup>2</sup> "Owner", in relation to a work, means the actual or reputed owner of the work or that owner's agent or mandatary. It includes a person who is in possession or claiming ownership of the work and a person who is authorizing or otherwise responsible for the construction, placement, alteration, repair, rebuilding, removal, decommissioning, maintenance, operation, safety or use of the work. It also includes a person who proposes to construct or place a work.

The personal information provided on this Notice to the Minister is collected under the authority of the *Navigation Protection Act*, sections 4, 5, 6, 9, 21, 22, 23 and 24. This information is required for the purpose of processing applications made under the above-noted sections for proposed, commenced or existing works that are or will be constructed, placed, altered, repaired, rebuilt, removed or decommissioned in, on, over, under, through or across any navigable water in Canada. The personal information collected is described in a personal information bank entitled *Navigation Protection Program* (bank number TC PPU 086). Under the provisions of the *Privacy Act*, individuals have the right of access to, correction of and protection of their personal information. Instructions for obtaining personal information are provided in Info Source, a copy of which is available in major public and academic libraries or online at <a href="http://www.infosource.gc.ca">http://www.infosource.gc.ca</a>




87-XXXXE (1406-01) Page 3 of 3





KEY PLAN KEY PLAN Scole - 1 : 50,000
Bay View Proposed Site Annapolis Basin
View Proposed Site
Annapolis Basin
Annapolis Basin Lookoff Provincial Park
Legend:
CALCULATED POINT
MAJOR CONTOURS
DEPTH SOUNDINGS + 00.0 CONCRETE MOORING
JAYCO ANCHOR
AQUACULTURE SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS
PROPOSED NAVIGATION AIDS LIMITS KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN,
KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH located at:
KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH located at: bay view (rattling beach), annapolis basin,
KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted.
KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity
KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted.
KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted.
KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted. Dated this 19th day of October, 2016.
KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted. Dated this 19th day of October, 2016.
KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted. Dated this 19th day of October, 2016. Je A&D JOB #149-16-1039
KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted. Dated this 19th day of October, 2016. Je A&D JOB #149-16-1039 SHEET 2 OF 7 DATE: October 19, 2016 Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc.




6.39


MEMBER


- (6.) NATURAL FEATURES WERE DETERMINED BY NOVA SCOTIA PROPERTY ONLINE MAPPING AND GEONOVA DATA LOCATOR GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION.
- (7.) ONSHORE PROPERTY DATA IS BASED ON NOVA SCOTIA PROPERTY ONLINE MAPPING.
- (7.) ONSHORE PROPERTY DATA IS BASED ON NOVA SCOTIA PROPERTY ONLINE MAPPING.
  (8.) ALL BEARINGS SHOWN HEREON ARE GRID BEARINGS AND ARE BASED ON THE NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 (NAD83 CSRS) USING THE UNIVERSAL TRANSVERSE MERCATOR PROJECTION, ZONE 20 NORTH (UTM Z20N).

SCALE - 1 : 5,000 (METRIC)

300








- (1.) ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS OTHERS STATED.
   (2.) THIS PLAN IS A COMPILATION OF THIRD PARTY DATA. DATA WAS PROVIDED BY SWEENEY INTERNATIONAL MARINE CORP. ACKER & DOUCETTE SURVEYING INC. HAS COMPLETED THIS PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "GUIDE TO MARINE FINFISH AQUACULTURE SITE REQUIREMENTS",
- PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "GUIDE TO MARINE FINFISH AQUACULTURE SITE REQUIREMENTS DATED NOVEMBER 2007. (3.) ALL DEPTHS ARE REFERENCED TO CHART DATUM (LOWER LOW WATER, LARGE TIDE). (4.) DEPTH CONTOUR DATA IS BASED ON GARMIN MARINE MAPSOURCE DATA AND BATHYMETRIC
- (4.) DEPTH CONTOUR DATA IS BASED ON GARMIN MARINE MAPSOURCE DATA AND BATHYMETRIC SOUNDING DATA PROVIDED BY SWEENEY INTERNATIONAL MARINE CORP.
- (5.) SPOT SOUNDINGS ARE BASED ON SOUNDING DATA PROVIDED BY SWEENEY INTERNATIONAL MARINE CORP. SAID SOUNDINGS WERE CORRECTED TO CHART DATUM FROM G.N.S.S. OBSERVATIONS.
   (6.) NATURAL FEATURES WERE DETERMINED BY NOVA SCOTIA PROPERTY ONLINE MAPPING AND
- (6.) NATURAL FEATURES WERE DETERMINED BY NOVA SCOTIA PROPERTY ONLINE MAPPING AND GEONOVA DATA LOCATOR GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION.
- (7.) ONSHORE PROPERTY DATA IS BASED ON NOVA SCOTIA PROPERTY ONLINE MAPPING.
- (8.) ALL BEARINGS SHOWN HEREON ARE GRID BEARINGS AND ARE BASED ON THE NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 (NAD83 CSRS) USING THE UNIVERSAL TRANSVERSE MERCATOR PROJECTION, ZONE 20 NORTH (UTM Z20N).








#### PURPOSE OF PLAN (SHEET 5 OF 7) THE PURPOSE OF THIS PLAN IS TO DEMONSTRATE THE LATERAL CROSS SECTION AS SHOWN ON SHEET 4 OF 7, TO DEMONSTRATE DETAILS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CAGE INFRASTRUCTURE, ANCHOR BLOCKS AND MOORING LINES, AND SEAFLOOR PROFILE.


CROSS SECTION NOTES:

- (1.) THIS PLAN IS NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES.
- (2.) FOR HORIZONTAL LOCATION OF EACH CROSS SECTION SEE SHEET 4 OF 7.
- (3.) DISTANCES FROM SEA FLOOR MAY VARY DEPENDING ON TIDE AND CURRENT.
- (4.) DISTANCES FROM SEA FLOOR ARE APPROXIMATE ONLY.
- (5.) DEPTHS ARE REFERENCED TO CHART DATUM (LOWER LOW WATER, LARGE TIDE).











November 2017

Appendix D – Transport Canada Approval Package



ort Transports a Canada

Navigation Protection Program P.O. Box 42 Moncton, N.B. E1C 8K6

Your file

Our file 8200-94-3045

January 11, 2017

Kelly Cove Salmon Limited C/O Cooke Aquaculture PO Box 1546 Shelburne, NS B0T 1W0

# Attention: Jeff Nickerson

RE: Notice to the Minister under the *Navigation Protection Act* for Approval of an Aquaculture Facility, located at 44° 39' 12.00" N x 065° 45' 22.00" W, Rattling Beach, Annapolis Basin, Annapolis County, in the Province of Nova Scotia

The Minister of Transport has determined under section 5 of the *Navigation Protection Act* (NPA) that your work is likely to substantially interfere with navigation.

Enclosed please find the Approval for the above-noted work issued by the Minister of Transport in accordance with subsection To Be Determined of the NPA.

This permission relates only to the effect of your work on navigation under the NPA and does not grant any rights related to the ownership of the bed of the waterway.

You are reminded that all buoys must conform to the Federal Private Buoy Regulations.

Please note that the NPA, amongst other obligations, requires the owner to immediately notify the Minister if your work causes or is likely to cause serious or imminent danger to navigation and take reasonable measures to remediate the danger to navigation (section 12 of the NPA).

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office in Moncton by phone at (506) 851-3113, by fax at (506) 851-7542 or by e-mail at NPPATL-PPNATL@tc.gc.ca.

Respectfully,

Mélanie LeBlanc Officer, Navigation Protection Program Programs Group Transport Canada Atlantic Region

Attachments

cc: Amanda Daigle - SIMCorp Shaun Allain – SIMCorp Amanda Spencer – Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture Carrie Brayall - CHS

# Canadä



NAVIGATION PROTECTION ACT Subsection 6(1)

8200-94-3045

#### Approval

OWNER:	Kelly Cove Salmon Limited C/O Cooke Aquaculture PO Box 1546 Shelburne, NS B0T 1W0
WORK:	Aquaculture Facility
SITE LOCATION:	Located at Approximately 44° 39' 12.00" N x 065° 45' 22.00" W, Rattling Beach, Annapolis Basin, Annapolis County, Province of Nova Scotia, in the Province of Nova Scotia
Regarding the notice and applic	cation to the Minister of Transport, submitted pursuant to the Navigation


Regarding the notice and application to the Minister of Transport, submitted pursuant to the *Navigation Protection Act*, for an approval of a work, the Minister hereby approves the **placement** of the abovedescribed work and the attached plans pursuant to subsection 6(1) in accordance with the following terms and conditions:


- 1. At all times, all anchorage systems, gear and associated work(s), including anchors, are to be contained within the limits of the marked area and not to extend beyond these boundaries.
- 2. Buoy markings to be installed and maintained as per Transport Canada conditions outlined on the enclosed Site-specific Marking Plan and Aquaculture Buoy Standard Sheets, at all times aquaculture gear is in the water.
- 3. In the event that any material or equipment drifts for any reason, it is to be marked immediately with a flashing cautionary light and radar reflector and removed from the waterway or returned to its original location as soon as possible. The Canadian Coast Guard, Marine Communications and Traffic Services (MCTS) Sydney at (902) 564-7751 or toll-free 1-800-686-8676 is to be advised in order to allow for appropriate Notices to Shipping/Mariners action.


SIGNED in two copies on \_\_\_\_\_ in Moncton, N.B.


Canada


Mélanie LeBlanc Navigation Protection Program Officer Programs Group Transport Canada Atlantic Region For the Minister of Transport

























November 2017

Appendix E – Wildlife Interaction Plan

# Wildlife Interaction Plan

for Salmon Farms in North America

Cooke Aquaculture Inc.

This Wildlife Interaction Plan (WIP) has been created to meet the requirements for Section 7 Environment – Predator and Wildlife Interactions of the Best Aquaculture Practices (BAP) Salmon Farms Standard. The guidance and practice herein have and will continue to be followed by all North American employees of Cooke Aquaculture who are employed in the Saltwater Division and those who directly interact with the salmon farms. This plan merely acts as an overall summary of the current requirements that each salmon farm must follow and in the effect of any conflict of information or direction between this document and the requirements, the requirements will prevail.

# **Table of Contents**

	-page-
Section 1; Local Laws and Regulations for Wildlife Management and Protection	2
Canadian Federal Legislation	2
Canadian Provincial Legislation	3
United States Federal Legislation	4
State of Maine Legislation	4
Section 2; Specific Conditions of Operating Permits for Wildlife Management and Protection	5
New Brunswick	5
Nova Scotia	5
Newfoundland	5
Maine	6
Section 3; Local Endangered or Threatened Species	7
Canada	7
Maine	7
Section 4; Map of Sensitive Areas	8
National Wildlife Areas and Migratory Bird Sanctuaries in New Brunswick	8
National Wildlife Areas and Migratory Bird Sanctuaries in Nova Scotia	9
Wilderness and Ecological Reserves of Newfoundland and Labrador	10
Critical Atlantic Salmon Habitat in Maine	11
Section 5; Risk Assessment	12
Canadian Aquaculture Sites and the Species At Risk Act (SARA)	12
Maine Aquaculture Sites and the Maine Endangered Species Act	12
Section 6; Reporting and Training	15
SARA Reporting	15
Nuisance Seal Reporting	15
General Predator Interactions	15
Canadian Wildlife Service Permit	16
Section 7; Control Measures	18
Passive Control Measures	18
Active Control Measures	18
Lethal Control Measures	18
Daily Inspections	18
Section 8; Special Requirements	19

# **List of Appendices**

Appendix 1 A – New Brunswick SARA List Appendix 1 B – Nova Scotia SARA List Appendix 1 C – Newfoundland SARA List Appendix 1 D – USFWS Nationally Significant Seabird, Wading Bird and Eagle Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine Appendix 2 – EMS Incident Report Form Appendix 3 – Acoustic Deterrent Policy

in the

# Section 1; Local Laws and Regulations for Wildlife Management and Protection

#### 1.1 Canadian Federal Legislation

- **1.1.1 Species At Risk Act (SARA), 2002** *The purposes of this Act are to prevent wildlife species from being extirpated or becoming extinct, to provide for the recovery of wildlife species that are extirpated, endangered or threatened as a result of human activity and to manage species of special concern to prevent them from becoming endangered or threatened.*<sup>1</sup>
- **1.1.2** Fisheries Act, 2012 Established to manage and protect Canada's fisheries resources. It applies to all fishing zones, territorial seas and inland waters of Canada and is binding to federal, provincial and territorial governments.<sup>2</sup> Subsection 35(1) is a general prohibition of harmful alteration, disruption or destruction (HADD) of fish habitat.<sup>3</sup>
- **1.1.3** Aquaculture Activities Regulations, 2015 Fisheries and Oceans Canada has developed the Aquaculture Activities Regulations (AAR), to clarify conditions under which aquaculture operators may treat their fish and deposit organic matter, while ensuring the protection of fish and fish habitat and sector sustainability. The Regulations are designed to align with policies and regulatory regimes that already exist in provincial and other federal jurisdictions through codification of these measures, while providing further clarification with the addition of AAR-specific conditions. Reconciling and clarifying aquaculture-related regulations will improve coherence, simplicity and accountability. The Regulations will also increase operational certainty across Canada, improve environmental protection, and increase reporting with the intention of strengthening public confidence.<sup>4</sup>
- **1.1.4** *Health of Animals Act, 2015 The Canadian Food Inspection Agency may, for the purposes of fish pathogen or pest control and the Health of Animals Act, deposit a deleterious substance as defined in the AAR.<sup>5</sup>*
- **1.1.5 Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012** *CEAA is an environmental assessment focused* on potential adverse environmental effects that are within federal jurisdiction, including: fish and fish habitat; other aquatic species; migratory birds; federal lands; effects that cross provincial or international boundaries; effects that impact on Aboriginal peoples, such as their use of lands and resources for traditional purposes; changes to the environment that are directly linked to or necessarily incidental to any federal decisions about a project. If there is a Provincial requirement for an environmental assessment or review, the applicant has an exemption form the CEAA.<sup>6</sup>
- **1.1.6 Oceans Act, 1997** Canada made a legal commitment to conserve, protect and develop the oceans in a sustainable manner.<sup>7</sup>
- **1.1.7** Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994 Protecting and conserving Migratory Birds
- **1.1.8 Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999** *An Act respecting pollution prevention and the protection of the environment and human health in order to contribute to sustainable development.*<sup>8</sup>
- **1.1.9** Marine Mammal Regulations, 2010 These Regulations apply in respect of the management and control of (a) fishing for marine mammals and related activities in Canada or in Canadian fisheries waters; and (b) fishing for marine mammals from Canadian fishing vessels in the Antarctic.<sup>9</sup>
- 1 Species at Risk Act (S.C. 2002, c. 29), Section 6 "Purposes"
- 2 <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/habitat/role/141/1415/14151-eng.htm</u>
- 3 <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/habitat/role/141/1415/14151-eng.htm</u>
- 4 http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/acts-lois/rules-reglements/rule-reglement06-eng.htm
- 5 Aquaculture Activities Regulations, SOR/2015-177, Section 17 (2) "Canadian Food Inspection Agency"
- 6 Canadian Environmental Assessment, 2012 Section 37 "Exemption"
- 7 <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/oceans/management-gestion/governmentsrole-roledesgouvernements/index-eng.htm</u>
- 8 Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999, c. 33, Section "Introduction"
- 9 Marine Mammal Regulations, Current to April 28, 2010, Section 3 "Application"

# 1.2 Canadian Provincial Legislation

#### 1.2.1 New Brunswick

- **1.2.1.1 Species At Risk Act (SARA), 2012** "The purposes of this Act are to prevent wildlife species from being extirpated or becoming extinct, to provide for the recovery of wildlife species that are extirpated, endangered or threatened as a result of human activity and to manage species of special concern to prevent them from becoming endangered or threatened."<sup>1</sup>
- **1.2.1.2** NB Fish and Wildlife Act, 1980 "This Act applies to all hunting and angling and rights of hunting and angling, and all matters relating thereto, except that this Act, and any lease, licence, permit or regulation issued or made hereunder, shall not authorize or be deemed to authorize any interference with the navigation of any navigable water."<sup>2</sup>
- **1.2.1.3** NB Crown Lands and Forests Act, 1980 "The Minister is responsible for the development, utilization, protection and integrated management of the resources of Crown Lands, including habitat for the maintenance of fish and wildlife populations."<sup>3</sup>
- **1.2.1.4** NB Clean Environment Act, 1973 "The Clean Environment Act contains many regulations that are centred on dealing with materials and actions that can contaminate the physical environment. It includes above and below surface level."<sup>4</sup>
- **1.2.1.5** NB Clean Water Act, 1989 Governs water quality in the Province of New Brunswick
- **1.2.1.6** NB Clean Air Act, 1997 "The purpose of this Act and the regulations is to support and promote the protection, restoration, enhancement and wise use of the environment..."<sup>5</sup>
- 1 Species at Risk Act (S.N.B. 2012, c. 6), Section "Purposes"
- 2 Fish and Wildlife Act (S.N.B. 1980, c. F-14.1), Section 2
- 3 Crown Lands and Forests Act, SNB 1980, c C-38., Section "General Administration"
- 4 <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New\_Brunswick\_environmental\_legislation</u>
- 5 Clean Air Act, SNB 1997, c C-5.2, Section "Purpose of Act and Regulations"

#### 1.2.2 Nova Scotia

- **1.2.2.1** Wildlife Act, 1989 Develop and implement policies and programs for wildlife designed to maintain diversity of species at levels of abundance to meet management objectives<sup>1</sup>
- **1.2.2.2 Endangered Species Act, 1998** The purpose of this Act is to provide for the protection, designation, recovery and other relevant aspects of conservation of species at risk in the Province, including... habitat protection<sup>2</sup>
- **1.2.2.3** Special Places Protection Act, 1989 Provide for the preservation, protection, regulation, acquisition and study of ecological sites which are considered important parts of the natural heritage of the Province.<sup>3</sup>
- **1.2.2.4** Fisheries and Coastal Resource Act, 1996 This act is the primary piece of legislation for the Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture. It gives authority for most of the Department's functions and activities. These include: recreational fishing, sea plant harvesting, training and development, licensing of buyers and processors, aquaculture, the Fisheries and Aquaculture Loan Board, and enforcement.<sup>4</sup>
- **1.2.2.5** Aquaculture Regulations, 2015 Regulations under the Fisheries and Coastal Resource Act for the management and development of the aquaculture industry specifically regarding aquaculture management and licensing.
- 1 Wildlife Act. R.S., c. 504, s. 2., Section 2 "Object and Purpose"
- 2 NS Endangered Species Act, Section 2
- 3 Special Places Protection Act. R.S., c. 438, s. 1, Section 2
- 4 <u>http://novascotia.ca/fish/aquaculture/laws-regs/</u>

#### 1.2.3 Newfoundland

- **1.2.3.1** NL Endangered Species Act, 2001 "Provides special protection for plant and animal species considered to be endangered, threatened, or vulnerable in the province..."<sup>1</sup>
- **1.2.3.2** Wilderness and Ecological Reserves Act, 1990 "An act to provide for the natural areas in the province to be set aside for the benefit, education and enjoyment of the people of the province."<sup>2</sup>
- 1 <u>http://www.env.gov.nl.ca/env/wildlife/endangeredspecies/</u>
- 2 Wilderness and Ecological Reserves Act, "subtitle"

#### 1.3 United States Federal Legislation

- **1.3.1** The Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 (Title 16 U.S. Code Sections 703 to 711) Wildlife Protection
- 1.3.2 Endangered Species Act (Title 16 U.S. Code Sections 1531 to 1544) Wildlife Protection
- **1.3.3** Clean Water Act (Title 33 U.S. Code Sections 1251 to 1376) Indirectly protects wildlife, protects habitat
- **1.3.4** Coastal Zone Management Act (Title 16 U.S. Code Sections 1451 to 1464) Indirectly protects wildlife, protects habitat
- **1.3.5** Nonindigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act (Title 16 U.S. Code Sections 4701 to 4751) Indirectly protects wildlife, protects habitat
- **1.3.6** Federal Agricultural Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 (Public Law No. 104-127) Indirectly protects wildlife, protects habitat

#### 1.4 State of Maine Legislation

- 1.4.1 Maine Endangered Species Act (Title 12 M.R.S.A Sections 7751 to 7759) Wildlife protection
- **1.4.2** Natural Resources Protection Act (Title 38 M.R.S.A Section 480) Indirectly protects wildlife, protects habitat
- **1.4.3 Coastal Management Policy** (Title 38 M.R.S.A Sections 1801 to 1803) *Indirectly protects wildlife, protects habitat*
- **1.4.4** Shoreland Zoning Ordinance (Title 38 M.R.S.A. Sections 435 to 447) *Indirectly protects wildlife, protects habitat*
- **1.4.5** Maine's Rivers Law (Title 12 M.R.S.A. Sections 401 to 407) *Indirectly protects wildlife, protects habitat*
- **1.4.6** Water Pollution Control Law (Title 38 M.R.S.A. Sections 411 to 424) *Indirectly protects wildlife, protect habitat*
- 1.4.7 Interstate Water Pollution Control (Title 38 M.R.S.A. Sections 491 to 501)

# Section 2; Specific Conditions of Operating Permits for Wildlife Management and Protection

# 2.1 New Brunswick

- **2.1.1** License: Schedule A Operating Terms and Conditions; this license may be suspended or revoked should the licensee fail to comply with the *Clean Water Act*, the *Clean Environment Act*, the *Crown Lands and Forests Act*, the *Public Health Act*, the *Seafood Processing Act*, the *Fish and Wildlife Act*, the Federal *Fisheries Act*, the Federal *Navigable Waters Protection Act*, or any other applicable law.
- 2.1.2 Approval to Operate: Schedule A; the Approval Holder, operator or any person in charge of the Facility shall immediately report to the New Brunswick Department of the Environment where: (a) There has been, or is likely to be, an unauthorized release of solid, liquid or gaseous material including wastewater, petroleum or hazardous materials, to the environment; (b) There has been a violation of the *Air Quality Regulation*, the *Water Quality Regulation* or any Approval issued thereunder; or (c) A release of a contaminant or contaminants is of such magnitude or period that there is concern for the health or safety of the general public, or there could be significant harm to the environment. The Approval Holder shall operate the facility in compliance with the *Water Quality Regulation Clean Environment Act. #11.* This Certificate of Approval does not relieve the Approval Holder from complying with municipal bylaws, other provincial acts and regulations, or any federal acts and regulations. An Inspector, at any reasonable time, has the authority to inspect the Facility and carry out such duties as defined in the *Clean Air Act*, the *Clean Environment Act* or the *Clean Water Act*.

# 2.2 Nova Scotia

**2.2.1** Lease & License: Any undertakings required by Schedule "B" to this license, and any permits, protocols, approvals, licenses or permissions which may be required under the laws of the Province or Canada form part of this Agreement, and the Licensee hereby agrees to comply with any conditions or limitations contained in these requirements unless compliance for licensing purposes is expressly waived by the Minister.

#### 2.3 Newfoundland

- **2.3.1** Lease: Schedule C; the use of the demised premises will, for its intended purpose, be subject to and in accordance with all provincial acts and regulations respecting the promotion of efficient aquaculture and environmental control.
- **2.3.2** License: The proponent is required to complete, on an annual basis, a DFO Finfish Aquaculture Farm Monitoring Report for Fish Habitat.
- **2.3.3** Water Use Permit: The Licensee/Holder shall not impair, pollute or cause to be polluted the quality of water.

# 2.4 Maine

- 2.4.1 DMR Lease: DMR Rule Chapter 2.37; Area Resources (Essential Habitats/Endangered Species) Under the Maine Endangered Species Act a state agency or municipal government shall not permit, license, fund or carry out projects occurring partly or wholly within the Essential Habitat, without the approval of the Commissioner of MDIFW. Applicants are required to provide a signed statement to confirm the proposed lease either does not fall within the boundary of an Essential Habitat or that the applicant has contacted MDIF&W and preliminary review will grant approval for the MDMR to issue an aquaculture lease within part or the entire boundary of a designated Essential Habitat. No nuisance shall be permitted to exist on the leased premises. Lessee shall not operate in such a fashion as to be detrimental to public health, personal property or marine resources, or as to create a serious threat to the marine environment.
- **2.4.2** ACOE Permit: Appendix C; Special Conditions which are intended to minimize potential impact to Atlantic salmon, Atlantic salmon critical habitat, other fisheries, benthic habitat, and local water quality.
- 2.4.3 DEP Permit: PART II.I.1-8 (Protection of Atlantic Salmon)

# Section 3; Local Endangered or Threatened Species

# 3.1 Canada


Prior to 2012 there were two parallel environmental assessment processes for new aquaculture sites and for sites applying for boundary amendments: one federally referred to as CEAA (Canadian Environmental Assessment Act); and, a second provincial process. The provincial environmental assessments are required by the following acts: New Brunswick - NB Aquaculture Act and the Clean Environment Act; Nova Scotia - NS Marine and Coastal Resources Act; and, Newfoundland - NL Aquaculture Act. In June 2012 the federal government passed Bill C-38 that essentially ended the requirement for aquaculture sites to go through the Federal CEAA process.

Nonetheless, each Provincial government continues to require an environmental assessment or review. The purpose of Environmental Assessments (EA) is to decide whether or not the aquaculture site will cause adverse significant environmental effects. Items that are assessed include the following: site location and infrastructure; local resources; physical environment; biological environment; description of benthos; fish health; production; public consultation; ancillary information; and socio-economic environment. Critical and sensitive habitats are assessed within the biological environment section. If the aquaculture site is approved, the EA may also set out mitigation measures that must be implemented in order to avoid or minimize impact on the environment.

#### 3.2 Maine

Refer to section 2.4.

# Section 4; Map of Sensitive Areas



4.1 National Wildlife Areas and Migratory Bird Sanctuaries in New Brunswick

National Wildlife Areas


No.	Name	Year established	Size in hectares	Notes
1	Cape Jourimain NWA	1980	662	-
2	Portage Island NWA	1979	349	-
3	Portobello Creek NWA	1995	2,154	part of Lower St. John River (Sheffield/Jemseg)     IBA
4	Shepody NWA	1980	1,069	<ul> <li>part of <u>Mary's Point Ramsar Site</u></li> <li>part of <u>Shepody Bay West IBA</u></li> <li>part of <u>Bay of Fundy WHSRN</u></li> </ul>
5	Tintamarre NWA	1977	1,941	-
-	Total:	-	6,175	-

This table provides information on migratory bird sanctuaries such as the name of sanctuary, the year it was established, the size in hectares and notes.

Migratory	Bird	Sanctuaries
-----------	------	-------------

No.	Name	Year established	Size in hectares	Notes
1	Grand Manan MBS	1931	433	• part of Grand Manan Archipelago IBA
2	Inkerman MBS	1998	16	part of <u>Pointe aux Rats MusquesHeronry IBA</u>
3	Machias Seal Island MBS	1944	1,046	part of Machias Seal Island IBA
-	Total:	-	1,495	-

# 4.2 National Wildlife Areas and Migratory Bird Sanctuaries in Nova Scotia



#### National Wildlife Areas



Nova Scotia		
NWA Name	Year established	Size in hectares
Boot Island NWA	1979	107
John Lusby Marsh NWA	1982	552
Chiqnecto NWA	1978	432
Sand Pond NWA	1977	531
Sea Wolf Island NWA	1982	76
Wallace Bay NWA	1980	702

Photo: A. MacPherson © Environment Canada Boot Island NWA.

#### Migratory Birds Sanctuary




Photo: Julie Paquet © Environment Canada Amherst Point MBS.


#### Nova Scotia

MBS Name	Year established	Size in hectares
Amherst Point MBS	1947	432
Big Glace Bay Lake MBS	1939	393
Port Hebert MBS	1980	346
Kentville MBS	1939	506
Port Joli MBS	1941	397
Sable River MBS	1941	313
Sable Island MBS	1977	3,100
Haley Lake MBS	1941	95

# 4.3 Wilderness and Ecological Reserves of Newfoundland and Labrador



4.4 Critical Atlantic Salmon Habitat in Maine



# Atlantic Salmon Critical Habitat

# Section 5; Risk Assessment

# 5.1 Canadian Aquaculture Sites and the Species At Risk Act (SARA)

The Species At Risk Act is a key federal government commitment "to prevent wildlife species from being extirpated or becoming extinct, to provide for the recovery of wildlife species that are extirpated, endangered or threatened as a result of human activity and to manage species of special concern to prevent them from becoming endangered or threatened."<sup>1</sup> SARA provides for the legal protection of wildlife species and the conservation of their biological diversity.

When creating New Site and Boundary Amendment Applications, endangered, at risk and threatened species that have been or may be found in the area of the site have to be identified. For some species it is easy to determine whether or not they would be found in the area, for others it has to be assumed they could be found there as the limited available data does not state otherwise. Species listed under the Federal SARA (Species At Risk Act) designation must be protected.

1. Species at Risk Act (S.C. 2002, c. 29), Section 6 - "Purposes"

# 5.1.1 Endangered Species - Canada

- New Brunswick SARA list Appendix 1 A
- Nova Scotia SARA list Appendix 1 B
- Newfoundland SARA list Appendix 1 C

#### 5.2 United States Aquaculture Sites and the Maine Endangered Species Act

The Maine Endangered Species Act provides the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (MDIFW) with a mandate to conserve all of the species of fish and wildlife found in the State, as well as the ecosystems upon which they depend.

Under the Maine Endangered Species Act, as stated in Maine aquaculture site DMR Leases, a state agency or municipal government shall not permit, license, fund or carry out projects occurring partly or wholly within the Essential Habitat, without the approval of the Commissioner of MDIFW.

Applicants are required to provide a signed statement to confirm the proposed lease either does not fall within the boundary of an Essential Habitat or that the applicant has contacted MDIFW and preliminary review will grant approval for the Maine Department of Marine Resources (MDMR) to issue an aquaculture lease within part or all of the boundary of a designated Essential Habitat.

#### 5.2.1 Endangered Species - Maine

The following species are listed as endangered or threatened in Maine:

- **F = Federally Endangered** under the U.S. Endangered Species Act
- **f** = **federally threatened** under the U.S. Endangered Species Act
- **S= State Endangered** under the Maine Endangered Species Act
- **s** = **state threatened** under the Maine Endangered Species Act

#### Beetles

1 <u>American Burying Beetle</u> (Nicrophorus americanus) F

#### Birds

- 2 American Pipit (Anthus rubescens) (Breeding population only) S
- 3 <u>Arctic Tern</u> (Sterna paradisaea) **s**
- 4 <u>Atlantic Puffin</u> (*Fratercula arctica*) **s**
- 5 Barrow's Goldeneye (Buchephala islandica) s
- 6 Black-crowned Night Heron (*Nycticorax nycticorax*) s
- 7 Black Tern (Chlidonias niger) S
- 8 Common Moorhen (Gallinula chloropus) s
- 9 Eskimo Curlew (Numenius borealis) F
- 10 Golden Eagle (Aquila chrysaetos) S
- 11 Grasshopper Sparrow (Ammodramus savannarum) S
- 12 Great Cormorant (Phalacrocorax carbo) (Breeding population only) s
- 13 Harlequin Duck (Histrionicus histrionicus) s
- 14 Least Bittern (*Lxobrychus exilis*) **S**
- 15 Least Tern (Sterna antillarum) S
- 16 Peregrine Falcon (Falco peregrinus) (Breeding population only) S
- 17 Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus) S f
- 18 Razorbill (Alca torda) s
- 19 Roseate Tern (Sterna dougallii) S F
- 20 Sedge Wren (Cistothorus platensis) S
- 21 Short-eared Owl (Asio flammeus) (Breeding population only) s
- 22 Upland Sandpiper (Bartramia longicauda) s

#### Fish

- 23 Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar) F
- 24 Redfin Pickerel (Esox americanus americanus) S
- 25 Shortnose Sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum) F
- 26 Swamp Darter (Etheostoma fusiforme) s

#### Invertebrates

#### **Butterflies and Skippers**

- 27 Clayton's Copper (Lycaena dorcas claytoni) S
- 28 Edwards' Hairstreak (Satyrium edwardsii) S
- 29 <u>Hessel's Hairstreak</u> (Callophrys hesseli) S
- 30 Juniper Hairstreak (Callophrys gryneus) S
- 31 Karner Blue (Lycaeides melissa samuelis) F
- 32 Katahdin Arctic (Oeneis polixenes katahdin) S
- 33 Purple Lesser Fritillary (Boloria chariclea grandis) s
- 34 Sleepy Duskywing (Erynnis brizo) s

#### **Dragonflies and Damselflies**

- 35 Boreal Snaketail (Ophiogomphus colubrinus) s
- 36 Rapids Clubtail (Gomphus quadricolor) S
- 37 Ringed Boghaunter (Williamsonia lintneri) s

#### **Freshwater Mussels**

- 38 Brook Floater (Alasmidonta varicosa) s
- 39 <u>Tidewater Mucket</u> (Leptodea ochracea) s
- 40 <u>Yellow Lampmussel</u> (Lampsilis cariosa) s

#### Mayflies

- 41 Flat-headed Mayfly (Roaring Brook Mayfly) (Epeorus frisoni) S
- 42 Tomah Mayfly (Siphlonisca aerodromia) s

#### Moths

- 43 Pine Barrens Zanclognatha (Zanclognatha martha) s
- 44 <u>Twilight Moth</u> (Lycia rachelae) s

#### Mammals

- 45 Canada Lynx (Lynx canadensis) f
- 46 Eastern Cougar (Felis concolor couguar) F
- 47 <u>Finback Whale</u> (Balaenoptera physalus) F
- 48 Gray Wolf (Canis lupus) F
- 49 Humpback Whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) F
- 50 New England Cottontail (Sylvilagus transitionalis) S
- 51 Northern Bog Lemming (Synaptomys borealis) s
- 52 Northern Right Whale (Eubalaena glacialis) F
- 53 <u>Sei Whale</u> (Balaenoptera borealis) F
- 54 Sperm Whale (Physeter catodon) F

#### Reptiles

#### Snakes

55 Black Racer (Coluber constrictor) S

#### Turtles

- 56 Atlantic Ridley (Lepidochelys kempi) F
- 57 <u>Blanding's Turtle</u> (*Emys blandingii*) **S**
- 58 Box Turtle (Terrapene carolina) S
- 59 Leatherback (Dermochelys coriacea) F
- 60 Loggerhead (Caretta caretta) f
- 61 <u>Spotted Turtle</u> (*Clemmys guttata*) **s**

#### Also refer to APPENDIX 1 D

USFWS Nationally Significant Seabird, Wading Bird and Eagle Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine

#### Section 6; Reporting and Training

Farm staff will be trained in recognizing endangered, threatened and protected species they may see from their farm and a system for recording and reporting such observations to farm management. A Standard Operating Procedure for Predator Interaction is also included in the Fish Health Management Plan available on each site.

#### 6.1 SARA Reporting

Species identified on the Provincial Protected Wildlife factsheets are protected under SARA (Species at Risk Act) and COSEWIC (Committee on the status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada) and have been or could be found in the area of aquaculture sites in Atlantic Canada.

If any of these animals are found in distress around the aquaculture sites, Canadian Coast Guard should be contacted at 1-800-565-1633.

If the animals are observed around the aquaculture sites, care should be exercised to avoid causing them any harm.

#### 6.2 Nuisance Seal Reporting

A Nuisance Seal license may be obtained from the Department of Fisheries and Oceans under the Marine Mammal Regulations. It authorizes producers to harvest those seals that have been observed to be causing damage to aquaculture gear, or fish entrapped in aquaculture gear.

The license holder shall submit a catch report annually which identifies:

- a. The day, month, year on which any seals were taken
- b. The location where any seals were taken
- c. The number of seals recovered
- d. The number of seals struck but not recovered

The catch report shall be mailed to the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (see permit for address).

#### 6.3 General Predator Interactions

Due to the environment in which we operate, wildlife interactions will be unavoidable – both positive or neutral and negative (predator).

Positive or neutral interactions may require management notification if the species is listed on a Species at Risk list or other similar document.

Negative or predator interactions should be noted to determine if there is an increase or decrease in activity. If a predator is persistent or there is the potential for endangerment of employees, deterrence methods may be required. Any interaction, whether intentional or accidental, must be reported.

An EMS Incident Report Form must be completed and submitted to the Area Manager in the event of a negative predator interaction – hard copy or via Pronto Forms on an iPad.

#### Also refer to APPENDIX 2

EMS Incident Report Form

#### 6.4 Canadian Wildlife Service Permit

Marine birds may become entangled, trapped or oiled from gear or chemicals on an aquaculture site. The first step to preventing such emergencies is prevention. Continually checking nets for integrity and avoiding oil, gas and chemical spills is important.

If a large spill does occur, immediately contact Coast Guard (CG) at 1-800-565-1633 and activate the Spill Prevention and Response Plan (SPRP) or Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan. If wildlife is not initially affected, it should be kept out of the spill area, if possible.

Migratory birds are protected under the Migratory Birds Convention Act and some species are also protected under the Species at Risk Act (SARA); this protection can extend to the point where evening handling these species is <u>not allowed without a Canadian Wildlife Service Permit.</u>

Common sense must prevail in all circumstances and caution must be exercised when dealing with birds. In stressful situations, birds may react with more force in an attempt to protect themselves. As well, birds can carry diseases and parasites which may be transmitted to humans. If a bird can be easily released from entrapment without handling, this may be attempted by site workers. Workers should not touch birds, regardless of the situation. If a bird must be handled, clean work gloves must be worn and the bird handled with care. If an incident cannot be resolved, Canadian Wildlife Services should be contacted (506-364-5068) for further direction. A permit may become necessary to handle and transport the bird to a rehabilitation facility.

Any instances of wildlife interaction shall be recorded on the EMS Incident Report Form.

The following three marine birds are protected by SARA and may be found in Atlantic Canada. Site workers should familiarize themselves with these birds. If any of these species are found around the sites in distress, the Canadian Coast Guard should be contacted immediately at 1-800-565-5068. The Coast Guard can help confirm the identity of the bird(s) in question. Workers must describe the scenario (entanglement, chemical spill, etc.) which caused the distress, if known, as well as the location of the species. Proper directions and/or coordinates are essential to help experts arrive in time.

	Harlequin Duck
<u>e</u>	During the mating season, males have slate-blue plumage, chestnut sides, and streaks of white, chestnut and black on head.
	Females are plain, brownish-grey with patches of white around the eye.
	They usually build their nests on the ground next to banks of fast-flowing streams.
	Barrow's Goldeneye
2	Males (left) are black and white with a purplish-black head and a white crescent-shaped patch at the base of the bill.
	Females (below) are grayish-brown and whitish on the sides and belly with a chocolate brown head. In the winter and spring, females have a bright orange bill.
	Nests are usually built 1-2 km from freshwater and 2-15 m off of the ground.
	<u>Ivory Gull</u>
S	Adults have black legs and pure white plumage. Bill is slate blue at the base, yellow in the middle with a red tip.
U	Nests are usually built on flat terrain or on sheer cliffs above ice sheets.
	Roseate Tern
	These terns would be found locally during breeding season when adults appear mostly white with a black cap, long white tail streamers and a white breast with pale pink.
	The bill of the Roseate Tern is black with red appearing at the base later in the breeding season.
	Their breeding grounds are found on rocky offshore islands, barrier beaches and salt marsh islands.

#### **Section 7; Control Measures**

Any measures taken to protect fish from predators are always carried out in a manner that considers predator welfare and does not endanger the predator population; however, if a predator cannot be deterred and is threatening the security of the containment, it may be dispatched in accordance with Government Policy and Saltwater Management consent.

#### 7.1 Passive Control Measures

The primary containment net will be protected from damage by predators by the use of a predator control net as needed.

The predator net mesh size will be consistent with that utilized in the area for controlling access by predators.

Provision will be made to avoid bird predation with the use of a top bird net.

#### 7.2 Active Control Measures

Non-Lethal, acoustic deterrent devices may be used on sites to discourage birds from landing on the cages. Usage of underwater acoustic devices must be administered under Regulatory approval and following the Acoustic Deterrent Policy.

#### 7.3 Lethal Control Measures

Lethal control measures for predators are prohibited, unless there is a permit in place and actions are carried out according to said permit under the instructions and guidance of Senior Management.

#### 7.4 Daily Inspections

Daily inspections are required on each cage with fish. Any debris should be removed from around or in the cages including garbage, large sticks, and excessive amounts of kelp or rockweed. Waterlines or handrail ties that are missing, broken or chaffed should be replaced. Any lines that are untied must be retied.

For larger repairs, such as broken, chaffed or missing bridals, weight ring ropes or camera lines should be reported to the Site Manager as these types of repairs may require the use of divers, maintenance vessels, or plastic welders.

Any holes discovered in the netting should immediately be repaired, if able, or reported to the Site Manager so that divers can be called in to assess and check for signs of fish escapement.

#### Also refer to APPENDIX 3

Acoustic Deterrent Policy

#### Section 8; Special Requirements

#### 9.1 Newfoundland

Interactions between wildlife and aquaculture facilities are bound to occur from time to time. Therefore, our activities should be conducted with respect and care for the local wildlife, ensuring that harmful encounters are minimized.

In cases where you do encounter entangled birds, other wildlife and marine mammals on your site, whether alive or dead, you must contact the following authorities for their information and action;

- Birds and other wildlife: notify the local Conservation Officer, Department of Environment and Conservation (in the Bay D'Espoir area the phone number is 882-2200). If the animal in question is an eagle, you should also contact the Conne River Band Council.
- Marine mammals and fish (tuna, etc.): contact the local Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada Conservation and Protection Officer in your community.

In the case of wild animals that are alive, the province's Department of Environment and Conservation has a "Wildlife Care and Rehabilitation Program" at Salmonier Nature Park. The local Conservation Officer will be able to determine if the animal in question should be sent to the Salmonier Park.

If a dead animal is encountered, it should be retrieved where possible, treated respectfully, and turned over to the appropriate authority when directed to do so. In the case of bald eagles, the Conservations Officer will make properly permitted arrangements to turn them over to the Conne River Band Council for respectful burial at Conne River.

# APPENDICES

Appendix 1 A – New Brunswick SARA List Appendix 1 B – Nova Scotia SARA List Appendix 1 C – Newfoundland SARA List

Appendix 1 D – USFWS Nationally Significant Seabird, Wading Bird and Eagle Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine

Appendix 2 – EMS Incident Report Form

Appendix 3 – Acoustic Deterrent Policy

#### New Brunswick's Protected Wildlife

The following species are protected under SARA (Species at Risk Act) and COSEWIC (Committee on the status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada) and have been or could be found in the area of southwestern NB's aquaculture sites. If any of these animals are found in distress around the aquaculture sites, Canadian Coast Guard should be contacted at **1-800-565-1633**. If the animals are observed around the aquaculture sites, care should be exercised to avoid causing them any harm.



Atlantic Cod (Gadus morhua)

Habitat: Shoreline to continental shelf in Northeast Atlantic Description: Brown to green or grey with spots on dorsal surface, pale underside. Distinctive chin barbell. 3 dorsal fins and 2 anal fins. Max. size: 2 m, 96 kg



#### North Atlantic Right Whale (Eubalaena glacialis)

<u>Habitat:</u> Temperate northern waters in summer <u>Description:</u> Large black baleen whale distinguished by the callosities (thick, hard, white bumps) on its head. Broad back, lacks a dorsal fin. <u>Adult Size:</u> 16-17 m, 63,500 kg <u>Season of Concern:</u> Congregate in summer and fall in the lower Bay of Fundy, mainly east of Grand Manan



#### Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar)

<u>Habitat</u>: Fresh water streams in winter then migrates out to Bay <u>Description</u>: Sides and belly are silvery, back varies from shades of brown to green and blue. <u>Adult size:</u> 60 cm, 3 kg <u>Season of Concern</u>: Spring, summer and fall



Atlantic Wolffish (Anarhichas lupus)

<u>Habitat:</u> Inhabits cold, deep water, bottom dwellers, prefer rock or hard-clay sediment

<u>Description:</u> Rounded profile, heavy head, blunt snout, lacking pelvic fins. Body color ranges from slate blue to dull green to purplish brown with vertical, dark brown bars along the sides. Extensive teeth structure <u>Max. size:</u> 150 cm, 20 kg



Fin Whale (Balaenoptera physalus) "Grey hound of the deep" <u>Habitat:</u> Temperate, deep, cool waters <u>Description:</u> Baleen whale with a long and slender, streamlined body, dark greybody, white underneath. Narrow, V-shaped head, pointed snout, paired blowholes. <u>Adult Size:</u> 20-27 m, 70,000 kg



#### Harbour Porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) <u>Habitat:</u> Close to cooler (<16 °C), coastal areas or river estuaries <u>Description:</u> Black back, grayish-white sides fading to white underneath <u>Max. size:</u> 1.7 m, 65 kg



#### Porbeagle (Lamna nasus)

<u>Habitat:</u> Coastal and oceanic <u>Description:</u> Large shark with a powerful streamlined

body. Grey-bluish black body with a white patch on the back of dorsal fin, white underside. Head is stout, snout is pointed. Distinguished by its 3-cusped teeth. <u>Max. size:</u> 3 m in length, 135 kg



#### Sowerby's Beaked Whale (Mesoplodons bidens)

<u>Habitat:</u> Generally found in deep waters, continental shelf/slope <u>Description</u>: Medium sized dark gray, beaked whale. Streamlined body with a small head with a long, narrow beak. Tails have no central notch. Small, triangular dorsal fin. <u>Max. size</u>: 4.5 -5.5 m in length, 1000-1300 kg



#### Leatherback Sea Turtle (Dermochelys coriacea)

<u>Habitat:</u> Prefer open ocean, deep water. Nest on ocean beaches. <u>Description:</u> Largest living sea turtle. Lacks a bony shell, instead its carapace is covered by bluish black skin. <u>Max.size:</u> 2.4 m in length, 3.6 m wide, up to 725 kg <u>Season of Concern:</u> June to October

#### Barrow's Goldeneye (Buscephala islandica)

<u>Habitat:</u> Wooded lakes, beaver ponds, overwinter in protected coastal waters or open inland water <u>Description:</u> Medium sized sea duck. Males are black and white. Females are grayish brown and white on the sides and belly with a chocolate brown head Adult Size: 53 cm. 1 kg







#### Eskimo curlew (Numenius borealis)

\*May have gone extinct <u>Habitat:</u> Pass through Maritimes during migration, no specific habitat known

<u>Description:</u> Mottled brown shorebird, brown back, buff underside, long legs, long, thin down-curving bill <u>Adult size:</u> 337 cm in length, 270-454 g, 19-23 cm wing span <u>Season of Concern:</u> July – October (fall migration)



#### Harlequin Duck (Histrionicus histrionicus)

<u>Habitat:</u> Turbulent mountain streams in summer, rocky coastal waters in winter. Nest in a well-concealed location on the ground, near a stream

Description: Small sea duck. Males have slate-blue plumage, chestnut sides, and streaks of white, chestnut and black on head. Females are plain, brownish-grey with patches of white <u>Adult size:</u> 45cm



Least Bittern (Ixobrynchus exilis)

Habitat: Nest in freshwater marches and swamps, often with cattails

<u>Description:</u> Member of the heron family. Mainly brown and buff colored body, white underside, black head and back <u>Adult Size:</u> 30 cm in length, 80 g Season of Concern: Summer (overwinter in southern US states)



#### Yellow Rail (Coturnicops noveboracensis)

<u>Habitat:</u> Found in marshes through summer, coastal wetlands and rice fields in winter <u>Description:</u> Tiny bird with black and white markings on plumage, short tail, small bill. Almost never flies unless disturbed. Adult size: 15-19 cm in length, 60 g



#### Monarch (Danaus plexippus)

Habitat: Wherever milkweed and wildflowers are found- fields, meadows, gardens, *etc.* <u>Description:</u> Small sea duck. Males have slate-blue plumage, chestnut sides, and streaks of white, chestnut and black on head. Females are plain, brownish-grey with patches of white Adult size: 45cm



#### Roseate Tern (Sterna dougalli)

<u>Habitat:</u> Nests are usually built on flat terrain or on sheer cliffs above ice sheets. <u>Description:</u> Adults have black legs and pure white plumage. Bill is slate blue at the base, yellow in the middle with a red tip. Season of Concern: Spring to late August/September



#### Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus melodus)

<u>Habitat:</u> Nests along coastal sand, gravel beaches, sand flats <u>Description:</u> Small, sand coloredshorebird. Black ring around neck. Bill yellow with a black tip, yellow legs (In winter, bill is black, legs are pale) <u>Adult size:</u> 15-19 cm, 43-48 g Season of Concern: Late April /May to August

#### Ivory Gull (Pagophila eburnea)



Habitat: Live near the edges of pack or drift ice <u>Description:</u> Small white seabird with black legs. Juveniles have a dusky face and chin and black spots on the breast and along the flanks and tail. <u>Adult size:</u> 38-43 cm <u>Season of Concern:</u> Late May/early June (breeding season)





## Nova Scotia Protected Wildlife

The following species are protected under SARA (Species at Risk Act) and/or COSEWIC (Committee On the Status of Endangered Wildlife In Canada) and have been or could be found in areas of NS where aquaculture is taking place. If any of these animals are found in distress around the aquaculture sites, Canadian Coast Guard should be contacted at **1-800-565-1633**. If the animals are observed around the aquaculture sites, care should be exercised to avoid causing them any harm.



Atlantic Whitefish (Coregonus huntsmani) <u>Habitat</u>: Petite Riviere watershed and surrounding waters. Found at sea during spring and summer. Returns to freshwater to spawn during winter. <u>Description</u>: Black, dark green or blue back, silver sides, white underbelly, large scales, <u>Classification</u>: Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size</u>: Up to 40 cm



Barrow's Goldeneye (Buscephala islandica) <u>Habitat:</u> Coastal waters throughout Atlantic Canada <u>Description:</u> Medium sized sea duck. High, rounded head is black with white patch under eye. Males are black and white, femaes are greyishh brown and white. <u>Classification:</u> Threatened (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 53 cm, 1 kg



Atlantic Cod (Gadus morhua) Habitat: Shoreline to continental shelf in Northeast Atlantic

Description: Brown to green or grey with spots on dorsal surface, pale underside. Distinctive chin barbs. 3 dorsal fins and 2 anal fins. Classification: Endangered (COSEWIC) Max Size: 2 m, 96 kg



Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus) <u>Habitat:</u> Nest and feed primarily on coastal sand or gravel beaches and sand flats. Found all along the southern shore of Nova Scotia. <u>Description:</u> Grey/brown sides and back, white under. Black spots around neck, on forehead and at beak tip. <u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 19 cm, 48 g



Atlantic Wolffish (Anarhichas lupus) <u>Habitat:</u> All around Nova Scotia. Deep, rocky continental shelf. Periodically found on sandy or muddy bottom. <u>Description:</u> Rounded profile, heavy head, blunt snout, lacking pelvic fins. Body color ranges from slate blue to dull green to purplish brown with vertical, dark brown bars along the sides. Extensive teeth structure. <u>Classification:</u> Threatened (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 150 cm, 20 kg



Roseate Tern (Sterna dougallii) <u>Habitat</u>: Occurs in large colonies on coasts and islands all along the Atlantic shore of Nova Scotia <u>Description</u>: Medium sized seabird with long forked tail. White with black head cap and bill. <u>Classification</u>: Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) Max Size: 40cm, 130 g



Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar) <u>Habitat:</u> Throughout the inner Bay of Fundy following anadromous migration. <u>Description:</u> Sides and belly are silvery, back varies from shades of brown to green and blue. <u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 60 cm, 3 kg



Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) <u>Habitat</u>: Along the Bay of Fundy coast of Nova Scotia. Nests on cliff ledges near water and large open spaces. <u>Description</u>: Medium sized, grey/blue upper body and wings, white to light brown speckled underparts, black bars on legs. Classification: Threatened (SARA)

<u>Classification:</u> Threatened (SAF <u>Max Size:</u> 59 cm, 910 g









Blue Whale (Balaenoptera musculus) <u>Habitat:</u> North shore of the Gulf of St. Lawrrence and off Eastern Nova Scotia during spring, summer and fall. <u>Description:</u> Tapered, elongated body, pleated grooves in the skin of the neck, small dorsal fin, mottled dark blue and grey. Classification: Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA)

Max Size: 30 m, 181 MT



#### Red Knot (Calidris canustus)

<u>Habitat</u>: Migrate from Canadian Arctic to South America in July and August. Migration stops can include tidal sandflats and mudflats along the gulf of St Lawrence and Bay of Fundy.

<u>Description:</u> Shorebird with long straight bill, small head and long legs. Brownish red face, neck, chest, and underparts. White stripe on upper part of wings. <u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC) <u>Max Size:</u> 26 cm



#### **North Atlantic Right Whale** (*Eubalaena glacialis*) Habitat: Temperate northern waters in summer

<u>Habitat:</u> Temperate northern waters in summer <u>Description:</u> Large black baleen whale distinguished by the callosities (thick, hard, white bumps) on its head. Broad back, lacks a dorsal fin. <u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 17 m, 64 MT



#### Leatherback Sea Turtle

(Dermochelys coriacea) <u>Habitat:</u> Often found in deep, temperate waters throughout the Atlantic where they feed. Often sighted between June and October.

<u>Description:</u> Blue-black carapace composed of skin and small bones. Seven ridges running entire length of carapace. <u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 2.4 m, 725 kg



## Northern Bottlenose Whale

(Hyperoodon ampullatus)

<u>Habitat:</u> Scotian Shelf, areas surrounding 'The Gully', a submarine canyon off the Southeast coast of Nova Scotia

<u>Description:</u> Beaked whale with bulbous 'forehead'. Variable in colour, ranging from chocolate brown in young animals, to light brown in older animals, to yellowish brown (with whitish beaks and heads) in very old males.

<u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 10 m, 7.5 MT



#### Least Bittern (Ixobrychus exilis)

Habitat: Prefer large marshes with stable water levels during spring and summer. Winter habitat can be any wetland, including brackish and saline swamps. <u>Description:</u> Small member of the heron family. Brown plumage with broad buff streaks on its white underside. Back and crown are glossy black. <u>Classification:</u> Threatened (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 30 cm, 80 g



Harbour Porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) <u>Habitat:</u> Three distinct Canadian Atlantic populations: Newfoundland-Labrador, St. Lawrence and Bay of Fundy.

<u>Description:</u> Rounded head with no obvious beak, small triangular dorsal fin. White underside, mottled grey/white sides to dark grey back. <u>Classification:</u> Threatened (SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 1.7 m, 65 kg



#### Striped Bass (Morone saxatilis)

Habitat: Anadramous species spawns in freshwater, moves to coastal brackish or salt water to feed and mature. Found along the Atlantic coast; noteably in several rivers which drain into the Bay of Fundy. <u>Description:</u> Dark olive green back with pale silver striped sides and white belly. <u>Classification:</u> Threatened (COSEWIC) Max Size: 1.8 m







# Newfoundland Labrador

# Newfoundland and Labrador Protected Wildlife

The following species are protected under SARA (Species at Risk Act) and COSEWIC (Committee on the status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada) and have been or could be found near aquaculture sites on the south coast of Newfoundland island. If any of these animals are found in distress around the aquaculture sites, Canadian Coast Guard should be contacted at **1-800-565-1633**. If the animals are observed around the aquaculture sites, care should be exercised to avoid causing them any harm.



American Eel (Anguilla rostrata) <u>Habitat:</u> Uses all salinities during life stage, found in all freshwater that are accessible to the to Atlantic Ocean.

<u>Description:</u> Elongated body, grey with white or cream color belly, one dorsal/caudal/anal fin. <u>Max. size:</u> Adults - male: 0.4 m, female: 1.0 m



Leatherback Sea Turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) <u>Habitat:</u> Prefer open ocean, deep water. Nest on ocean beaches.

<u>Description:</u> Largest living sea turtle. Lacks a bony shell, its carapace is covered by bluish black skin. <u>Max.size:</u> 2.4 m in length, 3.6 m wide, 725 kg <u>Season of Concern:</u> June to October



#### Atlantic Cod – Laurentain North (Gadus morhua)

Habitat: Northern Gulf of St. Lawrence and waters off the south coast of Newfoundland. Migrate inshore to their feeding grounds. <u>Description:</u> Brown to green or grey with spots on dorsal surface, pale underside. Distinctive chin barbell, 3 dorsal and 2 anal fins. <u>Max. size:</u> 2 m, 96 kg



**Blue Whale** (*Balaenoptera musculus*) <u>Habitat:</u> Along the north shore of the Gulf of St. Lawrence; off eastern Nova Scotia; off the south coast of the island of Newfoundland. <u>Description:</u> Largest animal on earth, colored dark and light grey, smallish dorsal fin and pointed pectoral flippers.

Max. size: 30 m, 181 MT





**Fin Whale** (Balaenoptera physalus) <u>Habitat:</u> Temperate, deep, cool waters. <u>Description:</u> Baleen whale with a long and slender, streamlined body, dark grey body, white underneath. Narrow, V-shaped head, pointed snout, paired blowholes. <u>Adult Size:</u> 20 - 27 m, 70 MT



Harbour Porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) <u>Habitat:</u> Close to cooler (<16 °C), coastal areas or river estuaries. <u>Description:</u> Black back, grayish-white sides fading to white underneath. <u>Max. size:</u> 1.7 m , 65 kg

## North Atlantic Right Whale (Eubalaena glacialis)

<u>Habitat:</u> Temperate northern waters in summer. <u>Description:</u> Large black baleen whale distinguished by the callosities (thick, hard, white bumps) on its head. Broad back, lacks a dorsal fin. <u>Adult Size:</u> 16 - 17 m, 64 MT

Atlantic Wolffish (Anarhichas lupus) <u>Habitat:</u> Inhabits cold, deep water, bottom dwellers, prefer rock or hard-clay sediment. <u>Description:</u> Rounded profile, heavy head, blunt snout, lacking pelvic fins. Body color ranges from slate blue to dull green to purplish brown with vertical, dark brown bars along the sides. Extensive teeth structure. <u>Max. size:</u> 150 cm, 20 kg









Northern Wolffish (*Anarhichas denticulatus*) <u>Habitat:</u> Open continental-shelf water that is cold—usually between 2°C to 5°C—and mainly at depths between 400 and 1000 metres. Prefer a rocky or muddy sea floor. <u>Description:</u> Thick and heavy set, with a large head, small sharp teeth with grey to dark chocolate color appearance. <u>Max. size:</u> 1.4 m, 20 kg



**Spotted Wolffish** (*Anarhichas minor*) <u>Habitat:</u>Found offshore in cold, deep water, usually below 5°C and between 50 – 800 m in depth but as shallow as 25 m, prefer a coarse sand bottom with rocky areas. <u>Description:</u> Canine teeth, round blunt head long body, olive to deep brown with blackishbrown spots. Max. size: 1.8 m, 23 kg

Harlequin Duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*) <u>Habitat:</u> Turbulent mountain streams in summer, rocky coastal waters in winter. Nest in a well-concealed location on the ground. <u>Description:</u> Small sea duck. Males have slateblue plumage, chestnut sides, and streaks of white, chestnut and black on head. Females are plain, brownish-grey with patches of white. Adult size: 45 cm



Short-eared owl (Asio flammeus) <u>Habitat:</u> Tundra, coastal barrens, sand dunes, field and bog areas. All coastal areas and near shore islands are suitable. <u>Description:</u> Medium-sized, puffy white and brown owl with shirt ear tufts and yellow eyes. <u>Max. size:</u> 34 - 43 cm , 206 - 475 g







(*Loxia curvirostra percna*) <u>Habitat:</u> Restricted to the island of NL. Found in mature conifer forests. <u>Description:</u> Medium-sized finch with a crossed beak. Males are dull red color with brown shading . Females are grayish-olive with yellow rumps. Max size: 14 - 16 cm

#### **Olive-sided Flycatcher**

(Contopus cooperi)

<u>Habitat:</u> Coniferous, mixed wood or boreal forests where suitable habitat is more likely to be in or near wetland areas. <u>Description:</u> Dark olive on the face, upperparts and flanks. They have light under parts, a large dark bill and a short tail. <u>Max. size:</u> 18 - 20 cm

Monarch (*Danaus plexippus*) <u>Habitat:</u> Wherever milkweed and wildflowers are found- fields, meadows, gardens, *etc.* 

<u>Description:</u> Bright orange butterfly with heavy black veins and a wide black border containing two rows of white spots.

Adult size: Wingspan of 8.9 - 10.2 cm

#### **Boreal Felt Lichen**

(Erioderma pedicellatum)

<u>Habitat:</u> It grows on trees in damp boreal forests along the Atlantic coast.


<u>Description:</u> Medium-sized foliose lichen, fuzzy upper surface that is greyish-brown when dry and slate-blue when moist. The underside is white with edges usually curled upward

<u>Max. size:</u> 2 - 5 cm across, sometimes reaching 12 cm in diameter









# Nationally Significant Seabird, Wading Bird and Eagle Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine



For many years, seabird biologists from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife have conducted surveys to identity coastal islands that support nesting pairs of seabirds, wading birds, and bald eagles. The table below is based on information last updated in 2002.

## KEY TO THE TABLE on the following 8 pages):


	The middle of the rono wing o puges).
CIR#	Coastal Island Registry Number (every island has a unique CIR#)
OWNER	(May indicate fee and/or easement ownership)
Π	Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife
F	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Maine Coastal Nesting Islands NWR
Α	Acadia National Park
В	Maine Bureau of Parks and Lands
Ν	<b>DT</b> Maine Dept. of Transportation
Ν	Non-government conservation organization
Р	towns and private owners
(1	Privately owned, protected with conservation easement
*	nesting site usually for bald eagles on a relatively large island with multiple owners

## VALUES

- **S** Island where 1% or more of the state's seabird population nests
- W Island where 1% or more of the state's wading bird population nests
- **R** Island where any number of federally endangered roseate terns nests
- **E** Island where bald eagles nest
- **D** Island that may not meet the 1% population criteria for any one species, but support three or more species of nesting seabirds

## MCINWR

Island identified in the Comprehensive Conservation Management Plan for potential acquisition by Maine Coastal Islands National Wildlife Refuge — if current owners are willing sellers and federal funds are available for acquisition.



This list of nationally significant islands is intended to provide a helpful reference to inform recreational users and to catalyze protection of high value nesting islands through effective stewardship, management agreements, easements and/or fee acquisition with willing landowners. This list alone should not be used for making final management decisions or for regulatory purposes. Rather, the list should be considered as a helpful first reference, to be checked for updates and accuracy on an as-needed, island-specific basis.

In order to minimize disturbance and maximize nesting success, please respect island closures for recreational uses during the nesting season (April 1 - August 31).

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
55-012	FREYEE ISLAND (EAST)	IFW	Brooklin	9.6	Е	
55-088	UPPER COOMBS ISLAND	PRI	Brunswick	8.6	E	✓
55-105	DOUGHTY ISLAND	NGO	Harpswell	1.4	E	
55-156	DUCK ROCK	IFW	Harpswell	1.0	D	
55-159	JENNY ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	3.5	S, R, D	
55-175	LONG LEDGE	IFW	Harpswell	1.3	D	
55-176	LONG LEDGE (SOUTH)	IFW	Harpswell	2.0	S, D	
55-177	FLAG ISLAND ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	26.2	S, D	
55-178	TWO BUSH ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	2.0	D	
55-179	CEDAR LEDGE	IFW	Harpswell	2.4	D	
55-200	LANES ISLAND	PRI	Yarmouth	28.2	E	~
55-223	THE NUBBIN	IFW	Yarmouth	0.2	R	
55-245	SOW AND PIGS	PRI	Freeport	2.9	E	
55-275	UPPER GREEN (SOUTH)	IFW	Cumberland	1.2	S, D	
55-282	LITTLE WHALEBOAT ISLAND	PRI	Harpswell	18.0	W	~
55-283	LITTLE WHALEBOAT ISLAND (SE)	PRI	Harpswell	4.3	D	~
55-295	WILLIAMS ISLAND	PRI,PRI/NGO	Freeport	21.4	E	
55-297	UPPER GREEN ISLAND (N)	IFW	Cumberland	0.6	D	
55-330	SCREECHING GULL	IFW	Falmouth	0.1	R	
55-381	HOUSE ISLAND	PRI	Portland	31.1	D	¥
55-383	RAM ISLAND	IFW	Portland	14.1		1
55-386	OUTER GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Portland	5.4	<u> </u>	
55-406	LITTLE BIRCH ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	9.2	<u>S, D</u>	
55-415	UPPER FLAG ISLAND	FWS	Harpswell	34.1	 D	
55-427	TURNIP ISLAND	PRI	Harpswell	1.9	D	~
55-437	LITTLE MARK ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	1.7	S, D	
55-439	EAGLE ISLAND	BPR	Harpswell	13.3	<u> </u>	
55-458	WEST BROWN COW ISLAND	IFW	Cumberland	1.3	 D	
55-499	INNER GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Portland	3.0	D	
55-521	RAM ISLAND	PRI	Cape Elizabeth	2.8		✓
55-605	RAM ISLAND	FWS	Harpswell	6.3	D	
55-615	POND ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	22.7		
55-626	RAGGED ISLAND	PRI	Harpswell	74.9	S, D	<b>~</b>
55-628	WHITE BULL ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	5.5	 D	
<u>55-630</u>	MARK ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	10.5	 W, D	
<u>55-632</u>	EAST BROWN COW	IFW	Harpswell	2.4	 D	
<u>59-010</u>	HOG ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Gouldsboro	52.3	E	
59-012	JORDAN ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Winter Harbor	261.5	 E	
59-036	BALD ROCK	PRI	Steuben	1.3	D	~
	SALLY ISLAND	PRI	Gouldsboro	5.3	D	~
<u>59-037</u>						×
<u>59-039</u>	SHEEP ISLAND	PRI	Gouldsboro	9.4	<u> </u>	•
59-060	ROLLING ISLAND	ANP	Winter Harbor	5.1	E	
<u>59-062</u>	SCHOODIC ISLAND		Winter Harbor	67.2	<u>S, D, E</u>	
<u>59-065</u>			Winter Harbor	128.7	<u>W, E</u>	
<u>59-084</u>	BURYING ISLAND	PRI/IFW	Franklin	37.8	<u> </u>	
<u>59-087</u>		PRI/NGO	Hancock	9.9	<u> </u>	
59-089	KILKENNY COVE ISLAND	PRI	Hancock	3.1	E	<b>~</b>
<u>59-110</u>	BUCKSKIN ISLAND	PRI	Franklin	5.6	E	*
<u>59-119</u>	MT DESERT ISLAND*	PRI		<u>69,049.0</u>	<u> </u>	
59-127	INDIAN PT LEDGE	IFW	Bar Harbor	0.4	S	<del></del>

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
59-132	BLACK ISLAND	NGO	Bar Harbor	13.8	Е	<b>~</b>
59-136	JED ISLAND	PRI	Bar Harbor	11.8	E	
59-137	CONARY NUB	PRI	Blue Hill	0.2	S	¥
59-160	THE TWINNIES (NORTH)	PRI	Bar Harbor	3.6	E	~
59-161	THE TWINNIES (SOUTH)	FWS	Bar Harbor	3.3	E	
59-170	TREASURE ISLAND	PRI	Sorrento	18.7	E	
59-177	CALF ISLAND	PRI	Sorrento	98.2	E	
59-180	STAVE ISLAND	PRI	Gouldsboro	499.4	E	
59-182	IRONBOUND ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Winter Harbor	830.8	E	
59-183	PREBLE ISLAND	NGO	Sorrento	78.8	E	
59-189	INGALLS ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Sorrento	23.5	E	
59-190	BEAN ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Sorrento	30.1	W, E	~
59-195	SHEEP PORCUPINE ISLAND	NPS	Gouldsboro	22.2	E	
59-197	BALD PORCUPINE ISLAND	NPS	Gouldsboro	31.9	E	
59-198	BURNT PORCUPINE ISLAND	PRI	Gouldsboro	37.6	E	
59-201	LONG PORCUPINE ISLAND	NGO	Gouldsboro	130.1	E	
59-236	HARDWOOD ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Tremont	196.1	E	
59-240	BARTLETT ISLAND*	PRI/TOWN	Mount Desert	2,158.6	E	
59-242	TINKER ISLAND	NGO,PRI/NGO	Tremont	446.9	E	
59-265	BAR ISLAND	NPS	Mount Desert	6.7	E	
59-270	GREAT CRANBERRY ISLAND*	PRI	Cranberry Isles	1,064.9	E	
59-300	THE THRUMCAP	IFW	Bar Harbor	2.6	S, D	
59-301	EGG ROCK	FWS	Winter Harbor	12.5	R, D	
59-313	LT CRANBERRY ISLAND	PRI	Cranberry Isles	491.3	E	
59-340	TRUMPET ISLAND	FWS	Tremont	6.4	D	
59-341	SHIP ISLAND	FWS	Tremont	13.1	S	
59-343	WEST BARGE ISLAND	FWS	Tremont	0.5	D	
59-347	POND ISLAND	PRI	Frenchboro	241.0	E	<b>~</b>
59-351	JOHNS ISLAND	PRI	Swans Island	21.8	E	~
59-398	GOOSEBERRY ISLAND	PRI	Swans Island	5.4	D	~
59-409	BAKER ISLAND (N)	NGO	Swans Island	8.1	E	
59-413	SWANS ISLAND*	PRI	Swans Island	6,853.3	 E	
59-438		NGO	Frenchboro	553.0	E	
59-439	LT DUCK ISLAND	NGO	Frenchboro	89.8	S, D, E	
59-440	GREAT DUCK ISLAND	PRI/NGO's/IFW	Frenchboro	212.0	S, D, E	
59-443	LT BLACK ISLAND	PRI(NGO)	Frenchboro	2.9	E	
59-445	GREEN I LEDGE	IFW	Frenchboro	1.9	D	
59-446	GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Frenchboro	5.6	S, D	
59-447	SISTER ISLAND	PRI	Swans Island	30.3	E	<b>~</b>
59-448	CROW ISLAND	PRI	Frenchboro	10.6	E	~
59-449	DRY MONEY LEDGE	IFW	Frenchboro	0.6	S	
59-450	HARBOR ISLAND	PRI	Frenchboro	19.9	E	~
<u>59-451</u>	LONG ISLAND*	PRI,PRI/NGO	Frenchboro	1,468.5	E	
59-470	RINGTOWN(LT MARSHALL) ISLAND	,	Swans Island	13.9	 E	
<u>59-470</u> 59-479	BRIMSTONE ISLAND	IFW	Swans Island	1.2	 D	
59-479 59-480	HERON ISLAND	NPS	Swans Island	51.8	S, D	
59-480 59-481	MASON LEDGE	IFW	Swans Island	4.5	S, D S, D	
59-483	JOHN'S ISLAND	FWS	Swans Island	43.1	<u> </u>	
<u>59-403</u> 59-570	VERONA ISLAND*	PRI	Verona	3,977.1	<u> </u>	
<u>59-570</u> 59-587	YOUNGS ISLAND (MID) (SAMS?)	PRI	Pembroke	2.9	 E	
55 501		1 1 1 1		2.0	-	2

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
59-596	NN I S BEAR HEAD(RAM?)	PRI	Brooksville	0.4	Е	
59-650	HOLBROOK ISLAND	BPL	Castine	110.9	E	
59-651	RAM ISLAND	NGO	Castine	7.3	Е	
59-669	THRUMCAP ISLAND	IFW	Brooksville	1.2	D	
59-672	BUCK ISLAND	IFW	Brooksville	0.9	D	
59-673	SPECTACLE ISLAND	PRI	Brooksville	8.7	S, D	<b>~</b>
59-674	GREEN LEDGE	IFW	Deer Isle	0.8	D	
59-675	WESTERN ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Deer Isle	22.0	S, E	~
59-685	COLT HEAD	IFW	Deer Isle	4.3	D	
59-687	BEACH ISLAND	PRI	Deer Isle	73.4	E	
59-709	SCOTT I (W)	PRI/NGO	Deer Isle	6.2	E	
59-742	NN I S CARLETON I(SALT POND IS	S.?) IFW	Blue Hill	0.2	Е	
59-771	BRADBURY ISLAND	NGO	Deer Isle	160.7	E	
59-772	LITTLE SPRUCEHEAD	PRI	Deer Isle	44.1	S	~
59-782	HARDHEAD ISLAND	IFW	Deer Isle	5.2	S, D	
59-789	GRASS LEDGE (W)	IFW	Deer Isle	1.1	S, D	
59-790	COMPASS ISLAND	PRI	Deer Isle	7.0	D	~
59-799	INNER PORCUPINE ISLAND	PRI	Deer Isle	10.2	E	~
59-800	OUTER PORCUPINE ISLAND	PRI	Deer Isle	6.3	E	~
<u>59-800</u> 59-802	GRASS LEDGE	IFW	Deer Isle	1.3	 D	
<u>59-802</u> 59-810	CROW ISLAND	IFW	Deer Isle	5.3	E	
59-825	BARRED ISLAND	NGO	Deer Isle	3.4	E	
59-836	SCRAGGY ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Stonington	8.5	W	<b>~</b>
<u>59-849</u>	CURRENT ISLAND	PRI?	Deer Isle	2.3	E	
<u>59-049</u> 59-923	CAMPBELL ISLAND	NGO	Deer Isle	92.0	E	
<u>59-925</u>	BEAR ISLAND	PRI	Deer Isle	20.1	E	~
<u>59-925</u> 59-931	SMUTTYNOSE ISLAND	IFW	Brooklin	0.7	R	· · · · · ·
<u>59-931</u> 59-933	MAHONEY ISLAND	PRI	Brooklin	7.0	S, D	~
						<u> </u>
59-956	EASTERN MARK ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Stonington	9.9	E	
59-959	SHINGLE ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Stonington	9.2	E	~
59-966	RAM ISLAND	BPL	Stonington	2.8	E	
59-977	NO MANS ISLAND	BPL	Stonington	4.7	E	
59-980	THREE BUSH ISLAND	PRI	Swans Island	1.6	S	~
59-991	HALIBUT ROCKS (EAST)	IFW	Swans Island	2.7	D	
59-996	SHABBY ISLAND	IFW/ANP	Deer Isle	3.6	<u>S, D</u>	
<u>59-998</u>	SPIRIT LEDGE	IFW	Swans Island	1.7	D	
61-002	NEHUMKEAG ISLAND	PRI?	Gardiner	2.3	E	,
<u>63-011</u>	SPOON LEDGE	IFW	North Haven	0.8	<u>S, D</u>	
<u>63-013</u>	BURNT ISLAND	IFW	North Haven	17.2	<u> </u>	
<u>63-018</u>	SHEEP Island	IFW	North Haven	22.5	<u> </u>	
63-034	STIMPSONS ISLAND	PRI/NGO	North Haven	194.0	<u> </u>	
63-079	BLUFF HEAD	PRI/NGO	Vinalhaven	7.8	E	
<u>63-081</u>	NECK ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Vinalhaven	21.7	E	
<u>63-093</u>	PENOBSCOT ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Vinalhaven	257.0		~
<u>63-135</u>	GREEN LEDGE	PRI	Vinalhaven	0.7		*
<u>63-157</u>		PRI	Vinalhaven	432.5	<u> </u>	
63-160		PRI		1,397.8	E	
<u>63-166</u>		BPL (IFW)	Vinalhaven	8.4	<u>S, D</u>	
63-169	HAY ISLAND	NGO	Vinalhaven	3.6	<u> </u>	
63-174	ROBERTS ISLAND	FWS	Vinalhaven	10.8	S, D	4

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands inCoastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
63-175	ROBERTS ISLAND (WEST)	FWS	Vinalhaven	2.4	S, D	
63-176	BRIMSTONE ISLAND	NGO	Vinalhaven	32.3	S, D	
63-179	LITTLE BRIMSTON	NGO	Vinalhaven	3.3	D	
63-183	OTTER ISLAND	IFW/NGO	Vinalhaven	44.4	S, D	
63-200	SPARROW ISLAND	IFW	Isle au Haut	5.3	S, D	
63-204	HARDWOOD ISLAND	IFW	Isle au Haut	13.6	E	
63-211	RAM ISLAND	IFW	Isle au Haut	3.4	E	
63-230	ISLE AU HAUT*	PRI/ANP	Isle au Haut	6,808.7	E	
63-260	SOUTHERN MARK ISLAND	IFW	Isle au Haut	5.3	S, D	
63-264	FOG ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Isle au Haut	56.7	E	~
63-266	GREEN LEDGE	IFW	Isle au Haut	4.2	S, D	
63-283	COW PEN (WEST)	IFW	Isle au Haut	3.8	S	
63-284	COW PEN (EAST)	IFW	Isle au Haut	2.6	S	
63-287	GREAT SPOON ISLAND	IFW/ANP	Isle au Haut	50.4	S, D	
63-289	LITTLE SPOON ISLAND	NGO/ANP	Isle au Haut	23.1	S, D	
63-313	CURTIS ISLAND	PRI?	Camden	7.8	E	
63-314	GOOSE ROCK	IFW	Rockport	0.5	D	
63-323	RAM ISLAND	PRI	Rockport	1.1	S, D	~
63-330	MOUSE ISLAND	PRI	North Haven	2.7	D	~
63-335	EAST GOOSE ROCK	IFW	North Haven	0.7	D	
63-336	GOOSE ISLAND	IFW	North Haven	1.6	D	
63-339	MARK ISLAND	NGO	North Haven	31.1	E	
63-341	ROBINSON ROCK	IFW	North Haven	1.9	D	
63-393	SHEEP ISLAND	PRI	Owls Head	62.3	E	
63-402	FISHERMAN ISLAND	IFW	Matinicus Isle	Pl. 8.9	D	
63-403	MARBLEHEAD ISLAND	IFW	Matinicus Isle	PI. 1.0	D	
63-418	LT GREEN ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle	PI. 2.9	S, D	~
63-420	GARDEN ISLAND	IFW	Thomaston	1.5	D	
63-421	OAK ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle	Pl. 1.8	D	~
63-485	GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Vinalhaven	1.7	D	
63-493	GREEN LEDGES	IFW	Vinalhaven	2.3	S, D	
63-501	CRANE ISLAND (NORTH)	PRI	Vinalhaven	35.9	E	
63-503	SPECTACLE ISLAND (WHITE IS.?)	PRI	Vinalhaven	3.7	E	
63-505	CRANE ISLAND (SOUTH)	PRI	Vinalhaven	1.6	E	✓
63-526	HURRICANE ISLAND LEDGE	IFW	Vinalhaven	1.4	D	
63-578		IFW	Saint George	2.7	D	
63-579	THE BROTHERS (NORTH)	NGO	Saint George	3.8	D	~
63-580	THE BROTHERS (C)	NGO	Saint George	0.6	R, D	~
63-581	THE BROTHERS (SOUTH)	NGO	Saint George	7.4	D	<b>~</b>
63-582	HAY LEDGE	NGO	Saint George	5.0	D	
63-584	METINIC ISLAND	FWS/PRI	Matinicus Isle			)
63-585	METINIC GREEN ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle		S, R, D S, D	,
<u>63-588</u>	HOG ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle		 D	
63-626	HURRICANE ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle		D	~
						~
<u>63-634</u>	GRAFFAM ISLAND	PRI	Muscle Ridge			
63-651	CROW ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle		E	~
63-653	TWO BUSH ISLAND	FWS	Matinicus Isle		D	
<u>63-654</u>	LT GREEN ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle		S, D	✓
63-655	LARGE GREEN ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle	PI. 85.3	S, R, D	) 🗸
63-701	HARBOR ISLAND	NGO/PRI	Friendship	96.7	S	<b>*</b> 5

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
63-705	CRANE ISLAND	PRI/FWS	Friendship	11.9	S, D	
63-707	FRANKLIN ISLAND	FWS	Friendship	10.9	S, W, D	
63-730	SAND ISLAND	PRI	Friendship	4.2	E	<b>~</b>
63-731	RAM ISLAND	PRI	Friendship	1.3	E	<b>~</b>
63-802	BAR ISLAND	PRI	Saint George	8.1		~
<u>63-820</u>	SHAG LEDGES (EAST)	IFW	Saint George	1.7	0, D	
63-821	SHAG LEDGDES (WEST)	IFW	Saint George	1.4	D	
63-833	HART ISLAND	FWS	Saint George	13.2		
63-836	GUNNING RK (WEST)	IFW	Saint George	2.1	<u> </u>	
63-839	OLD HUMP LEDGES (SOUTH)	IFW	Saint George	1.7	 D	
63-860	EASTERN EGG ROCK	IFW	Saint George	9.6	S, R, D	
63-873	LITTLE EGG ROCK	IFW	Saint George	3.2	D	
<u>63-875</u>	SHARK ISLAND	IFW	Saint George	2.5	S, D	
63-900	NO MAN'S LAND	IFW	Matinicus Isle PI.		S, D	
63-901	TWO BUSH ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle PI.	5.9	S, D	¥
63-917	WOODEN BALL ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle Pl	38.2	S D	~
63-920	TENPOUND ISLAND	NGO	Matinicus Isle PI.		<u>S, D</u>	
<u>63-923</u>	SEAL ISLAND	FWS	Vinalhaven	95.8	<u> </u>	
<u>63-924</u>	PUDDING ISLANDI	IFW	Matinicus Isle PI.		<u> </u>	
<u>63-929</u>	GREEN LEDGE	IFW	Matinicus Isle PI.		 D	
<u>63-930</u>	RAGGED ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle PI.		 D	
63-940	MATINICUS ROCK	FWS	Matinicus Isle PI.	25.7	S, R, D	
<u>65-019</u>	HOG ISLAND	PRI	Damariscotta	4.7	<u> </u>	~
<u>65-123</u>	HODGSONS ISLAND	NGO	South Bristol	23.2	E	
65-165	HOG ISLAND	NGO	Bremen	302.2	E	
65-173	CROTCH ISLAND (SOUTH)	IFW	Bremen	0.7	E	
65-189	KILLICK STONE	IFW	Bristol	5.5	 R, D	
65-194	WRECK ISLAND	IFW	Bristol	14.1	S, W, D, I	=
<u>65-198</u>	ROSS ISLAND	NGO	Bristol	26.7	<u>S, D</u>	
65-200	HADDOCK ISLAND	PRI	Bristol	12.1	D	<b>~</b>
<u>65-201</u>	WESTERN EGG ROCK	NGO	Bristol	7.9		
65-244	CHRISTMAS COVE	IFW	South Bristol	0.3	 R, D	
<u>65-258</u>		PRI	South Bristol	1.4	S, D	~
<u>65-267</u>	THRUMCAP ISLAND (SOUTH)	FWS	South Bristol	9.0	<u> </u>	
<u>65-207</u> 65-274	FISHERMAN ISLAND	PRI	Boothbay	70.7	W, D	~
65-274 65-276	WHITE ISLAND (INNER)	NGO/FWS	Boothbay	10.6	S, D	· .
65-278	WHITE ISLAND (NINER)	FWS	Boothbay	13.4	<u> </u>	
65-278	OUTER HERON ISLAND	FWS	Boothbay	66.2	W, D W, E	
65-280	DAMARISCOVE ISLAND	NGO	Boothbay	242.3	S, D	<b>~</b>
65-287	PUMPKIN ISLAND	State of Maine	Boothbay	5.7	D	
65-313	EASTERN DUCK ROCK	IFW	Monhegan Island		 D	
65-408	ISLE OF SPRINGS	PRI	Boothbay Harbor		E	
65-408 65-423	GREEN ISLAND	PRI	Southport	19.6	E	
<u>65-423</u> 65-461	LOWER MARK ISLAND	NGO/FWS	Southport	9.5	 S, W	
73-010	SWAN ISLAND	IFW		<u>9.3</u> 1,434.7	<u> </u>	
73-010	LT SWAN ISLAND	IFW	Perkins Twp	46.3	E	
73-030	FREYEE ISLAND (WEST)	PRI	Topsham	5.3	E	<b>~</b>
	NN I (STONEY ?)	PRI PRI?	Bath	<u> </u>	 E	·
73-065 73-067	THORNE ISLAND	PRI? PRI	Woolwich	1.5	<u>Е</u>	
73-067	CRAWFORD ISLAND	PRI	Bath	7.6	 E	
13-012	UNAWI UND ISLAND	E INI	Daul	0.1	L	6

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
73-090	LITTLE LINES ISLAND	PRI?	Woolwich	0.9	Е	
73-168	LEE ISLAND	IFW	Phippsburg	105.6	E	
73-213	NORTH SUGARLOAF	IFW	Phippsburg	0.8	R	
73-262	OUTER HEAD	BPL	Georgetown	3.4	R	
73-280	SOUTH SUGARLOAF	IFW	Phippsburg	1.3	S, R, D	
73-282	POND ISLAND	FWS	Phippsburg	10.5	S	
73-308	FULLER ROCK	PRI	Phippsburg	2.4	D	~
73-313	HERON ISLAND (NORTH)	NGO	Phippsburg	2.0	S, D	
73-315	HERON ISLAND (C)	NGO	Phippsburg	2.7	D	
73-316	HERON ISLAND (SOUTH)	NGO	Phippsburg	3.3	S, D	
73-320	SEGUIN ISLAND	NGO	Georgetown	63.1	S, D	~
77-011	SEARS ISLAND	MDOT	Searsport	977.1	E	
77-012	ISLESBORO*	PRI	Islesboro	7,750.6	E	
77-045	RAM ISLAND	PRI	Islesboro	7.0	E	~
77-047	FLAT ISLAND	IFW	Islesboro	11.5	S, D	
79-012	ST. CROIX ISLAND	ANP	Calais	7.4	E	
79-061	FALLS ISLAND	NGO,PRI/NGO	Trescott Twp	143.1	E	
79-072	WILBUR NECK (SOUTH)	IFW	Pembroke	6.1	E	
79-081	WILBUR NECK (NORHT)	PRI	Pembroke	69.4	E	
79-085	NN I REYNOLDS POINT	IFW	Edmunds Twp	0.3	E	
79-126	GOOSE ISLAND	IFW	Eastport	3.7	S, D	
79-128	MATTHEWS ISLAND	PRI?	Eastport	18.1	E	
79-132	SPECTACLE ISLAND	PRI	Eastport	4.8	S, D	~
79-172	BIRCH ISLAND (SOUTH)	FWS	Edmunds Twp	2.1	E	
79-193	FREDS ISLAND	PRI	Trescott Twp	3.4		
79-219	GOOSEBERRY ISLAND	PRI	Lubec	4.5	E	
79-222	TALBOT COVE ISLAND (WEST)	IFW	Trescott Twp	4.5	E	
79-228	CARLOS COVE ISLAND	IFW	Trescott Twp	3.8	E	
79-241	HOG ISLAND	NGO	Lubec	12.6	E	
79-279	HOG ISLAND	IFW	Machiasport	30.7	D, E	
79-285	SALT ISLAND	IFW/PRI	Machiasport	73.0	E	
79-290	YELLOW HEAD ISLAND	PRI?	Machias	15.8	E	
79-291	BAR ISLAND	??	Machiasport	49.7	E	
79-297	CAPE WASH ISLAND	PRI	Cutler	21.1	E	~
79-304	LT RIVER ISLAND	US Coast Guard	Cutler	16.9	E	
79-313	OLD MAN ISLAND	FWS	Cutler	5.3	S, D	
79-345	MINK ISLAND	FWS	Cutler	11.2	E	
79-347	CROSS ISLAND	FWS	Cutler	1,474.8	E	
79-351	DBL HEADSHOT (INNER)	FWS	Cutler	8.0	E	
79-352	DBL HEADSHOT (OUTER)	FWS	Cutler	14.5	S, D	
79-356	STONE ISLAND	NGO	Machiasport	57.7	W, E	
79-359	BIG LIBBY ISLAND	IFW	Machiasport	95.6	S, D	
79-360	LITTLE LIBBY	FWS	Machiasport	39.7	D	
79-370	TREAT ISLAND	PRI	Eastport	73.2	E	
79-371	POPES FOLLY	IFW	Lubec	1.7	E	
79-393	HOPE ISLAND	PRI	Roque Bluffs	5.5	E	~
79-410	HARDWOOD ISLAND	PRI	Addison	20.2	E	
79-412	DUCK LEDGE ISLAND	PRI	Addison	1.1	D	~
79-422	INNER GOOSE ISLAND	IFW	Addison	2.9	E	
79-462	LT RAM ISLAND	PRI	Roque Bluffs	2.0	E	<b>✓</b> 7

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
79-464	FELLOWS ISLAND	PRI	Roque Bluffs	33.0	Е	~
79-475	ROQUE ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport	1,306.7	E	
79-481	LT SPRUCE ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport	84.3	E	
79-488	BALLAST	IFW	Jonesport	3.5	S, D	
79-493	MARK ISLAND	NGO	Jonesport	39.2	E	
79-499	NIPPLE ISLAND	NGO	Jonesport	0.3	D	
79-512	GREAT WASS ISLAND*	PRI/NGO	Beals	2,653.5	E	
79-514	SHEEP ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport	4.2	E	~
<u>79-520</u>	PIG ISLAND	PRI	Beals	54.1	E	
79-523	FRENCH HOUSE ISLAND	PRI	Beals	8.1	E	
79-570	HALIFAX ISLAND	FWS	Jonesport	60.0	D	
79-572	GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Jonesport	2.0	D	
79-573	EAST BROTHERS	FWS	Jonesport	16.8	S, D	
79-574	ANGUILLA ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport	12.9	E	
79-576	PULPIT ROCK	IFW	Jonesport	1.7	S, D	
79-580	DOUBLE SHOT ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport	7.5	E	
79-586	WEST BROTHERS	IFW	Jonesport	12.9	D	
79-600	LITTLE RAM ISLAND	IFW	Beals	13.1	E	
79-601	BIG RAM ISLAND	PRI	Beals	29.3	E	~
79-602	OUTER RAM ISLAND	PRI	Beals	8.6	E	~
79-605	EGG ROCK	IFW	Beals	1.9	D	
79-610	TOMS ISLAND (NORHT)	PRI	Addison	1.6	E	
79-614	INNER SAND ISLAND	FWS	Addison	17.8	 D	
79-619	PLUMMER ISLAND (EAST)	NGO	Addison	8.0	E	
79-621	FLAT ISLAND	PRI	Addison	19.6	S, D	~
79-623	RAM ISLAND	PRI?	Addison	5.7	<u> </u>	
79-626	BIG NASH ISLAND/CONE	PRI	Addison	75.3		~
<u>79-627</u>	NASH ISLAND	PRI/FWS	Addison	16.7	<u> </u>	~
	THE LADLE	PRI	Addison	2.3	<u> </u>	~
<u>79-632</u>					E	•
<u>79-635</u> 79-638	PLUMMER ISLAND (WEST) LITTLE DRISKO	PRI IFW	Addison Addison	<u>13.0</u> 10.9	<u> </u>	
79-662	LT HARDWOOD ISLAND	NGO		5.2	 E	
79-676	FREEMAN ROCK	IFW	Jonesport	1.5	 S, D	
79-679	MINK ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport Beals	2.6	<u> </u>	
79-693	BROWNEY ISLAND	NGO	Beals	39.8	 S, D, E	
79-693		PRI		48.1		~
-	FISHERMAN ISLAND		Beals		<u>S, D</u> E	
<u>79-740</u> 79-742	UPPER BIRCH ISLAND	NGO PRI	Addison Addison	27.5	<u> </u>	
	NIGHTCAP ISLAND	PRI/IFW	Addison	23.9 2.7	 S, D	
<u>79-748</u> 70 751	EAGLE ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Addison	3.5	<u> </u>	
79-751 79-757		NGO		7.2	Ē	
	BOWLINE HEAD	PRI	Harrington		E	~
<u>79-763</u>	STROUT ISLAND		Harrington	20.8		•
79-765		BPL	Harrington	1.0	E	
79-778		PRI	Harrington	0.9	E	<b>~</b>
79-787	PINKHAM ISLAND	PRI	Milbridge	79.6	E	*
79-789	FOSTER ISLAND	PRI	Harrington	322.5	E	
79-820	BAR ISLAND	PRI?	Milbridge	82.2	E	
79-824	BOIS BUBERT ISLAND	FWS/PRI	Milbridge	1,059.3	<u> </u>	
79-832	POP ISLAND	PRI?	Steuben	2.8	E	
79-835	SHEEP ISLAND	PRI	Steuben	7.9	E	<b>*</b> 8

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
79-836	SALLY ISLAND	FWS	Steuben	1.3	E	
79-843	EASTERN ISLAND	PRI	Steuben	4.7	S, D	¥
79-903	FLINT ISLAND	NGO	Harrington	136.0	E	
<u>79-906</u>	SHIPSTERN ISLAND	NGO	Harrington	8.0	E	
<u>79-909</u>	TRAFTON ISLAND	PRI/IFW	Harrington	113.2	W	<b>~</b>
<u>79-917</u>	DOUGLAS ISLAND (WEST)	PRI	Milbridge	10.5	Е	
79-918	DOUGLAS ISLAND (MID)	PRI	Milbridge	19.4	E	
<u>79-919</u>	DOUGLAS ISLAND (EAST)	PRI	Milbridge	3.9	E	
79-922	JORDANS DELIGHT	FWS/PRI	Harrington	27.0	S, D	
79-929	GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Steuben	14.2	S, D	
79-933	PETIT MANAN	FWS	Steuben	15.7	S, R, D	
79-935	EGG ROCK	IFW	Milbridge	1.8	D	
<u>81-001</u>	BLUFF ISLAND	NGO	Saco	14.5	S, D	
81-002	STRATTON ISLAND	NGO	Saco	30.0	S, W, R, I	D
<u>81-010</u>	EAGLE ISLAND	PRI	Saco	3.1	S, D	¥
<u>81-015</u>	WOOD ISLAND	NGO/US Coast Guard	Biddeford	43.5	S, D	¥
<u>81-016</u>	STAGE ISLAND	NGO	Biddeford	10.1	D	
81-018	BEACH ISLAND	IFW	Biddeford	3.1	R	
81-025	GOOSEBERRY ISLAND	IFW	Biddeford	1.7	D	
81-040	W GOOSE ROCKS	IFW	Kennebunkport	2.1	R	
81-041	W GOOSE ROCKS	IFW	Kennebunkport	0.4	R	
81-098	GREEN ISLAND	NGO	Kennebunkport	5.8	S, D	
81-101	FOLLY ISLAND	PRI	Kennebunkport	5.4	S, D	<b>~</b>
81-102	BUMPKIN ISLAND	NGO	Kennebunkport	1.7	S, D	
81-181	DUCK ISLAND	FWS	Kittery	8.8	S, D	
81-182	SMUTTYNOSE ISLAND	PRI/FWS	Kittery	40.5	S, D	
81-191	APPLEDORE ISLAND	PRI	Kittery	99.1	S, W, D	<b>~</b>

#### **Environmental Management System Manual for Cooke Aquaculture Inc.**

Facilities in New Brunswick, Newfoundland, Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island & Maine USA

Record	EMS	Incident Report			
Created by:	Revision:	Replaces Revision :	Reason for Revision:		
Jennifer Wiper	November 2014	New	New		

All incidents that affect our Environmental Management System (EMS) need to be documented in detail to determine if changes are needed to our Operational Controls (procedures, equipment, reporting or staff training).

Blood \
Chemic
Vessel

Water Spill cal / Fuel Spill / Barge Sinking

## **INCIDENT TYPE**

<b>Potential Fish Escape</b>
Wildlife Interaction
Other:

#### TO BE COMPLETED BY INCIDENT RECORDER

Name of Incident Recorder:	Date of Incident:
Location of Incident:	•
Personnel Involved:	
Description of Incident:	
Immediate Corrective Action:	
Preventative Action:	
Management Representative Contacted:	Position:

#### TO BE COMPLETED BY MANAGEMENT REPRESENTATIVE

Management Representative Remarks:		
Revisions Required to Operational Controls:		
Signature of Management Representative:	Date Signed:	
The Referred Individual must submit this record to th	•	ervisor upon
comple	etion	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**Reviewed and Documented (CAI CS signature)** 



## **Acoustic Deterrent Policy**

Version 15.05-01

From the careful selection of farm sites and investment in the best technology in everything from cage and net construction to feeding systems, to regular monitoring and sampling of sediment under cage sites, we ensure that all the necessary steps to safeguard the health of our salmon and of the surrounding areas are taken. To make certain that we live up to the commitment of protecting and maintaining the sustainability of the environment in which we operate, we need to establish Best Management Practices and Policies and as such we have developed this Acoustic Deterrent Policy regarding their use.

Acoustic Deterrent Devices (ADDs), also referred to as Acoustic Harassment Devices (AHDs) are equipment used underneath the surface of the water to deter predators away from our cages. While we continue to advance our predator exclusion systems, such as the use of the steel-core nets, redesign of our grid systems and other technologies, including ADDs, predator interactions are unavoidable given the environment in which we operate.

- Any use of an ADD must be first communicated with and approved by the respective Area and/or Production Manager to ensure that all other preventative measures have been taken.
  - Other factors such as the legality to use such devices or the requirements of certification schemes need to be referred to prior to deployment and your Area and/or Production Manager are your best resources to answer these questions.
- To ensure that non-target species are not negatively impacted, we will limit the use of any ADDs during periods of high population densities. As such, the use of ADDs will NOT BE PERMITTED during the months of June through September.
  - o It is imperative that the devices are removed from the water during this time.

This policy supports our commitments to our Environmental Management System.

Michael Szemerda VP Saltwater Operations Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd.

## 3.0 APPLICANT'S DEVELOPMENT PLAN ADDENDUM

\*The following is additional information submitted by the applicant in response to consultations undertaken under Section 16 of the Aquaculture Licence and Lease Regulations.

- 3.1 Baseline Assessment Report Addendum
- 3.2 NS1039 Rattling Beach Boundary Amendment Addendum

## 3.1 Baseline Assessment Report Addendum

This includes baseline videos recorded to support the baseline assessment report addendum and have been provided to the Aquaculture Review Board on an external hard drive. The titles for the video files are listed below:

AO#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB1 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB2 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB3 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB4 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB5 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB6 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB7 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB8 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB9 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB10 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB11 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB12 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB13 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB14 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB15 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB16 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB17 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. RB18 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. Transect Corner 1 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. Transect Corner 2 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. Transect Corner 3 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. Transect Corner 4 AQ#1039 Baseline Addendum 2018. Transect Corner 5

## Baseline Assessment Report Addendum

Site #1039 Rattling Beach

Annapolis Basin Annapolis County Nova Scotia

June 4, 2018

Prepared for: Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. P.O. Box 33 Bridgewater, NS B4V 2W6

Prepared by: Sweeney International Marine Corp. NRC-IMB Research Facilities 1411 Oxford Street Suite 367-368 Halifax, NS B3H 3Z1 Tel: (902) 492-7865 (902) 492-0359 Fax: (902) 492-7734 www.simcorp.ca



New Brunswick

Newfoundland

Nova Scotia

SIMCorp File #SW2016-059



NRC-IMB Research Facilities 1411 Oxford Street Suite 264-265 Halifax, NS B3H 3Z1 **Tel: (902) 492-7865 (902) 492-0359** Fax: (902) 492-7734 **www.simcorp.ca** 

June 4, 2018

SIMCorp File #SW2016-059

Jennifer Hewitt P.O. Box 33 Bridgewater, NS B4V 2W6

Dear Ms. Hewitt,

## Reference: Rattling Beach Baseline Assessment, May 2018

Please find enclosed the above-noted report and attached video footage for marine finfish aquaculture site #1039 in Annapolis Basin, Annapolis County, NS.

If you have any questions or comments regarding the above-noted report, please do not hesitate to contact me at 902-492-7865.

Sincerely,

Shaun Allain, B.Sc. Marine Environmental Biologist Sweeney International Marine Corp. @simcorp.ca

cc: Bob Sweeney (SIMCorp) Kate Richardson (NSDFA) Jeff Nickerson (KCS) Mike Szemerda (KCS)



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 DEPOSITIONAL MODELING	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Model Inputs and Assumptions	2
1.2.1 Species	2
1.2.2 Bathymetry	
1.2.3 Currents	
1.2.4 Events Files	3
1.2.5 Pen Parameters	6
1.2.6 Feed Parameters	6
1.2.7 Other Inputs	6
1.3 Model Output	7
1.4 Aquamodel Settings	9
1.4.1 Project Options	10
1.4.2 Data Source Options	19
1.4.3 Display Settings	
1.4.4 Data Graphics	23
2.0 FISH HABITAT SURVEY AND SAMPLING	26
2.1 Summary	26
2.2 Methodology	
2.2.1 Sampling Locations	26
2.2.2 Video Surveillance	
2.3 Results	28
2.3.1 Results and Observations of Benthic Visual Survey	28
2.3.2 Results of Habitat Survey – Sensitive or Critical Habitat	35
3.0 REFERENCES	36

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Events file created for Rattling Beach to simulate fish losses from	
mortalities and harvests	4
Table 2. Introductory fish weights and cage densities	6
Table 3. List of species (or higher taxonomic level) observed within the lease	
boundaries during the benthic survey of Rattling Beach	28
Table 4. Baseline video observations of substrate type from the Rattling Beac	h
survey, May 24, 2018	29
Table 5. Baseline video observations of benthic indicators from the Rattling	
Beach survey, May 24, 2018	32



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Schematic of the dynamic processes in AquaModel	1
Figure 2. Bathymetry of Rattling Beach	2
Figure 3. Predicted TOC rate of deposition for October 18, 2017 (peak feeding).	8
Figure 4. Predicted TOC rate of deposition for August 19, 2016 (time of mean	
feed usage)	9
Figure 5. Rattling Beach video station locations surveyed on May 24th, 20182	7

## APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – Habitat Map of Seafloor Characteristics of the Proposed Rattling Beach Aquaculture Site Amendment

APPENDIX B – Video Transect Screenshots of the Seafloor

APPENDIX C – Comprehensive Species List Observed during the Benthic Survey at the Proposed Rattling Beach Site Amendment



## 1.0 DEPOSITIONAL MODELING

## 1.1 Introduction

AquaModel is a computational tool for planning and evaluating proposed aquaculture sites, acquiring permits, and assessing investment risks and opportunities. It runs on a standard PC and provides a simple interface to enter environmental and operational information. Graphical outputs map the distribution over time of key parameters including water temperature, oxygen, particulate-organic and dissolved-nutrient wastes, algal and plankton effects, and dozens of other environmental and fish cultural/management parameters. It is designed to be used by non-modellers or experts with widely different computer skill levels with or without assistance by AquaModel developers and consultants. AquaModel is also a full-fledged GIS system, too, fully compatible with leading stand-alone GIS systems.

AquaModel is a true dynamic model, not a look-up spreadsheet-based model but one where the fish eat, grow, swim and excrete at rates based on the well-established science for Atlantic Salmon (and 11 other species of fish) as shown in the figure below. The developers of AquaModel include scientists with decades of fish-farm experience involving sediment-effects monitoring and research as well as fish physiology and nutrient effects on algae and microalgae.




Figure 1. Schematic of the dynamic processes in AquaModel

AquaModel has been used numerous times in Atlantic Canada by Sweeney International Marine Corp. (SIMCorp) and the developers of the model, and so it has a custom Atlantic salmon submodel designed and validated for both accurate growth and food conversion ratio results in Atlantic Canada. In the model, if fish are overcrowded, subjected to excessive current velocity or insufficient oxygen supply at a proposed site, the user can readily determine that modifications in the site setup or location are warranted. *SW2016-059* 



Further information on AquaModel can be found at <u>http://www.aquamodel.net/</u>. For information on model validation, see <u>http://www.aquamodel.net/Validation.html</u>.

## **1.2** Model Inputs and Assumptions

## 1.2.1 Species

The 2-D mode was selected for analyzing the depositional rate at the Rattling Beach aquaculture site. The 2-D mode is used for analysis at an individual farm level whereas the 3-D mode is used for bay-wide scales and can include multiple farm inputs. The Atlantic salmon submodel "AtlanticSalmonNS", designed and validated for both accurate growth and food conversion ratio results in Atlantic Canada, was selected.

## 1.2.2 Bathymetry

Bathymetry was entered as a simple text file with longitude, latitude and depth arranged in x, y, z format (i.e. three columns of data). The shoreline was based on user-collected data using Google Earth (Fig. 2).




Figure 2. Bathymetry of Rattling Beach



## 1.2.3 Currents

Current meter data was input with a simple Excel file. Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler data was collected from June 29 to August 4, 2016 within the proposed boundaries of Rattling Beach in approximately 9.1 m of water (Fig. 1). The ADCP was deployed by Nova Scotia's Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture at the coordinates N44° 39' 03.3" W65° 45' 14.8".

## 1.2.4 Events Files

For operational effects, an events Excel file was compiled for inputs of estimated mortality and harvesting. Table 1 illustrates the estimated fish loses throughout the growth cycle due to mortalities and harvests. Beginning in September of the second year of production, harvests were simulated.

Temperature and dissolved oxygen data collected from the Rattling Beach lease during operations were used to simulate a full year of environmental data. The one year of data was extended to cover the entire simulated production period, which was from April 16, 2016 to April 16, 2018.



## **Table 1.** Events file created for Rattling Beach to simulate fish losses from mortalities and harvests

Time	Pen 1 Mortality (count)	Pen 1 Harvest (%)	Pen 2 Mortality (count)	Pen 2 Harvest (%)	Pen 3 Mortality (count)	Pen 3 Harvest (%)	Pen 4 Mortality (count)	Pen 4 Harvest (%)	Pen 5 Mortality (count)	Pen 5 Harvest (%)	Pen 6 Mortality (count)	Pen 6 Harvest (%)	Pen 7 Mortality (count)	Pen 7 Harvest (%)	Pen 8 Mortality (count)	Pen 8 Harvest (%)	Pen 9 Mortality (count)	Pen 9 Harvest (%)	Pen 10 Mortality (count)	Pen 10 Harvest (%)
2016-04-20 12:00	260	0	201	0	193	0	150	0	0	0	56	0	56	0	119	0	76	0	90	0
2016-05-20 12:00	35	0	45	0	45	0	67	0	371	0	133	0	146	0	144	0	170	0	102	0
2016-06-20 12:00	91	0	58	0	76	0	78	0	538	0	448	0	165	0	246	0	308	0	235	0
2016-07-20 12:00	54	0	69	0	92	0	53	0	63	0	31	0	19	0	28	0	32	0	30	0
2016-08-20 12:00	234	0	196	0	250	0	48	0	45	0	25	0	13	0	21	0	34	0	46	0
2016-09-20 12:00	249	0	128	0	700	0	60	0	32	0	14	0	18	0	44	0	56	0	398	0
2016-10-20 12:00	27	0	12	0	22	0	19	0	13	0	8	0	13	0	11	0	136	0	141	0
2016-11-20 12:00	11	0	8	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	6	0	3	0	6	0	5	0	5	0
2016-12-20 12:00	35	0	16	0	12	0	43	0	13	0	15	0	16	0	11	0	8	0	78	0
2017-01-20 12:00	61	0	11	0	16	0	18	0	10	0	5	0	6	0	7	0	6	0	32	0
2017-02-20 12:00	127	0	53	0	32	0	30	0	35	0	25	0	24	0	20	0	26	0	239	0
2017-03-20 12:00	62	0	32	0	30	0	26	0	27	0	11	0	11	0	15	0	18	0	72	0
2017-04-20 12:00	30	0	21	0	18	0	16	0	19	0	15	0	9	0	11	0	20	0	67	0
2017-05-20 12:00	16	0	15	0	15	0	19	0	17	0	97	0	18	0	21	0	17	0	81	0
2017-06-20 12:00	27	0	29	0	14	0	24	0	18	0	84	0	18	0	22	0	10	0	34	0
2017-07-20 12:00	16	0	10	0	8	0	21	0	16	0	20	0	14	0	19	0	8	0	15	0
2017-08-20 12:00	42	0	29	0	28	0	30	0	47	0	75	0	46	0	79	0	34	0	21	0
2017-09-20 12:00	280	0	40	0	47	0	26	0	128	0	65	0	48	0	40	25	31	0	71	0
2017-10-20 12:00	72	25	24	25	106	0	30	0	42	25	108	0	75	0	46	25	55	0	221	0
2017-11-20 12:00	39	25	13	25	55	25	38	25	11	25	18	25	28	25	198	25	32	25	74	25
2017-12-20 12:00	16	25	10	25	11	25	5	25	5	25	7	25	8	25	17	25	7	25	18	25
2018-01-20 12:00	96	25	41	25	16	25	8	25	22	25	13	25	0	25			0	25	54	25
2018-02-20 12:00					272	25	28	25			8	25	15	25			19	25	203	25



## **Table 1.** Events file created for Rattling Beach to simulate fish losses from mortalities and harvests (continued)

Time	Pen 11 Mortality (count)	Pen 11 Harvest (%)	Pen 12 Mortality (count)	Pen 12 Harvest (%)	Pen 13 Mortality (count)	Pen 13 Harvest (%)	Pen 14 Mortality (count)	Pen 14 Harvest (%)	Pen 15 Mortality (count)	Pen 15 Harvest (%)	Pen 16 Mortality (count)	Pen 16 Harvest (%)	Pen 17 Mortality (count)	Pen 17 Harvest (%)	Pen 18 Mortality (count)	Pen 18 Harvest (%)	Pen 19 Mortality (count)	Pen 19 Harvest (%)	Pen 20 Mortality (count)	Pen 20 Harvest (%)
2016-04-20 12:00	441	0	465	0	206	0	198	0	208	0	137	0	106	0	98	0	858	0	238	0
2016-05-20 12:00	60	0	70	0	44	0	66	0	85	0	207	0	66	0	73	0	374	0	202	0
2016-06-20 12:00	123	0	191	0	135	0	130	0	212	0	178	0	424	0	92	0	377	0	249	0
2016-07-20 12:00	102	0	77	0	253	0	65	0	282	0	73	0	35	0	31	0	16	0	77	0
2016-08-20 12:00	33	0	401	0	153	0	113	0	722	0	47	0	81	0	115	0	37	0	96	0
2016-09-20 12:00	81	0	257	0	161	0	116	0	348	0	105	0	144	0	152	0	45	0	55	0
2016-10-20 12:00	13	0	28	0	31	0	31	0	117	0	28	0	19	0	12	0	7	0	12	0
2016-11-20 12:00	4	0	9	0	7	0	10	0	18	0	11	0	18	0	8	0	8	0	5	0
2016-12-20 12:00	14	0	9	0	16	0	17	0	25	0	9	0	17	0	18	0	24	0	14	0
2017-01-20 12:00	39	0	30	0	23	0	22	0	22	0	31	0	27	0	14	0	10	0	17	0
2017-02-20 12:00	107	0	185	0	89	0	18	0	34	0	74	0	42	0	26	0	52	0	48	0
2017-03-20 12:00	223	0	153	0	103	0	43	0	59	0	109	0	42	0	26	0	32	0	104	0
2017-04-20 12:00	126	0	93	0	49	0	50	0	60	0	65	0	14	0	14	0	23	0	53	0
2017-05-20 12:00	42	0	37	0	22	0	26	0	49	0	42	0	15	0	12	0	11	0	25	0
2017-06-20 12:00	43	0	44	0	35	0	40	0	25	0	42	0	16	0	15	0	23	0	24	0
2017-07-20 12:00	32	0	42	0	25	0	34	0	38	0	29	0	34	0	25	0	23	0	24	0
2017-08-20 12:00	27	0	46	0	34	0	25	0	32	0	39	0	79	0	45	0	40	0	36	0
2017-09-20 12:00	80	0	40	0	40	0	40	0	36	0	156	0	447	0	225	0	97	0	95	0
2017-10-20 12:00	13	25	57	0	47	25	31	25	72	25	41	25	40	25	98	25	157	25	34	25
2017-11-20 12:00	38	25	31	25	17	25	31	25	18	25	50	25	37	25	35	25	15	25	58	25
2017-12-20 12:00	18	25	20	25	8	25	10	25	18	25	28	25	17	25	9	25	16	25	28	25
2018-01-20 12:00	75	25	87	25	72	25	56	25	24	25	34	25	77	25	51	25	78	25	116	25
2018-02-20 12:00			98	25																



#### 1.2.5 Pen Parameters

The cage centers were entered through the menu, and other simple factors such as fish size at introduction and stocking density were specified. Circular cages with a length and width (i.e. diameter) of 31.83 m were entered. Net depth was set to 8 m. The introductory fish weights and the initial densities were set (Table 2).

 Table 2. Introductory fish weights and cage densities

Cage #	Introductory mean fish	Starting density
	weight (g)	(kg m⁻³)
1	179	0.8982
2	168	0.8558
3	141	0.7080
4	134	0.6718
5	85	0.4218
6	134	0.6261
7	136	0.6374
8	137	0.6549
9	148	0.6899
10	170	0.8022
11	183	0.9301
12	148	0.7637
13	130	0.6613
14	142	0.7163
15	100	0.4766
16	264	1.2405
17	180	0.8558
18	182	0.8682
19	189	0.9217
20	188	0.9009

#### 1.2.6 Feed Parameters

Under the Operations tab, the optimal feed rate option was selected and a waste feed rate (3% was entered. The carbon fraction of the feed as a dry weight was set at 47.7% and the water fraction of the bulk feed was set at 10%. The faecal settling rate was set at 3 cm/s and the feed settling rate was set at 9 cm/s, based on best available literature.

#### 1.2.7 Other Inputs

Under the Benthic menu tab of the model, the initial value of the TOC fraction of the seafloor was set to 0.0044 (fraction dry weight = 0.44%), which assumes a seafloor composition of silty sand. The particle deposition threshold was set to 6 cm/s (fecal) and 8 cm/s (pellet). The particle erosion threshold was set to 8 cm/s (fecal) and 12 cm/s

SW2016-059



(pellet) and the erosion factor to 1.0 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (both fecal and pellet). Ambient TOC deposition was assumed to be 0.02 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. The TOC deposition moving average was set to 21 days and the seston TOC oxidation rate/day at 0.02. All of these factors were set based on prior experience with Atlantic Canada and other location salmon farms including use of sensitivity analyses.

Under the Array menu tab, the plankton model was turned off, but the physiology and benthic models were enabled with the organic matter type set to TOC.

Under Drifter Processing Type, the no drifters option was selected.

Under the Conditions menu tab, the ambient value of dissolved oxygen was set to 8.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and the surface (mixed) layer depth was set to 40 m for both winter and summer. This depth is greater than the actual water depth, so assumes no stratification of the water column.

### 1.3 Model Output

Because AquaModel is a mass-balance model, all components of the model are available for user inspection and use. Over 50 parameters are available and are quite valuable to understand a problem that may occur at a proposed or existing site. For example, a user can view sediment oxygen flux while watching the competing populations of aerobic and anaerobic bacteria to understand when a site changes to less-desirable anaerobic conditions. However, for the purposes of the AAR, only contours generated to represent TOC deposition at the time of peak feeding are required.

The model was run with a start date of April 16, 2016 and the first harvest occurring in September 2017. The date of highest feed use was calculated to occur in October of the second year of production (i.e. 2017). The map of the contours showing the predicted sediment TOC rate of deposition was captured for this time period and is included in Figure 3 below. Cage positions are represented by black circles.







Figure 3. Predicted TOC rate of deposition for October 18, 2017 (peak feeding)

August 19, 2016 was selected to represent the TOC deposition rate during a period of mean feed usage. A map of the depositional contours for this time is shown in Figure 4.



**Figure 4.** Predicted TOC rate of deposition for August 19, 2016 (time of mean feed usage)



### 1.4 Aquamodel Settings

The 16 screen shots shown in the following pages illustrate the inputs and settings used to run the model for the proposed boundary amendment of NS aquaculture site #1039. The current meter, bathymetry, temperature / oxygen, and mortality / harvest data files are available on the accompanying CD.



# 1.4.1 Project Options

1039Rattling Options	Data Sources	Array	Color
Capture File .\1039Rattling\Capture2D\10		,	
Array Output Pens	s Drifters	Conditions	Operations
Benthic Display			
Sediment (top 2 cm)	Charl Min	Scale Max	Initial Value
Aerobic biomass (g_C/m^2)	0.260	2.313 🔹	0.500
Anaerobic biomass (g_C/m^2)	0.018	107.095	0.050 *
Oxygen (g/m3)	0.000	4.943	3.000 ×
CO2 (g/m^2)	6.003	1840.620	6.000 ~
Sulfide (m-mole/m3); BETA VERSION		46534.98 0.0189	5.00
TOC (fraction dry wt)	0.00	0.0189	0.0044
TOC rate (g_C/m^2/day)	0.000	10.000	0.000
Suspended above sediment layer	Min	Max	Init./Amb.
Oxygen (g/m3)	0.000	6.593 🔹	6.000
TOC (g_C/m3)	0.0000	0.1601	0.0000
	Fecal	Pellet	Raw Fish
TOC consolidation rate (fraction/day)	0.100	0.100	0.000
Deposition threshold (cm/sec)	6.000 *	8.000	0.000
Erosion threshold (cm/sec)	8.000	12.000	0.000
Erosion rate factor (g_C/m^2/d)	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000
Ambient TOC deposition (g_C/m <sup>2</sup> /d)	0.020		
	Rates		
TOC deposition moving average (days)	21.0		
Seston TOC oxidation rate (per day)	0.020		
	Apply	Ok	Cancel
	у		



1039Rattling Options	
Mode Replay	Data Sources Array Color
Capture File .\1039Rattling\Capture2D\1039	Rattling
Array Output Pens	Drifters Conditions Operations
Benthic Display	e
Mouse selection mode Selection type	Select 💌
Contours Stretch factor	20.0
Resolution	Ultra 💌
Solid fill(Yes/No)	Yes
Contour mode	SedimentTocRate
ContourValue 1 4 - Contour Value/PenWidth/Color	1.0000 + 1 - Color
Current vectors	
Enable current vectors (Yes/No)	No
3-D vector display depth	12.0 m 💌
3-D display resolution	5
POC waste tracks	
POC waste track display (Yes/No)	No
POC waste track size (pixels)	3
Grid/mesh overlays	
Array Grid	
ADCIRC mesh display (Yes/No)	
Profile plot width (days)	7.0 *
Display drifters (Yes/No)	
	Apply Ok Cancel



1039Rattling Options		1	_ <b>D</b> _ X
Mode Replay	Data Sources	Array	▼ Color
Capture File .\1039Rattling\Capture2D\1039	BRattling		
Benthic Display			
Array Output Pens	Drifters	Conditions	Operations
	I - titud -	Lessitude	Usedise
Array center and Heading (deg)	Latitude 44.65480	Longitude -65.75510	Heading
Grid and Array size Grid size(m/cell)	Length	<b>Width</b>	<b>Depth</b>
Array size (# cells)	49	21	2
Default Bottom depth (m)	30		
Enable Submodels Selected Plankton Area	PlanktonArea-1		
Physiology model	Yes 💌		
Plankton model	No		
Benthic model	Yes 👻		
Organic matter type			
TOC to TVS ratio	0.420 × 100001 ×		
Oxygen steady state iterations			
Plankton steady state iterations			
Sediment steady state iterations	0 *		
	Apply	Ok	Cancel



1039Rattling Options					
Mode Replay	▼ 2-D Mode	Data Sour	ces Array	<b>•</b>	Color
Capture File .\10	39Rattling\Capture2D\	1039Rattling			
Benthic	Display				
Array	Dutput Pen	s Drift	ers Co	nditions C	perations
Conture Call Desi		Longt	L W:	-JuL 1	lanth
Capture Cell Posit	uons-	Lengt 44	h Wi	atn L - 9	Depth
Cell #2		9	÷ 19		
Cell #3		30	20	- 1º	
Cell #4		48	<ul> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li></ul>	-  ° -   99	
Cell #5		9	<ul> <li>↓ 13</li> <li>↓ 14</li> </ul>	4         9           4         9           4         99           4         9           4         9           4         1           4         -1           4         -1           4         -1           4         -1           4         -1           4         -1           4         -1           4         -1           4         -1           4         -1           4         -1	<
Cell #6		25	<ul> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li></ul>	- I	
Cell #7		19	↓ 15		
Cell #8		17	18		
Cell #9		17	↓         18           ↓         19           ↓         18           ↓         21		
Cell #10		14	18		
Cell #11		14	÷ 21		
Cell #12		1	• 1		
Cell #13		13	<ul> <li>↓</li> <li>↓</li></ul>		
Cell #14		14	↓ 14		• • •
Cell #15		15	÷ 15	÷ 15	<u> </u>
Cell #16		16	÷ 16	- 16	<u> </u>
Cell #17		17	▲         16           ▲         17           ▲         18           ▲         19	↓     14       ↓     15       ↓     16       ↓     17       ↓     18       ↓     19	
Cell #18		18	- 11 - 18	- 18 - 18	
Cell #19		19	- 19	19	<u> </u>
Cell #20		20	÷ 20	÷ 20	
*Capture cell Loca	ations are in X-Y (Ca ower right corner of t	rtesian) array,	· [0	× [20	•
		Apply		0k	Cancel



Node Replay	Data Sources Array  Color
Capture File .\1039Rattling\Capture2D\103	9Rattling
Benthic Display	
Array Output Pens	Drifters Conditions Operations
Pen 1 + of 20 -	
Species	AtlanticSalmonNS
Pen Shape	Circular
Pen Color	Black
Pen lat/lon/depth (deg.m)	44.65627 -65.75611 - 4.0 -
Pen size L/W/H (m)	44.65627         -         -65.75611         ×         4.0         ×           31.83         ×         31.83         ×         8.00         ×           179.0000         ×         0.8982         ×         5.0000         ×
Fish weight/density/Ox Lim (g:kg/m3;mg/L)	179.0000 - 0.8982 - 5.0000 -
Pen temperature offset (degC)	0.00



	▼ 2-D Mode		lata Sources	Алау	Color
Capture File	.\1039Rattling\Capture	2D\1039Rat	tling		
Benthic	Display			~	
Array	Output ]	Pens	Drifters	Conditions	Operations
Drifter Option	s				
Drifter Processi		N	lo Drifters		×
Drifter flow dept	h (m)	0.	8 *		
Drifter release f	requency (minutes)	1	-		
Drifter update in	crement (minutes)	1			
Active drifter du	ration (minutes)	1	× ×		
Random dispe	rsion velocity (m/hr)	1	<u>^</u>		
Array resolution	ı (km)	1			
			Min	Max	
Average value	range (%)	-4	1.00 ÷	10.00	



e Replay Capture File	2-D Mode     1039Rattling\Capture2D\1039	Data Sou	arces	Array		•	Color
Benthic	Display						
Array	Output Pens	Dri	fters	Condi	tions	Oper	ations
		Mir		Scale Max		Ambi Valu	
Dissolved inorg	ganic nitrogen range (μM)	0.0000	÷	5.7637	÷	1.0000	<u>^</u>
Phytoplankton I	biomass range (µM_N)	0.0000	÷	1.4000	÷	1.4000	*
Zooplankton bi	omass range (µM_N)	0.0000	*	0.0100		0.0100	-
Dissolved oxyg	jen range (mg/L)	6.0000	÷	13.0000		8.0000	÷
Water temperat	ture range (degC)	0.00		15.00	÷		
		/ Wint		it Range Summ	er		
Surface water te	emperature (degC)	7.00	÷	14.00	÷		
Deepest layer	emperature (degC)	7.00		11.00			
Deepest layer	dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	7.0000	÷	7.0000	÷		
Irradiance, daily	/ average (moles/m^2/day)	15.00	* * *	30.00			
Surface (mixed)	) layer depth (m)	40.00	÷	40.00	÷		
Wind speed av	erage (m/sec)	12.80	÷	7.70	÷		
		Dispersi	ion Co	efficients			
Horizontal (m2/	sec)	0.100000	00 -				
Vertical (mixed	layer) (m2/sec)	0.001000	00 <u>*</u>				
Vertical (stratifie	ed løyer) (m2/sec)	0.000010	00 ÷				
Tidal flow perio	d (hrs)	Sinusoid	al Tid	al Currents			
Max flow veloci		16.00	<u>×</u>				
max non veloci	y (any see)	110.00	-				



1039Rattling Options		1	_ <b>D</b> X
Mode Replay 💌 2-D Mode 💌	Data Sources	Array	▼ Color
Capture File .\1039Rattling\Capture2D\1039	Rattling		
Benthic Display			
Array Output Pens	Drifters	Conditions	Operations
Feed Rate Options Optimal feed rate (No=manual,Yes=optimal)	Yes 🔻		
Manual feed rate (bulk_feed/wet_wt_fish/day)	1.0000	<b>a</b>	
Feed pellet/rawfish waste rate (fraction)	0.030	0.000	
Percent pellet feed (g_pellet/g_bulk_total)	1.000		
Optimal rate is calculated for conditions w Both manual and calculated optimal can b with a scheduled feed rate using an Event	e overidden		
Feed Composition	Pellet	Raw Fish	
Feed carbon (fraction dry weight)	0.477 📩	0.000 ×	
Feed water (fraction bulk feed)	0.100	0.000	
Initial Pen Conditions			
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	7.500 👻		
Inorganic nitrogen (μM)	2.000		
Settling Rates	Fecal	Pellet	Raw Fish
Waste settling rates (cm/s)	3.000	9.000	0.000
Other Chart Scaling Ranges	Min	Max	
Fish specific growth rate (1/day)	0.002	0.020	
Total farm biomass (metric tons)	0.0	10.0	
	1		
	Apply	Ok	Cancel



1039Rattling Options		1	_ <b>D</b> X
Mode Replay 💽 2-D Mode 💌	Data Sources	Array	Color
Capture File .\1039Rattling\Capture2D\1039	Rattling		
Benthic Display			
Array Output Pens	Drifters	Conditions	Operations
Feed Rate Options Optimal feed rate (No=manual,Yes=optimal)	Yes		
Manual feed rate (bulk_feed/wet_wt_fish/day)	1.0000		
Feed pellet/rawfish waste rate (fraction)	0.030	0.000	
Percent pellet feed (g_pellet/g_bulk_total)	1.000	0.000	
Optimal rate is calculated for conditions w Both manual and calculated optimal can b with a scheduled feed rate using an Event	ithin cage. e overidden		
Feed Composition	Pellet	Raw Fish	
Feed carbon (fraction dry weight)	0.477 👗	0.000	
Feed water (fraction bulk feed)	0.100	0.000	
Initial Pen Conditions			
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	7.500		
Inorganic nitrogen (µM)	2.000		
Settling Rates	Fecal	Pellet	Raw Fish
Waste settling rates (cm/s)	3.000	9.000	0.000
Other Chart Scaling Ranges	Min	Max	
Fish specific growth rate (1/day)	0.002	0.020	
Total farm biomass (metric tons)	0.0	10.0	
	Apply	Ok	Cancel
	. 44.9		



## 1.4.2 Data Source Options

Data Source Options						
Input Files	Bathymetry	3-D Currents	Settings			
Add Remove Up Down Export	\1039Rattling\Rattling         \1039Rattling\Rattling\Rattling\Rattling         \1	Currents.xlsx EventsFile.xlsx				
Proces	as Apply	ОК	Cancel			



<ul><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li><li></li></ul>
- -
-
_
-
~
-
~
~
Ŧ



## 1.4.3 Display Settings

Display Settings
Birdseye         Categories         Locations         Menus         Overlays           Plots         Settings         Simulation
Date/Time Format Date/Time
Simulation Start 2016-04-16 12:00:00
Simulation End 2018-04-16 12:00:00
Display Delta 60 🐳 Minutes 💌
Quantum Delta
Restricted Simulation Capabilities Play Backward I Edit Times Edit Delta Time NOTE - These capabilities cannot be used where services require sequential execution.
OK Cancel Apply Help



Plots	1 5	Settings	Sim	ulation
Birdseye	Categories	Locations	Menus	Overlays
Add	AVISO-SSH AVISO-SSH+	9		*
Remove	Bathy-ETOPO Bathy-GEBCO	C		H
Edit	Bathy-Rattling Bathy-Rattling	gExtended4		-
Category	Bathy-Rattling			
Image format	BINARYME			
Import type	Bathy-Rattling	Extended2		
Measure type	Bathy-Rattling	Extended5		
Pal/Orient/Type	User select	Flip N	one Tir	ne
Legend/Units	Values 6	Height	32 mete	rs
Type/Format	Elevation	%6.0f		
Function	Physical = Off	+ Slp * Pixel		
Off/Slope/Const	-38.	3 0.10	62979	1
Physical maximu	m 0.0000	65		/
Color High			/	
Color Low				
Physical minimum	n -38	3.3		
	Color palette	0		235
Defaults	Source pixel	0		235



## 1.4.4 Data Graphics

Data Graphics	X
Blobs Contours Extents Images Locations Plots	
Sediment Feed #2 Fractional	
Sediment Oxygen	
Sediment Total Waste	<u>.</u>
Sediment TOC	
Sediment TOC Rate	
Suspended Fecal	
Suspended Feed #1	
Suspended Feed #2	
Suspended Total	
Suspended Oxygen	
Ambient Array Oxygen	
Ambient Array Nitrogen	
Ambient Array PhytoPlankton	
Ambient Array ZooPlankton	
Ambient Array Oxygen Surface/Bottom Ambient Array Nitrogen Surface/Bottom	
Ambient Array PhytoPlankton Surface/Bot	
Ambient Array ZooPlankton Surface/Botto	
Surface/Bottom Flow Velocities	
Total Biomass	Ξ
Surface/Bottom Temperatures	
Pen 1 Growth Rate	
Pen 1 Weight	
Pen 1 Biomass	
Pen 1 Feed #1 Used	
Pen 1 Feed #2 Used	
Pen 1 Oxygen	<b>T</b>
OK Cancel Apply	Help



Sediment Aerobic Sediment Anaerobic Sediment Average Waste Sediment CO2 Sediment Cumulative Waste Sediment Sulfide Sediment Consolidation Waste	*
Sediment Consolidation Fractional Sediment Fecal Waste Sediment Fecal Fractional Sediment Feed #1 Waste Sediment Feed #1 Fractional Sediment Feed #2 Waste Sediment Feed #2 Fractional Sediment Oxygen Sediment Total Waste Sediment TOC	=
Sediment TOC Rate Suspended Fecal Suspended Feed #1 Suspended Feed #2 Suspended Total	-

1	
	$\sim$
	TH

lone	▼     None     ▼     Normal       ▼     None     ▼     Normal	- -
lone	▼ None ▼ Normal	-
lone	Vone Vormal	
Current Ellipse / Rose Type Points	Points	



## 2.0 FISH HABITAT SURVEY AND SAMPLING

### 2.1 Summary

A benthic visual survey was undertaken to collect qualitative data of the physical and biological characteristics of fish and fish habitat within the area of the proposed expansion of Rattling Beach. Following the AAR requirements, the presence and relative abundance of dominant substrate type and flora and fauna were documented within the vicinity of the lease and proposed expansion area to provide a qualitative evaluation of the physical and biological characteristics of fish and fish habitat.

The fish habitat survey carried out at the proposed Rattling Beach site revealed:

- Prevalent shell debris, particularly scallop shells due to draggers shucking their catches in the basin;
- some kelp, primarily at the near shore stations
- no sensitive species were present;
- a few Lobster, which are a commercially targeted species
- no species at risk were present

### 2.2 Methodology

The fish habitat survey and benthic video sampling were carried out on May 24, 2018 by SIMCorp to collect underwater video footage at stations within the area of the proposed lease expansion using a combination of a Falkjar custom video camera with a VRM-1 video recorder by J.W. Fishers.

The video footage was reviewed and analyzed by SIMCorp, noting observations of substrate type, fauna and flora at each station. Seafloor observations from the video stations were used to conduct the fish habitat survey. Observations were compiled in pictorial form to produce a habitat map of the seafloor characteristics as required in the AAR for baseline surveys. Please refer to the habitat map located in Appendix A.

#### 2.2.1 Sampling Locations

A total of fifty-four (54) video stations were investigated for the purpose of the baseline survey (Figure 5). Some stations were equally spaced (approximately 100m) across the proposed expansion area of the Rattling Beach lease boundary while others were spaced 10 meters apart along transects originating from the lease corners and moving inwards for 50m. All stations were filmed for at least 2 minutes.






Figure 5. Rattling Beach video station locations surveyed on May 24th, 2018

#### 2.2.2 Video Surveillance

Analyses of the substrate type, benthic indicators, flora and fauna were carried out on the entire lease area as well as the required 1 g  $C/m^2/day$  deposition area as identified through AquaModel depositional modelling (Section 1.0). Abundance estimates were recorded as number of individuals, percent coverage, or relative estimates, depending on the organism being assessed.



### 2.3 Results

### 2.3.1 Results and Observations of Benthic Visual Survey

Screen shots of the seafloor for observations at each station during the survey of the Rattling Beach site are available in Appendix B. Table 3 provides a list of flora and fauna species (or higher taxonomic level) observed during the benthic survey. A more detailed and comprehensive species list over the entire survey area is available in Appendix C. Tables 4 and 5 include the substrate and benthic indicator observations from the baseline sampling stations as required in the AAR. Raw video footage is available on the DVD provided to the Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (NSDFA) with this report.

**Table 3.** List of species (or higher taxonomic level) observed within the lease

 boundaries during the benthic survey of Rattling Beach

	List of Species Observe	ed
Blood Star	Kelp	Sponge
Brown Algae	Krill	Unattached Kelp
Finger Sponge	Lobster	Unidentifiable Crab
Flustra	Red Algae	Unidentifiable Fish
Fucus	Rock Crab	Unidentifiable Macroalgae
Hermit Crab	Sculpin	Unidentifiable Seastar
Irish Moss	Sea Colander	Whelk

No benthic indicators were observed at any stations sampled.

The sediment characteristics below the Rattling Beach proposed lease area consisted of mostly mixed substrates. Most of the area surveyed (85%) was characterized by finer substrates such as sand, mud and silt. The remaining stations surveyed consisted of gravel, cobble and rubble and were mostly found nearshore. Shell debris was common.



# Table 4. Baseline video observations of substrate type from the Rattling Beach survey, May 24, 2018

Station	Latitude	Longitude	Depth		Video	Figure				S	ubstrate							
(m)	(dd	(dd mm.mmm)	(m)	Time	Quality	Figure #	Primary <sup>1.</sup>				De	escriptors	;					Comments and Observations
(11)	mm.mmm)	(dd iiiii.iiiiiii)	(11)		Quality	#	> 50% (hard/soft)	Rockwall	Bedrock	Boulders	Rubble	Cobble	Gravel	Sand	Silt/Mud	Organic	Floc	
RB1	44 39.059	65 45.298	16	7:26:18	3	B-1	Soft								100%			Very strong current, shell debris
RB2	44 39.059	65 45.232	22	7:50:23	2	B-1	Soft								100%			Very strong current, shell debris
RB3	44 39.121	65 45.239	28	8:27:34	3	B-1	Soft								100%			Very strong current, shell debris
RB4	44 39.122	65 45.172	32	8:39:52	2	B-1	Soft								100%			Very strong current, shell debris
RB5	44 39.174	65 45.244	31	8:48:48	3	B-1	Soft								100%			Shell debris
RB6	44 39.181	65 45.179	33	8:57:35	3	B-1	Soft								100%			Shell debris
RB7	44 39.228	65 45.247	32	9:10:09	3	B-1	Soft								100%			Shell debris
RB8	44 39.230	65 45.187	33	9:19:06	3	B-1	Soft					10%			90%			Shell debris
RB9	44 39.278	65 45.257	33	9:29:44	3	B-2	Soft								100%			Shell debris
RB10	44 39.288	65 45.194	33	9:37:58	3	B-2	Soft					5%	5%		90%			Shell debris
RB11	44 39.330	65 45.268	33	9:49:56	3	B-2	Soft								100%			Shell debris
RB12	44 39.338	65 45.203	33	9:59:14	2	B-2	Soft								100%			Shell debris
RB13	44 39.384	65 45.272	30	10:08:34	2	B-2	Soft						15%		85%			Shell debris
RB14	44 39.392	65 45.214	32	10:16:25	3	B-2	Soft				5%	5%	5%		85%			Shell debris
RB15	44 39.439	65 45.281	28	10:24:11	3	B-2	Soft						5%		95%			Shell debris
RB16	44 39.444	65 45.222	30	10:31:55	3	B-2	Soft			5%	5%	10%	15%	e	65%			Shell debris
RB17	44 39.492	65 45.283	28	10:40:27	3	B-3	Soft					5%	15%	8	30%			Shell debris
RB18	44 39.497	65 45.225	31	10:57:26	3	B-3	Soft					10%	20%	-	70%			Shell debris

1: It is important to clarify that hard bottom is indicative of bedrock, boulder, rubble, cobble, gravel or hard packed finer substrate consisting of mud, sand or silt. Soft bottom is indicative of a softer, more loosely packed mud, sand or silt. Substrate Descriptions are visual estimations of surface coverage.



## Table 4. Baseline video observations of substrate type from the Rattling Beach Survey, May 24, 2018 (continued)

	Station	Latitude	Longitudo	Donth		Video	Figure				S	ubstrate							
Transect	(m)	(dd	Longitude (dd mm.mmm)	Depth (m)	Time	Quality	Figure #	Primary <sup>1.</sup>				De	escriptors						Comments and Observations
	(11)	mm.mmm)	(00 1111.11111)	(11)		Quanty	"	> 50% (hard/soft)	Rockwall	Bedrock	Boulders	Rubble	Cobble	Gravel	Sand	Silt/Mud	Organic	Floc	
	0	44 39.459	65 45.404	1	16:14:02	3	B-4	Hard			5%	50%	40%		5%				
	10	44 39.454	65 45.395	4	16:17:18	2	B-4	Hard				25%	75%						
1	20	44 39.451	65 45.394	6	16:20:55	3	B-4	Hard				10%	60%	5%	25%				
I	30	44 39.441	65 45.387	12	16:24:58	3	B-4	Hard				20%	65%	15%					
	40	44 39.440	65 45.382	17	16:28:28	3	B-4	Hard				10%	60%	15%	15%				
	50	44 39.439	65 45.377	21	16:36:52	3	B-4	Hard					30%	25%	4	45%			Shell debris
	0	44 39.470	65 45.255	26	11:49:39	3	B-5	Soft						10%	ç	90%			Shell debris
	10	44 39.462	65 45.262	26	12:02:24	3	B-5	Soft						5%	ę	95%			
2	20	44 39.462	65 45.264	26	12:09:50	3	B-5	Soft					10%	10%	8	30%			Shell debris
2	30	44 39.459	65 45.275	25	12:17:06	2	B-5	Soft				5%	10%	5%	8	30%			
	40	44 39.455	65 45.277	25	12:22:20	2	B-5	Soft				5%	5%	5%	8	35%			Shell debris
	50	44 39.450	65 45.279	25	12:27:42	2	B-5	Soft					5%	5%	Ģ	90%			Shell debris
	0	44 39.384	65 45.205	29	12:36:00	4	B-6	Soft					Trace	5%	9	95%			Shell debris
	10	44 39.378	65 45.213	29	12:42:14	4	B-6	Soft					5%	5%		90%			Shell debris
3	20	44 39.376	65 45.226	28	12:48:24	2	B-6	Soft						10%	9	90%			Shell debris
з	30	44 39.381	65 45.232	28	12:55:32	2	B-6	Soft							1	00%			Shell debris
	40	44 39.378	65 45.237	27	13:01:29	2	B-6	Soft					5%	5%	ę	90%			
	50	44 39.380	65 45.246	27	13:08:00	3	B-6	Soft					15%	10%	7	75%			Shell debris

1: It is important to clarify that hard bottom is indicative of bedrock, boulder, rubble, cobble, gravel or hard packed finer substrate consisting of mud, sand or silt. Soft bottom is indicative of a softer, more loosely packed mud, sand or silt. Substrate Descriptions are visual estimations of surface coverage.



## Table 4. Baseline video observations of substrate type from the Rattling Beach Survey, May 24, 2018 (continued)

	Station	Latitude	L a marite vala	Denth		Video	<b>-</b>				S	ubstrate							
Transect	(m)	(dd	Longitude (dd mm.mmm)	Depth (m)	Time	Video Quality	•	Primary <sup>1.</sup>				De	escriptors	6					Comments and Observations
	(11)	mm.mmm)	(dd iiiii.iiiiiii)	(11)		Quality	#	> 50% (hard/soft)	Rockwall	Bedrock	Boulders	Rubble	Cobble	Gravel	Sand S	Silt/Mud	Organic	Floc	
	0	44 38.995	65 45.159	14	13:19:52	3	B-7	Soft							100	)%			Shell debris
	10	44 38.991	65 45.172	13	13:27:29	4	B-7	Soft					5%	5%	90	%			Shell debris
4	20	44 39.000	65 45.176	13	13:31:53	3	B-7	Soft					5%	5%	90	%			Shell debris
4	30	44 39.001	65 45.180	13	13:37:10	3	B-7	Soft					5%	5%	90	%			Shell debris
	40	44 39.006	65 45.181	14	13:42:11	2	B-7	Soft						5%	95	%			Shell debris
	50	44 39.009	65 45.190	13	13:47:40	3	B-7	Soft					5%	5%	90	%			Shell debris
	0	44 38.975	65 45.438	3	17:22:27	3	B-8	Hard				10%	50%	15%	25	%			
	10	44 38.978	65 45.426	4	17:28:22	3	B-8	Soft					30%	5%	65%				
5	20	44 38.981	65 45.419	5	17:32:56	3	B-8	Soft					5%		95	%			
5	30	44 38.987	65 45.414	6	17:36:53	3	B-8	Soft							100	)%			
	40	44 38.990	65 45.408	6	17:42:51	3	B-8	Soft							100	)%			
	50	44 38.996	65 45.399	7	17:46:51	3	B-8	Soft								100%			
	0	44 39.311	65 45.446	2	16:45:04	4	B-9	Hard			5%	30%	50%	10%		5%			
	10	44 39.313	65 45.440	3	16:51:58	3	B-9	Soft					20%			80%			
6	20	44 39.309	65 45.434	6	16:55:36	2	B-9	Soft								100%			
U	30	44 39.313	65 45.425	9	17:00:19	2	B-9	Soft								100%			
	40	44 39.312	65 45.420	10	17:05:46	3	B-9	Soft								100%			
	50	44 39.311	65 45.413	11	17:12:17	3	B-9	Soft								100%			

1: It is important to clarify that hard bottom is indicative of bedrock, boulder, rubble, cobble, gravel or hard packed finer substrate consisting of mud, sand or silt. Soft bottom is indicative of a softer, more loosely packed mud, sand or silt. Substrate Descriptions are visual estimations of surface coverage.



## Table 5. Baseline video observations of benthic indicators from the Rattling Beach survey, May 24, 2018

Station	Latitude	Longitude	Depth		Video	Figure		Ben	thic Inc	dicato	rs					Oth	er Bentl	hic Descriptors or Observations	
(m)	(dd mm.mmm)	(dd mm.mmm)	(m)	Time	Quality	riguie #	Bac P/A	teria %	OF P/A		Barren (P/A)	Off Gas	Feed		Mussel Shells	Sed. Color	Flora (%)	Fauna (Abundance)	Comments and Observations
RB1	44 39.059	65 45.298	16	7:26:18	3	B-1	А		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown	<5%	Hermit Crab (1)	Unidentifiable Macroalgae (<5%), detritus
RB2	44 39.059	65 45.232	22	7:50:23	2	B-1	А		А		А	А	Α	Р	А	Brown			Detritus
RB3	44 39.121	65 45.239	28	8:27:34	3	B-1	Α		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown		Flustra (5%)	Anchor block
RB4	44 39.122	65 45.172	32	8:39:52	2	B-1	А		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Flustra (<5%)	
RB5	44 39.174	65 45.244	31	8:48:48	3	B-1	Α		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Lobster (1)	Detritus, scallop shells
RB6	44 39.181	65 45.179	33	8:57:35	3	B-1	А		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Flustra (<5%)	Detritus, scallop shells
RB7	44 39.228	65 45.247	32	9:10:09	3	B-1	Α		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown		Sculpin (1), Krill (1)	Detritus, scallop shells
RB8	44 39.230	65 45.187	33	9:19:06	3	B-1	Α		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown		Flustra (15%)	Detritus, piece of unattached kelp, scallop shells
RB9	44 39.278	65 45.257	33	9:29:44	3	B-2	Α		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown	<5%	Flustra (<5%)	Scallop shells, Irish Moss (<5%) brown algae (<5%)
RB10	44 39.288	65 45.194	33	9:37:58	3	B-2	Α		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown	<5%	Flustra (5%), Sculpin (2), Rock Crab (1), Lobster (1), Sponge (1)	Irish Moss (trace), detritus, scallop shells
RB11	44 39.330	65 45.268	33	9:49:56	3	B-2	Α		Α		А	Α	А	Р	Α	Brown		Flustra (<5%), Crab (2)	Detritus, scallop shells
RB12	44 39.338	65 45.203	33	9:59:14	2	B-2	А		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Flustra (<5%)	Detritus, scallop shells
RB13	44 39.384	65 45.272	30	10:08:34	2	B-2	Α		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown		Flustra (5%), Unidentifiable Fish (1)	Detritus, scallop shells
RB14	44 39.392	65 45.214	32	10:16:25	3	B-2	Α		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown		Flustra (15%)	Scallop shells, piece of unattached kelp
RB15	44 39.439	65 45.281	28	10:24:11	3	B-2	А		А		A	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown		Rock Crab (2), Flustra (5%)	Scallop shells
RB16	44 39.444	65 45.222	30	10:31:55	3	B-2	А		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown		Blood Star (1), Rock Crab (1), Flustra (25%)	Scallop shells, piece of unattached kelp
RB17	44 39.492	65 45.283	28	10:40:27	3	B-3	Α		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown		Blood Star (1), Flustra (<5%)	Detritus, scallop shells
RB18	44 39.497	65 45.225	31	10:57:26	3	B-3	Α		Α		А	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown	<5%	Unidentifiable Seastar (1), Flustra (<5%)	Irish Moss (<5%), scallop shells, anchor block

Note: It is important to clarify that percent coverage of Bacteria, OPC and Other Benthic Observations of Flora are visual estimations of surface coverage.

Benthic Indicators: A or "Absence" represents < 5 % coverage of OPC and / or bacteria and / or where barrenness due to aquaculture is not observed. P or "Presence" represents ≥ 5 % coverage of OPC and / or bacteria and / or where barrenness due to aquaculture is observed.



## Table 5. Baseline video observations of benthic indicators from the Rattling Beach survey, May 24, 2018 (continued)

	Station	Latitude	Longitude	Depth		Video	Figure		Benth	ic Indica	tors					O	her Ben	thic Descriptors or Observations	
Transect	(m)	(dd mm.mmm)	(dd mm.mmm)		Time	Quality		Bact P/A	teria %	OPC P/A %	Barrer (P/A)	-	Foo	d Shell		Sed. Color	Flora (%)	Fauna (Abundance)	Comments and Observations
	0	44 39.459	65 45.404	1	16:14:02	3	B-4	А		А	Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Grey	20%		Brown Algae (5%), Irish moss (5%), kelp (10%)
	10	44 39.454	65 45.395	4	16:17:18	2	B-4	Α		А	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Grey	80%		Sea colander (<5%) brown algae (65%), kelp (15%)
1	20	44 39.451	65 45.394	6	16:20:55	3	B-4	Α		А	А	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown	30%	Finger Sponge (10)	Irish moss (30%)
'	30	44 39.441	65 45.387	12	16:24:58	3	B-4	Α		А	Α	А	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Finger Sponge (17)	
	40	44 39.440	65 45.382	17	16:28:28	3	B-4	Α		А	А	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown	<5%	Finger Sponge (6), Lobster (1), Hermit Crab (1)	Brown Algae (<5%)
	50	44 39.439	65 45.377	21	16:36:52	3	B-4	Α		А	Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Rock Crab (1)	Scallop shells, detritus
	0	44 39.470	65 45.255	26	11:49:39	3	B-5	Α		А	Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Rock Crab (1), Flustra (5%)	Scallop shells
	10	44 39.462	65 45.262	26	12:02:24	3	B-5	Α		А	Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Rock Crab (1), Flustra (<5%)	
2	20	44 39.462	65 45.264	26	12:09:50	3	B-5	Α		А	Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Rock Crab (2), Flustra (<5%)	Scallop shells
2	30	44 39.459	65 45.275	25	12:17:06	2	B-5	Α		А	Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown	<5%	Flustra (5%)	Irish moss (<5%)
	40	44 39.455	65 45.277	25	12:22:20	2	B-5	Α		А	Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Flustra (<5%)	Detritus, scallop shells
	50	44 39.450	65 45.279	25	12:27:42	2	B-5	Α		А	А	Α	А	Р	А	Brown		Flustra (<5%), Lobster (1), Whelk (1)	Detritus, scallop shells
	0	44 39.384	65 45.205	29	12:36:00	4	B-6	Α		А	А	А	Α	Р	А	Brown		Flustra (<5%)	Scallop shells
	10	44 39.378	65 45.213	29	12:42:14	4	B-6	Α		А	Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown	<5%	Flustra (20%), Sculpin (1),	Brown algae (<5%), scallop shells, detritus, possible pipe debris
2	20	44 39.376	65 45.226	28	12:48:24	2	B-6	Α		А	A	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Flustra (5%)	Scallop shells
3	30	44 39.381	65 45.232	28	12:55:32	2	B-6	Α		А	Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown	<5%	Flustra (<5%)	Detritus, scallop shells, Irish moss (<5%)
	40	44 39.378	65 45.237	27	13:01:29	2	B-6	Α		А	A	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Flustra (5%)	Unidentifiable macroalgae (<5%), detritus
	50	44 39.380	65 45.246	27	13:08:00	3	B-6	Α		А	A	A	A	Р	Α	Brown	5%	Flustra (10%)	Unidentifiable macroalgae (<5%), detritus, scallop shells, Irish moss (<5%)

Note: It is important to clarify that percent coverage of Bacteria, OPC and Other Benthic Observations of Flora are visual estimations of surface coverage.

Benthic Indicators: A or "Absence" represents < 5 % coverage of OPC and / or bacteria and / or where barrenness due to aquaculture is not observed. P or "Presence" represents ≥ 5 % coverage of OPC and / or bacteria and / or where barrenness due to aquaculture is observed.



## Table 5. Baseline video observations of benthic indicators from the Rattling Beach survey, May 24, 2018 (continued)

	Station	Latitude	Longitude	Depth		Video	Figure		Benthic I	ndicato	ors					Otl	her Bent	hic Descriptors or Observations	
Transect	(m)	(dd mm.mmm)	(dd mm.mmm)		Time	Quality		Bact P/A	eria C % P/A	OPC	Barren (P/A)		Feed		Mussel Shells		Flora (%)	Fauna (Abundance)	Comments and Observations
	0	44 38.995	65 45.159	14	13:19:52	3	B-7	А	А		Α	А	А	Р	Α	Brown		Flustra (<5%)	Scallop shells
	10	44 38.991	65 45.172	13	13:27:29	4	B-7	А	А		Α	А	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Flustra (<5%)	Detritus, scallop shells
4	20	44 39.000	65 45.176	13	13:31:53	3	B-7	А	А		Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Flustra (<5%)	Scallop shells
	30	44 39.001	65 45.180	13	13:37:10	3	B-7	А	A		Α	Α	Α	Р	A	Brown		Whelk (1), Rock Crab (1), Flustra (<5%)	Scallop shells
	40	44 39.006	65 45.181	14	13:42:11	2	B-7	А	А		Α	Α	Α	Р	А	Brown		Flustra (<5%)	Scallop shells
	50	44 39.009	65 45.190	13	13:47:40	3	B-7	Α	A		Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown		Flustra (<5%)	Scallop shells
	0	44 38.975	65 45.438	3	17:22:27	3	B-8	Α	Α		Α	Α	Α	Р	A	Brown	15%		Unidentifiable macroalgae (15%)
	10	44 38.978	65 45.426	4	17:28:22	3	B-8	Α	Α		Α	Α	Α	Р	A	Brown	35%		Red algae (25%), kelp (10%)
5	20	44 38.981	65 45.419	5	17:32:56	3	B-8	Α	Α		Α	Α	Α	Р	A	Brown	5%		Kelp (5%)
5	30	44 38.987	65 45.414	6	17:36:53	3	B-8	Α	Α		Α	Α	Α	Р	A	Brown	60%	Hermit Crab (1)	Kelp (60%)
	40	44 38.990	65 45.408	6	17:42:51	3	B-8	Α	Α		Α	Α	Α	Р	A	Brown	5%	Rock Crab (1)	Kelp (5%)
	50	44 38.996	65 45.399	7	17:46:51	3	B-8	Α	Α		Α	Α	Α	Р	Α	Brown	5%		Unidentifiable macroalgae (<5%), kelp (<5%)
	0	44 39.311	65 45.446	2	16:45:04	4	B-9	А	А		Α	А	А	Α	Α	Brown	5%		Fucus (5%)
	10	44 39.313	65 45.440	3	16:51:58	3	B-9	Α	Α		Α	Α	Α	Р	A	Brown	25%		Brown algae (10%), kelp (15%)
6	20	44 39.309	65 45.434	6	16:55:36	2	B-9	Α	А		A	A	А	Р	A	Brown			
0	30	44 39.313	65 45.425	9	17:00:19	2	B-9	Α	А		Α	A	А	Р	A	Brown			
	40	44 39.312	65 45.420	10	17:05:46	3	B-9	Α	А		Α	A	А	Р	A	Brown			Detritus
	50	44 39.311	65 45.413	11	17:12:17	3	B-9	Α	Α		A	А	Α	Р	A	Brown		Rock Crab (1)	

Note: It is important to clarify that percent coverage of Bacteria, OPC and Other Benthic Observations of Flora are visual estimations of surface coverage.

Benthic Indicators: A or "Absence" represents < 5 % coverage of OPC and / or bacteria and / or where barrenness due to aquaculture is not observed. P or "Presence" represents ≥ 5 % coverage of OPC and / or bacteria and / or where barrenness due to aquaculture is observed.



#### 2.3.2 Results of Habitat Survey – Sensitive or Critical Habitat

A species of *Flustra* was commonly observed at the eastern stations over softer sediment and was present in 32 out of the 54 stations surveyed (59%).

Scallop shells were present in 30 of the 54 stations surveyed (56%). Their presence is significantly due scallop fishing vessels shucking their catch while in the basin.

Small, scattered observations of kelp were noted near the western corners of the lease in areas near shore and the low water mark.


Lobster, which is a species targeted in Commercial, and Aboriginal (CRA) fisheries were observed during the habitat survey. Individuals were noted at 4 of the stations sampled.



# **3.0 REFERENCES**

Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2015. Aquaculture Activities Regulations Guidance Document. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/aquaculture/management-gestion/aarraa-gd-eng.htm</u>, accessed December 12, 2017.

Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2015. Aquaculture Activities Regulations Monitoring Standard. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/aquaculture/management-</u> <u>gestion/aarraa-ann7-eng.htm</u>, accessed December 12, 2017. APPENDIX A Habitat Map of Seafloor Characteristics of the Proposed Rattling Beach Aquaculture Site Amendment





LEGEND Bedrock/Boulder/Rockwall Sediment Type	Proposed Cage Array Approximate GPS Coor- dinates (NAD83)	
Rubble/Cobble/Gravel Sediment Type	NW N44 39.391 W65 45.380	
Sand/Silt/Mud Sediment Type	NEN44 39.392W65 45.314SEN44 39.138W65 45.290	
Sand/Silt/Mud Over Bedrock Sediment Type	SW N44 39.127 W65 45.361	THIS MAP HAD THE UTM GRID USE THE
Rubble/Cobble/Gravel Over Bedrock       Image: Cobble/Gravel Over Bedrock         Sediment Type       Image: Cobble/Gravel Over Bedrock		BATHYMETRIC CHART FOR THE EXACT MAGNETIC DECLINATION.
Sand/Silt/Mud Over Rubble/Cobble/Gravel	Proposed Lease Approximate GPS Coordinates (NAD83)	ALL LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE ARE DEGREE- DECIMAL MINUTES.

Sand/Silt/Mud Over Rubble/Cobble/Gravel Sediment Type	(NAD83)	ALL LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE ARE DEGREE- DECIMAL MINUTES.
All Sediment Types Present	RB-1 N44 39.461 W65 45.405	SEDIMENT CHARACTERISTICS ARE EXTRAPOLATED IN 25-METER RADIUS AREA
Kelp Bed	RB-2 N44 39.470 W65 45.262	SURROUNDING EACH STATION.
Ordinary High Water Mark	RB-3 N44 39.380 W65 45.208 RB-4 N44 38.993 W65 45.160	BATHYMETRY DATA FOR THE DEPTH CONTOURS WERE PROVIDED BY SIMCORP FIELD CREW ON
Bathymetry Depth Contour	RB-5 N44 38.976 W65 45.439	OCTOBER 5, 2016 BETWEEN 12:24PM AND 2:17PM USING A HUMMINBIRD HELIX 5 SIDE
Proposed Lease	RB-6 N44 39.313 W65 45.450	SCANNING UNIT.
Proposed Cage Array		OBSERVATIONAL DATA FOR EACH TRANSECT
100 m Plastic Circular Cage	Revisions	AND CAMERA DROP WERE PROVIDED BY SIMCORP FIELD CREW ON MAY 24, 2018.
Hard-Bottomed Video Observation Station	1 Final Version June 4, 2018	
Soft-Bottomed Video Observation Station		DIGITAL CHART #: CA476006 PAPER CHART #: 4396 UTM NAD83
Video Observation Transect		ZONE: 20


APPENDIX B Video Station Screenshots of the Seafloor

## Figure B-1: Video screen captures





## Figure B-2: Video screen captures








Figure B-3: Video screen captures










## Figure B-4: Video screen captures corner transect #1






## Figure B-5: Video screen captures corner transect #2







## Figure B-6: Video screen captures corner transect #3







## Figure B-7: Video screen captures corner transect #4






## Figure B-8: Video screen captures corner transect #5





## Figure B-9: Video screen captures corner transect #6



APPENDIX C Comprehensive Species List Observed during the Benthic Survey at the Proposed Rattling Beach Site Amendment

Table C-1: Comprehensive species list observed during the benthic survey at the proposed Rattling Beach site amendment collected on May 24, 2018

Common Name		Station																
Common Name	RB1	RB2	RB3	RB4	RB5	RB6	RB7	RB8	RB9	RB10	<b>RB11</b>	RB12	RB13	RB14	RB15	<b>RB16</b>	RB17	<b>RB18</b>
Blood Star																		
Brown Algae									<5%									
Flustra			5%	<5%		<5%		15%	<5%	5%	<5%							
Hermit Crab	1																	
Irish Moss									<5%	<5%								
Krill							1											
Lobster					1					1								
Rock Crab										1								
Sculpin							1			2								
Sponge										1								
Unattached Kelp								<5%										
Unidentifiable Crab											2							
Unidentifiable Fish																		
Unidentifiable Macroalgae	<5%																	
Unidentifiable Seastar																		

Transect	Common Nomo		Distance (m)							
Transect	Common Name	0	10	20	30	40	50			
	Brown Algae	5%	65%			<5%				
Corner 1	Finger Sponge			10	17	6				
	Hermit Crab					1				
	Irish Moss	5%		30%						
	Kelp	10%	15%							
	Lobster					1				
	Rock Crab						1			
	Sea Colander		<5%							
	Flustra	5%	<5%	<5%	5%	<5%	<5%			
	Irish Moss				<5%					
Corner 2	Lobster						1			
	Rock Crab	1	1	2						
	Whelk						1			
	Flustra	<5%	20%	5%	<5%	5%	10%			
	Brown Algae		<5%							
	Irish Moss				<5%		<5%			
Corner 3	Rock Crab									
	Sculpin		1							
	Unidentifiable Macroalgae					<5%	<5%			
	Whelk									
	Flustra	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%			
Corner 4	Rock Crab				1					
	Whelk				1					
	Hermit Crab				1					
	Кеlp		10%	5%	60%	5%	<5%			
Corner 5	Red Algae		25%							
	Rock Crab					1				
	Unidentifiable Macroalgae	15%					<5%			
	Brown Algae		10%							
<b>6</b>	Fucus	5%								
Corner 6	Кеlp		15%							
	Rock Crab			İ	1	1	1			

# 3.2 NS1039 Rattling Beach – Boundary Amendment Addendum



# NS1039 Rattling Beach – Boundary Amendment Addendum

Finfish Marine Aquaculture Development Plan for Site #1039 Rattling Beach County of Annapolis Province of Nova Scotia

April 9, 2019

Prepared for: Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. P.O. Box 33 Bridgewater, NS B4V 2W6

Prepared by: Sweeney International Marine Corp. 46 Milltown Blvd. St. Stephen, NB E3L 1G3 Canada Tel: (506) 467-9014 Fax: (506) 467-9503 www.simcorp.ca

New Brunswick

Newfoundland

Nova Scotia



46 Milltown Blvd. St. Stephen, NB Canada, E3L 1G3 **Tel: (506) 467-9014** Fax: (506) 467-9503 www.simcorp.ca

April 9, 2019

SIMCorp File # SW2016-059

Jeff Nickerson Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. P.O. Box 33 Bridgewater, NS B4V 2W6

Dear Mr. Nickerson:

### Reference: <u>Response to the request for additional information regarding the boundary</u> <u>amendment for aquaculture site #1039, Rattling Beach, Nova Scotia</u>

Please find enclosed the addendum to address additional information requested from the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada regarding the boundary amendment of #1039, located in the Annapolis Basin, NS.

If you have any questions or comments on the above noted report, please do not hesitate to contact me at 902-492-0359.

Sincerely,



Leah Lewis-McCrea, M.Sc. Nova Scotia Division Manager; Sr. Laboratory Manager Sweeney International Marine Corp.

@simcorp.ca

cc: Tammy Rose-Quinn (DFO) Brennan Goreham (NSDFA) Ted Weaire (KCS) Jennifer Hewitt (KCS) Bob Sweeney (SIMCorp)



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PAGE

1.0 Int	RODUCTION	5
2.0 Inf	ORMATION REQUESTED	7
2.1	Fisheries Activities in the Public Waters Surrounding the Proposed Aquacultural	
Oper	ation	7
2.2	Impact on Fisheries Activities	7
2.3	Extreme Storm Events and Storm Surge	25
2.4	Procedures and Mitigation Measures During Superchill Event	26
2.5	Procedures and Mitigation Measures in Response to Ice Presence	26
2.6	Adjacent Property Owners	27
2.7	Wildlife Interaction Plan	27
2.8	Interaction with Predators	27
2.9	Support of the Sustainability of Wild Salmon	28
2.10	Interaction with Shellfish Aquaculture	39
2.11	Site Development Plans	39
3.0 Lis	T OF CONTACTS	41
4.0 RE	FERENCES	41

### LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1.</b> Potential Environmental Impact Hazards and Measures to Control Identified           Hazards at the Rattling Beach (#1039) Aquaculture Site	10
Table 2. Water Quality Monitoring Requirements and Approved Mitigation Strategies	
Table 3. Fish Health Hazards and Control Measures	18
<b>Table 4.</b> Infrastructure Specifications at the Proposed Rattling Beach (#1039) Aquaculture	
Sites	30
Table 5. Materials and Breaking Strengths/Specifications for the Components of the	
Proposed Rattling Beach (#1039) Grid Systems	31
<b>Table 6.</b> Minimum Specification Requirements for All Nets to be Used on the Proposed	
Rattling Beach (#1039) Site	31
Table 7. Predator Net Specifications	32
Table 8. Net Changing Strategy for Mesh Size	33
<b>Table 9.</b> The Operational Process Steps with the Potential Containment Hazard and the	
Measures to Control Hazards	36
Table 10. Contacts	41



### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A – Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada - Commercial Data

- Appendix B Wildlife Interaction Plan
- Appendix C Site Development Plans



### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

In November 2017, Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. (KCS) submitted a report entitled "NS1039 Rattling Beach – Boundary Amendment: Finfish Marine Aquaculture Development Plan" to Nova Scotia's Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture to comply with the Aquaculture Management Regulations for Nova Scotia (Schedule A – Regulations Respecting Aquaculture Management made by the Governor in Council under Section 4 Chapter 25 of the Acts of 1996, the Fisheries and Coastal Resource Act).

The Department of Fisheries of Oceans has requested additional information to complete an evaluation, as outlined below:

- <u>Section 3: Fisheries in the Public Waters Surrounding the Proposed Aquacultural</u> <u>Operations</u> - DFO is seeking information that is site specific within a 2 and 5 km radius of the site. The fisheries data is presented for Atlantic Canada or Maritimes Region and are not site specific;
- <u>Section 3.2 Impact on Fisheries Activities</u> Notes that the KCS will follow the EMP but what else are they doing to prevent an impact (i.e. feed cameras etc.); no mention of pesticides, drugs, etc. The report also mentions that measuring water quality is important and that doing this will aid the farmer in preparedness but it does not speak to whether or not they are going to measure these parameters to be more prepared and how this will prepare them;
- <u>Section 4.1.3 Extreme Storm Events and Storm Surge</u> What type of damage is defined by significant damage that will be reported to NSDFA. Are there timelines or SOPs in place that state when crews will be dispatched?;
- <u>Section 4.1.7 Temperature</u> It notes that KCS does not approach their cage sites or feed stock during times when superchill is possible. But what else does KCS do in this case – monitor water temperatures to mitigate potential impacts?;
- <u>Section 4.1.7 Temperature</u> Ice: how does KCS monitor for ice and what are the necessary precautions that will be taken to protect the site from ice? Also, the document notes that when ice buildup is a concern it can be removed by site staff. Is it 'can' or 'will' be removed?;
- <u>Section 5.1.1 Adjacent Property Owners</u> Additional information is required in support of the sentence: "The proposed amendment will not adversely impact adjacent property owners or their access to the water";
- <u>Section 5.2 Significance of Proposed Area to Wildlife</u> The EIS notes that KCS operates with a wildlife interaction plan in place but the plan is not included? These details should be included so that the department can assess whether or not potential impacts can be mitigated;





- <u>Section 5.4.1 Wildlife</u> The EIS notes that problematic predators may be "dispatched" in accordance with Government Policy and Saltwater Management consent;
- <u>Section 7.2.2 Restoration Efforts</u> "The aquaculture site, Rattling Beach #1039, will have no foreseeable impact on the restoration efforts in the Annapolis Basin and Digby area"; EIS notes that several mitigation measures can be employed and that KCS policies outlined in the FMP address priority objectives 1, 2, 4 and 6; what polices is KCS referring to and how do they address these issues?;
- <u>Section 8.2.3 Shellfish and Atlantic Salmon Aquaculture</u> "There are no direct interactions between shellfish and Atlantic salmon aquaculture, specifically related to disease transfer." This is likely true however, what about impacts from BOD, drugs and/or pesticides on downstream shellfish sites;
- <u>Site Development Plans</u> provide legible site development outlining all details/specifications of the aquaculture facility including cages, mooring lines, buoys, anchors, shackles, feed barge;
- <u>Mitigation measures</u> Outline mitigation measures to protect fish and fish habitat, species at risk and fisheries resources.



### 2.0 INFORMATION REQUESTED

# 2.1 Fisheries Activities in the Public Waters Surrounding the Proposed Aquacultural Operation

DFO is seeking information that is site specific within a 2 and 5 km radius of the site. The fisheries data is presented for Atlantic Canada or Maritimes Region and are not site specific.

The report entitled "NS1039 Rattling Beach – Boundary Amendment – Finfish Marine Aquaculture Development Plan" dated November 2017 summarized fisheries data provided by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada – Commercial Data division in **Section 3 – Fisheries Activities in Public Waters Surrounding the Proposed Aquacultural Operation**. Fisheries data, which included species landings, quantity and associated value, were only separated by district, therefore site-specific information within 2 and 5 km radius of the site could not be defined. Status description of specific fisheries for groundfish, pelagics, and shellfish known to be landed in District 38/39 were outlined. The data request (RQ20161138) and landings data provided by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada – Commercial Data is present in **Appendix A**.

In **Section 3.1 Commercial Fisheries – Groundfish** of the above mentioned report, description of the region off of Nova Scotia in which many species of groundfish are commercially harvested should be specified as the North Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO) Division 4X.

### 2.2 Impact on Fisheries Activities

In Section 3.2 – Impact on Fisheries Activities, notes that the KCS will follow the EMP but what else are they doing to prevent an impact (i.e. feed cameras etc.); no mention of pesticides, drugs, etc. It also mentions that measuring water quality is important and that doing this will aid the farmer in preparedness, but it doesn't speak to whether or not they are going to measure these parameters to be more prepared and how this will prepare them.

### **Environmental Impact**

### Environmental Monitoring

Nova Scotia's Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture's Environmental Monitoring Program Framework for Marine Aquaculture in Nova Scotia – June 2018 outlines a series of principles and criteria to guide the management process and to determine levels of monitoring and mitigation strategies for each aquaculture site (Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018a). Standard Operating Procedures for the Environmental Monitoring of



Marine Aquaculture in Nova Scotia – June 2018 (Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018b) describes the procedures that support the application of the framework.

The Environmental Monitoring Program Framework focuses on benthic marine habitat in the immediate vicinity of the aquaculture site. Although sediment sulphide concentration is the key indicator for this environmental monitoring program, a suite of sediment variables are used to validate sulphide data. In addition, benthic video collected at each monitoring station is required and is used to evaluate a site's performance in the event sediment samples are unattainable.

Benthic monitoring assesses the organic loading beneath and around areas of aquaculture production which is one of the primary concerns regarding aquaculture impacts on the environment, fish and fish habitat. KCS and their contractors adheres to the Environmental Monitoring Program Framework and Standard Operating Procedures established by the Nova Scotia's Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture.

The monitoring strategy for this KCS site follows the guidelines and procedures outlined in the Environmental Monitoring Program Framework (2018a) and Standard Operating Procedures (2018b). An annual environmental monitoring event will occur during July 1<sup>st</sup> to October 31<sup>st</sup> of each year.

The site follows standard best management practices for rearing fish in a marine environment. These practices have controls in place to mitigate potential environmental effects on fish and fish habitat. The site must also have a selection of additional mitigation strategies to apply if an environmental compliance threshold is exceeded. Refer to the below environmental impact mitigation strategies identified for the Rattling Beach aquaculture site.

### Environmental Impact Mitigation Strategies

Mitigation strategies must be based on best management practices and a hazard analysis of environmental impacts. For the environmental impact mitigation plan, hazards are identified for each operational process and measures to control the hazard, in the form of procedures and policy, must be outlined. The site's FMP contains site-specific mitigation strategies which is reviewed annually and amended after every production cycle by Nova Scotia's Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (NSDFA).

In the event of poor environmental performance as determined through monitoring, the site must implement mitigation as stated in Environmental Monitoring Program Framework. Furthermore, the site must update their mitigation plan in the FMP to address the poor environmental performance and submit the updated plan to NSDFA. Table 1 outlines the identified potential environmental hazards at the Rattling Beach (#1039) aquaculture site.

Please note, copper based anti-foulant coatings on netting is no longer used by KCS.

Net washing may contribute to benthic impacts if not done correctly and frequent enough. KCS nets are cleaned regularly during the warmer months to ensure that the amount of



fouling remains minimal. KCS has invested in remote operated net washing vessels to ensure the proper resources are available to wash the nets on a regular schedule. Nets are washed every two weeks from June to October, with exception during period of extreme tide. This schedule is based on monitoring of biofouling levels on nets.

Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. actively communicates with other local industries and permits local fishermen to utilize the lease area for fishing. KCS provides detailed maps and diagrams of their sites when requested. These maps and diagrams show the location of all above and underwater infrastructure, thus aiding in fishing efforts.



**Table 1.** Potential Environmental Impact Hazards and Measures to Control Identified Hazards at the Rattling Beach (#1039)

 Aquaculture Site

Potential Environmental Impact Hazard	Operational Process Step(s)	Is the hazard significant? (Y/N)	Is it reasonable to occur? (Y/N)	Measures to Control Hazard
Boat traffic	<ul> <li>Fish transport in – shore to boat</li> <li>Fish transport in – boat to cage</li> <li>Harvest, fish transport out – cage to boat</li> <li>Harvest, fish transport out – boat to shore</li> </ul>	N	N	Not a significant hazard
Overstocking of site, or specific areas of site	Stocking of cages	Y	Y	Controlled in Certificate of Health for Transfer (COHFT) and review/approval of production plan with NSDFA
Settlement of feces affects bottom sediments	• Grow out	Y	Y	Controlled in COHFT and review/approval of production plan with NSDFA
Cleaning of nets causes release of biofouling	• Grow out	Y	Y	Controlled within biofouling control plan and net washing protocol; approved by NSDFA
Disposal of non- organic waste	Grow out	N	N	Controlled with waste management strategy and waste management plan; approved by NSDFA
Overfeeding causes	• Feeding	Y	Y	Controlled with recording of daily feed amounts and calculation of feed rate; Use of Fishtalk, a software system

SW2016-059



settlement of uneaten feed				to control records, and underwater camera system to monitoring feeding; approved by NSDFA
Improper feeding technique causes settlement of uneaten feed or overfeeding	• Feeding	Y	Y	Controlled with automated feeding system with designated feeder and feed technique methods; approved by NSDFA
Release of chemicals from treatments	Sea lice treatment	Y	Y	Controlled with sea lice treatment plans and post treatment reports; approved by NSDFA
Release of chemicals or antibiotics from treatments	Disease treatment	Y	Y	Controlled with administration of treatments under the direction of a veterinarian, followed up by reporting; approved by NSDFA
Equipment disposal	Net change	Ν	N	Controlled with equipment disposal procedures; approved by NSDFA
Disposal of mortalities	<ul> <li>Mortality, maintenance dives</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with waste management strategy, including blood water and offal; approved by NSDFA



### Water Quality Monitoring and Mitigation Strategies

Water quality is monitored based on the uncertainty of natural cycles and processes such as season, thermoclines, weather, haloclines, algal blooms, etc., but also provides information on water quality as it relates to man-made factors. Monitoring specific water parameters will aid the producer in preparedness for dealing with health issues, assist with feeding regimes, and all mitigative actions to be taken when conditions are less than optimum. Aquaculture license holders will be responsible for monitoring oxygen and temperature daily unless weather conditions do not permit water quality monitoring.

Water quality monitoring requirements and mitigation strategies are contained in the sitespecific Farm Management Plan (FMP) which is reviewed annually and amended after every production cycle by Nova Scotia's Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (NSDFA). Table 2 describes the water quality monitoring requirements and the NSDFA approved mitigation strategies for Rattling Beach (#1039).

KCS reports suspected harmful algal bloom events directly to the province of the Nova Scotia. Evaluation of water quality parameters such as algal blooms directly benefits invertebrate fishermen within the area as well as the province.

Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. monitors water temperature, turbidity and dissolved oxygen. Monitoring of these water parameters will aid management in predicting events such as super chill, and remote feeding technicians in the appropriate feeding techniques considering water conditions. Fishtalk, a software system, is used to track water quality parameters such as oxygen, temperature, and turbidity, as well other records including inventory (biomass, fish number, and average weight), feeding (type and quantity) and fish density.



### **Table 2.** Water Quality Monitoring Requirements and Approved Mitigation Strategies

Parameter	Monitoring Requirements	Mitigation Threshold	Mitigation
Dissolved Oxygen	<ul> <li>Measured at the surface, at 4 meter depth, and at the bottom of the net inside at least one stocked cage, at least once daily;</li> <li>Measured at the surface, 4 meter and 10 meter depth, outside the cage(s), at least once daily;</li> <li>Daily record keeping.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>When oxygen readings fall below 6.8 mg/L;</li> <li>Additional measures when readings fall below 6.2 mg/L.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Oxygen measures below 6.8 mg/L:         <ul> <li>Oxygen supplementation if oxygen falls below 60%;</li> <li>Increase dissolved oxygen monitoring to twice daily or more;</li> <li>Limit activity in the cages (reduce mort dives to once weekly if mort rates are &lt;0.05% per day, limit cage repairs/maintenance to essential work only);</li> <li>Increase net cleaning activity if biofouling is an issue.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Oxygen measures below 6.2 mg/L         <ul> <li>All measures mentioned above;</li> <li>Limit feeding.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Temperature	<ul> <li>Measured at the surface, at 4 meter depth, and at the bottom of the net, at least once daily;</li> <li>Daily record keeping.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>When temperature falls below 1°C;</li> <li>When temperature rises above 18°C.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Temperature below 1°C <ul> <li>Limit activity in the cages (reduce mort dives to once weekly if mort rates are &lt;0.05% per day, limit cage repairs/maintenance to essential work only);</li> <li>Stop feeding.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Temperature rises above 18°C <ul> <li>Oxygen supplementation if oxygen falls below 60%;</li> <li>Increase dive frequency to monitor mortality rates and health of the stocked fish;</li> <li>Adjust or stop feeding to reduce fish stress.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Algae	Each site has its own risk of algal blooms, therefore monitoring requirements are determined on a site by site	When mortality is greater than 0.05% per day in the presence of a	<ul> <li>Increase dive frequency;</li> <li>Increase algae monitoring;</li> <li>Investigate cause of elevated mortality;</li> <li>Adjust or stop feeding, if necessary.</li> </ul>

April 2019



hopin uning history and host	ahanga in watar	
basis, using history and best	change in water	
available knowledge as a	turbidity, clarity, or	
gauge to establish the	colour there may	
protocols.	be an effect of	
	algae levels on the	
Algal monitoring will take place	stock	
at the site on a weekly basis		
from May to October.		
-the water samples are		
collected by the Site Manager		
at the surface of the water		
near the center of the farm;		
-sample may be stored on ice		
depending on delivery time to		
Bridgewater		
-trained staff in Bridgewater		
will analyze and record algae		
with results sent to the Senior		
Fish Health Technician for		
review.		



### Fish Health

### Disease Surveillance

To promote fish health of the site's stock as well as fish within the surrounding waters, scheduled disease surveillance is defined in the site-specific Farm Management Plan, which is approved by Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture. Early identification of diseases allows for initiation of mitigation to manage and treat diseases/pathogens. The provincial surveillance veterinary visits are carried out by the Chief Aquatic Animal Health Veterinarian or a Veterinary Designate from Provincial Fish Health Services. These visits are complimented with visits from KCS's own veterinarians and are part of an ongoing process to promote fish health. Provincial visits will occur, on average, every six weeks. Surveillance visits including testing a representative sample of fish for fish pathogens, viruses, antibiotic resistance, and a histological examination on fish with lesions. The clinical visits involve sampling and testing at the veterinarian's discretion. Diagnostics performed for fish health testing must be completed at a laboratory approved by the Minister to conduct diagnostic testing on fish for the purpose of disease surveillance.

### Disease Outbreak, Quarantine, and Mandatory Reporting

Any knowledge or suspicion that a fish may have a reportable disease, mass mortality events and significant mortality events of unknown etiology will be reported to the provincial Chief Aquatic Animal Health Veterinarian following the mandatory reporting guidelines. The Minister determines the diseases to be reported which include typical/atypical furunculosis, bacterial kidney disease, enteric red mouth disease, Infectious Hematopoietic Necrosis (IHN) Virus, Infectious Pancreatic Necrosis (IPN) Virus, Infectious Salmon Anemia (ISA) Virus, Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia (VHS) Virus, Nodavirus, and Pancreas Disease. Mass mortality and significant mortality events are defined in the site-specific FMP which is approved by Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture. Currently, mass mortality is defined as total weight of dead fish exceeding 4,000 kg within a 24 hour period, or the number of fish is equivalent to at least 2% of the site inventory in 24 hours, or total weight of dead fish exceeds 10,000 kg within a 5 day period or the number of dead fish is equivalent to at least 0.05% of the inventory in a 5 day period. Currently, a significant mortality event is defined as mortalities that are greater than 0.05% of the total inventory for 3 consecutive days.

Reporting of disease and mortality must include, but not limited to, the following

- Name and contact information of the person filing the report;
- Name of the aquaculture license holder; location of the site; holding unit with infected or suspected to be infected;
- The species, age and number of fish in the holding unit;
- Preliminary diagnosis observed clinical signs, and mortality rate.

In the event of a disease outbreak, management measures are defined and submitted to the provincial Chief Aquatic Animal Health Veterinarian for approval. KCS will follow the directions set by the provincial Chief Aquatic Animal Health Veterinarian. If deemed necessary by the



Minister, KCS will adhere to the necessary quarantine Orders and disease management measures set by the Chief Aquatic Animal Health Veterinarian.

### Stock Treatment

The use of any therapeutant, such as antibiotics or sea lice treatment products, must be reported to the Province. Therapeutants must be prescribed by a veterinarian. If the stock needs to be treated, all products given in feed, injected, or used to immerse the fish are recorded. A copy of all the written prescriptions and fish health records are available to be reviewed.

### Sea Lice Monitoring and Treatment

Although Nova Scotia has a history of low infections of sea lice, all aquaculture license holders must monitor sea lice levels on a weekly basis from April 1 to January 15. Monitoring is conducted by counting and staging the sea lice parasites on the skin of fish. A minimum of five fish per cage and six cages per site must be sampled. Records of sea lice monitoring are kept and available for review by the Chief Aquatic Animal Health Veterinarian. KCS employees and management have strong knowledge in various methods of sea lice treatment. If sea lice treatments are required, experienced staff would be brought to the farm to direct any bath treatments.

KCS has exclusive use of two large well boats and tarpaulins to create a completely enclosed containment for bath treatments. The bath treatment method, use of a well boat or full tarp, is dependent upon therapeutant used, fish size and water temperatures. Only products approved by Health Canada will be used in the treatment of sea lice. Slice (emamectin benzoate), Paramove 50 (hydrogen peroxide), and Salmosan (azamethiphos) are the only products approved for use in Nova Scotia. The choice of treatment will be determined by the Corporate veterinarian, in consultation with the provincial Chief Aquatic Animal Health Veterinarian. Factors that will be considered in the selection process for the appropriate treatment will include lifecycle stage of the lice, size of the fish, seawater temperatures, and season. Fish will be treated as quickly as the therapeutant and resources allow. The use of therapeutant will be in accordance to the product labels and following all health and safety requirements as well as all Federal and Provincial regulations. KCS has used bioassays to determine the most effective treatment per life stage. Any use of sea lice treatment and antibiotics will be reported to the Minister. KCS keeps a Post Sea Lice Treatment Record for all sea lice treatments administered to the stock. KCS has sea lice biosecurity procedures in place to minimize the probability of introducing sea lice or sea lice eggs to the site.

KCS has successfully used other methods to remove sea lice which does not require the use of active ingredients. Lumpfish or cleaner fish can help reduce the numbers of sea lice on farms. When placed in the cages containing salmon, lumpfish/cleaner fish will consume the sea lice. In addition, KCS has large vessels outfitted with either warm water or turbulent water treatment equipment. Both methods allow for the removal and collection of sea lice



To date, KCS has not treated for sea lice in Nova Scotia due to the low infestation rate, however the availability of alternative methods to treat sea lice infestation are available, if there becomes a need to employ such methods.

### Fish Health Hazards

Rattling Beach (#1039) site specific Farm Management Plan lists the fish health hazards associated with operational processes. Fish health hazards listed in Table 3 have been approved by Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture. The measures to control the identified hazard are addressed.

### Table 3. Fish Health Hazards and Control Measures

Process Step	Fish Health Hazards	Is the hazard significant? (Y/N)	Is it reasonable to occur? (Y/N)	Measures to Control Hazard
Fish Transport in, shore to boat	Disease within incoming stock	Y	Y	Some control with COHFT.
	Disease transfer due to improperly disinfected equipment	Y	Y	Controlled with SOPs for transport truck and vessel biosecurity in FMP
	Damage to fish due to improper handling	Y	Y	Controlled with SOP for fish transport in FMP
	Poor water quality in transport container	Y	Y	Controlled with SOP for fish transport in FMP
Fish transport in, boat to cage	<ul> <li>Disease transfer due to improperly disinfected equipment</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with SOPs for transport truck and vessel biosecurity in FMP and Well boat cleaning and disinfection
	Damage to fish due to improper handling	Y	Y	Controlled with SOP for fish transport in FMP Fry and smolt Transfer Protocol SOP FHMPFW 1:17

SW2016-059



	<ul> <li>Poor water quality in transport container</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Fry and smolt Transfer Protocol SOP FHMPFW 1:17
	Multiple users of a wharf may increase chance of disease transfer	Y	Y	Controlled with SOP for wharf usage biosecurity SOP in FMP Marine Site-Specific Biosecurity
Stocking of cages	Small stocking size average increases chance of post transfer mortality	Y	Not without prior knowledge	Controlled with production plan where mitigation steps for small stocking average (if applicable) required as part of FMP
Grow out	<ul> <li>Proximity of site to other sites or processing plants may increase chance of disease transfer</li> </ul>	Y	Not without prior knowledge	Controlled with policy that does not allow sites to be within set distance of other sites or processing plants.
	Poor site     environmental     conditions	Y	Y	Some control within leasing and licensing process
	<ul> <li>Damage to fish due to malfunctioning housing</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with equipment maintenance and inspection requirements within FMP and daily site inspections Above & below water
	Health status     during grow out left     unchecked and     allows disease	Y	Y	Controlled within disease surveillance program of FMP



 <ul> <li>Net size too large, causing gilling</li> </ul>	Y	N	Controlled with net sizing strategy required within FMP.
			Net Mesh sizing strategy & Net washing protocols
<ul> <li>Net size too small, causing poor water quality</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with net sizing strategy required within FMP. Net Mesh sizing strategy & Net washing protocols
<ul> <li>Sea lice population left unchecked and becomes unmanageable</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled within sea lice surveillance program of FMP
Biofouling causes poor water quality	Y	Y	Controlled with biofouling control plan of FMP BMP SOP #20 Net Washing Protocols
<ul> <li>Density too high</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with production plan and mitigation description for high stocking density within FMP Production Plan & Mitigation Procedures
<ul> <li>Oxygen level low</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Proper net mesh size and biofouling control assist with oxygen management. Other factors are not controllable. Monitoring and mitigation procedures are part of FMP
<ul> <li>Water temperature too high</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Not Controlled beyond siting. Management measures for high/low temperature are part of FMP
<ul> <li>Transfer of pathogens from one cohort of fish to the next cohort</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with COHFT, minimum fallowing and year class stocking restrictions within FMP

SW2016-059



	stocked in the same site			
Feeding	Inappropriate feed     size administered	N	N	Fishtalk (records of fish size, feed delivered)
	<ul> <li>Inappropriate feed amount administered</li> </ul>	Y	Ν	Controlled with recording of daily feed amounts and calculation of feed rate within FMP
	Inappropriate feed composition administered	Y	N	Controlled with feed description within FMP.
	Inappropriate     feeding technique	Y	Y	Controlled with feed technique description within FMP.
	Feed storage decreases the quality of the feed	Y	Y	Feed Management Practices & Training. Controlled with feed storage description within FMP
Sampling (fish health, sea lice counting, biomass estimates, R&D)	<ul> <li>Sampling maybe very stressful on fish</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with SOP for mean weight sampling and procedures for anesthesia within FMP
	<ul> <li>Sampling that is intended to be non-lethal may be lethal if inappropriately administered</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with procedures for anesthesia within FMP



	<ul> <li>Release of blood water and organic waste may increase the risk of disease spread</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with waste management strategy (including blood water and offal) within FMP
Sea lice treatment management	<ul> <li>Inappropriate treatment may be ineffective at sea lice control</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with sea lice surveillance program, treatment plans, and post treatment reports within FMP
Disease treatment management	<ul> <li>Inappropriate treatment may be ineffective at disease control</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with disease surveillance program and administration of treatments under the direction of a veterinarian, followed up by reporting within FMP
Net changing	<ul> <li>Activities cause stress in fish</li> </ul>	N		
Mortality and maintenance dives	<ul> <li>Lack of mortality removal or inappropriate handling of mortalities may increase the risk of disease spread</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with SOP for mortality removal and minimum schedules within FMP.
	<ul> <li>Release of blood water and organic waste may increase the risk of disease spread</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with waste management strategy (including blood water and offal) within FMP



Harvest, fish transport out, cage to boat	<ul> <li>Release of blood water and organic waste may increase the risk of disease spread</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with waste management strategy (including blood water and offal) within FMP
	<ul> <li>Disease transfer due to improperly disinfected equipment</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with SOP for harvesting in FMP
	<ul> <li>Damage to fish due to improper handling</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with SOPs for fish transport and harvesting in FMP
	<ul> <li>Poor water quality in transport container</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with SOP for fish transport in FMP
	<ul> <li>Too many fish in a seine or for too long can cause added stress or mortality</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with SOP for fish transport and Harvesting in FMP
Fish transport out, boat to shore	<ul> <li>Release of blood water and organic waste may increase the risk of disease spread</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with waste management strategy (including blood water and offal) within FMP
	Disease transfer due to improperly disinfected equipment	Y	Y	Controlled with SOP for harvesting in FMP

SW2016-059



<ul> <li>Damage to fish due to improper handling</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with SOPs for fish transport and harvesting in FMP
<ul> <li>Poor water quality in transport container</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with SOP for fish transport in FMP



## 2.3 Extreme Storm Events and Storm Surge

In Section 4.1.3 – Extreme Storm Events and Storm Surge, identify timelines or SOPs in place that state when repair crews will be dispatched. What type of damage is defined by significant damage that will be reported to NSDFA?

Severe weather can greatly impact the structures in place for containment management. Cage location relative to wind direction and land, as well as other variables can affect the level of impact. Severe weather conditions or expected conditions that will trigger the severe weather management measures at Rattling Beach (#1039) include high winds more than 40 knots from a southerly direction; cold water temperatures around the site below one degree Celsius (<1°C); and, low oxygen levels at the site below 6 mg/L.

The Site and Area Manager will track forecasts to predict if a weather event will impact the site. The Site Manager will ensure that reasonable preparations are made by all Site Workers in response to an impending severe weather event. Site Workers will monitor oxygen and water temperature daily to track environmental data and if a situation arises on site, KCS will follow the guidelines set out in Table 2.

Time permitting, extra inspection of the net pens *above water* will take place (in addition to daily, routine inspections) leading up to the predicted event and any appropriate-steps (potential reinforcement) will be taken by all parties to ensure the system is ready for the impending weather event. Time permitting, extra inspection of the net pens *below water* will be requested (in addition to weekly, routine inspections), if the Site Manager believes it to be necessary due to impending weather event.

Net pens inspection above water will occur on site after a severe weather event by the Site Workers. These inspections will take place either the day after a severe weather event or as soon it is safe enough for the Site Workers to return to work on the site following the weather event.

Inspection of the net pens below water will occur at the next scheduled routine mortality dive on site following the severe weather event; however, if the site manager believes that the underwater net pens need inspection earlier than the next schedule mortality dive, they can schedule it with the divers. This extra inspection will either occur the day after the severe weather event or as soon as it is safe for staff to return to work on the site.

Immediately after a severe weather event, a detailed evaluation of damage(s) will be conducted. A complete list of repairs will be created. Repairs will then be prioritized and tracked until completed. In some instances, temporary repairs may take place until permanent repairs can be completed. Any significant damage resulting in a known or suspected breach will be immediately reported to Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture.



# 2.4 Procedures and Mitigation Measures During Superchill Event

In Section 4.1.7 – Temperature, it notes that KCS does not approach their cage sites or feed stock during times when superchill is possible. But what else does KCS do in this case – monitor water temperatures to mitigate potential impacts?

Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. uses Realtime technology to monitor water temperature and dissolved oxygen on a 24/7 basis through wireless sensors placed in each enclosure. Also, monitoring of these water parameters in real time will aid management in predicting events such as super chill and remote feeding technicians in the appropriate feeding techniques considering water conditions. This technology has the ability to track historical data and deliver warning notifications to alert site managers, area managers and upper management that water quality thresholds are imminent. Monitoring in real time and the ability to track water quality data, like temperature, aids in early implementation of mitigation strategies. Refer to Refer to Water Quality Monitoring and Mitigation Strategies in Section 2.2 of this document for additional information.

# 2.5 **Procedures and Mitigation Measures in Response to Ice Presence**

In Section 4.1.7 – Temperature, additional information is required explaining how KCS monitors for ice and what are the necessary precautions that will be taken to protect the site from ice? Also, the document notes that when ice buildup is a concern, it can be removed by site staff. Is it 'can' or 'will' be removed?

KCS has service barges and crew which can be deployed on short notice to break up ice whether it be unpredicted or as a preventative measure to ensure the ice build up in the local area is minimal. Freezing spray may occasionally build up on cage structures during extreme winter conditions. When ice build-up is a concern, it will be manually removed by site crews.

Each site is visited daily unless environmental conditions dictate otherwise; for example: major storm event or superchill which requires minimal interaction with the fish to reduce stress and mortalities. During the time on site, employees will visually evaluate the area around the site to determine if intervention is required. Even on cold and stormy days, the waters around the farm can be assessed from the regional office in Bridgewater using the dome cameras on the remote feed centre. During times of potential ice buildup, KCS uses a drone to get a good aerial picture of ice formation on a regular basis. KCS has service barges, tug boats, and service agreements with other vessel operators which can deploy vessels on short notice to break up ice whether it be unpredicted or as a preventative measure to ensure the ice buildup in the local area is minimal. Freezing spray may occasionally build up on cage structures during extreme winter conditions. When ice build-up is a concern, it will be manually removed by site crews.



# 2.6 Adjacent Property Owners

In Section 5.1.1 – Adjacent Property Owners the statement "The proposed amendment will not adversely impact adjacent property owners or their access to the water".

The Rattling Beach (#1039) has coexisting successfully with residential, recreational and commercial activities in and around Annapolis Basin for over 20 years. The site is operated responsibly which includes consideration for neighbours and other users in terms of noise and esthetics. Sound attenuation is an important factor when purchasing new equipment that will be used on the site; new equipment will be assessed for noise reduction opportunities. When possible, machinery will be placed in areas that will have the least amount of impact for other water and shore-based users. KCS utilizes mufflers or noise reduction methods/materials on air blowers and diesel engines as well as minimizes activities that can create noise. It is KCS policy to turn off non-essential equipment, whenever possible, to reduce noise and visual effects. The Rattling Beach (#1039) site is an existing site and is maintained in good working order in accordance to the site's Farm Management Plan (FMP). Importance is placed, whenever possible, to ensure the operation is as esthetically appealing as possible. For safe navigation in the waters surrounding the site, the Rattling Beach lease is marked as per the Transport Canada (TC) Navigation Protection Program (NPP) requirements.

## 2.7 Wildlife Interaction Plan

In Section 5.2 – Significance of Proposed Area to Wildlife, the EIS notes that KCS operates with a wildlife interaction plan in place but the plan is not included? These details should be included so that the department can assess whether or not potential impacts can be mitigated.

The Wildlife Interaction Plan (WIP) contains prevention and control measures for wildlife observed near an aquaculture site owned and operated by KCS. The WIP is present in **Appendix B**.

## 2.8 Interaction with Predators

In Section 5.4.1 – Wildlife, the EIS notes that problematic predators may be "dispatched" in accordance with Government Policy and Saltwater Management consent.

Measures taken to protect fish from predators are always carried out in a manner that considers predator welfare and does not endanger the predator population. Only defensive measures, such as containment, predator and bird nets, are employed at KCS finfish aquaculture sites.



# 2.9 Support of the Sustainability of Wild Salmon

In Section 7.2 – Support of the Sustainability of Wild Salmon, the statement "The aquaculture site, Rattling Beach #1039 will have no foreseeable impact on the restoration efforts in the Annapolis Basin and Digby area". DFO requests additional information regarding this conclusion.

Restorations efforts identified in the report entitled "NS1039 Rattling Beach – Boundary Amendment: Finfish Marine Aquaculture Development Plan" located 12.5 km southeast (by waterway) at the head of Bear River in the L'sitkuk watershed and greater than 14 km northeast of the site in the Annapolis River. Both restorations include physical alteration of fish habitat within the rivers which includes clearing and installation of culverts, dams and other fish passageways ensuring assess during migration and spawning. The restoration efforts occur upriver at a significant distance from the Rattling Beach site, therefore the operation of the site will not directly impede the restoration efforts in these rivers. Refer to below text outlining containment strategy employed at the Rattling Beach site.

In Section 7.2.3 – Mitigation Efforts and Regulatory Requirements, the EIS notes that several mitigation measures can be employed and that KCS policies outline in the FMP address priority objectives 1, 2, 4, and 6. What are the policies and how do they address these issues?

#### Mitigation Efforts and Regulatory Requirements

Several mitigation measures can be employed to reduce the potential impacts of salmon aquaculture on wild salmon populations. A list of priority objectives to reduce the risk of interactions between wild and farmed salmon was provided by Fisheries and Oceans Canada (1999) and updated by Amiro et al. (2008). They are as follows:

- 1) Improved containment, including the development and implementation of Code of Practice, contingency plans, and a reporting system for escapees;
- Improved fish health management, including completion and implementation of provincial Codes of Practice, including contingency plans and a reporting system for specified diseases;
- 3) Upgrading policy for introductions and transfers of fishes and improving related enforcement;
- 4) Enhancing education and training of aquaculture workers, particularly relative to containment and farm/hatchery management; and
- 5) Ensuring the maintenance of wild stocks at or above their conservation requirements;
- 6) Continuing the use of local stocks as donors, where possible, for currently practiced aquaculture, or using other strains if rendered sterile or properly contained, and
- 7) Continue incorporating risk analysis into the review process for the location of hatcheries and salmon farms.

Aquaculture license holders of marine finfish sites must operate to comply with the Aquaculture Management Regulations for Nova Scotia (Schedule A – Regulations Respecting Aquaculture Management made by the Governor in Council under Section 4 Chapter 25 of the Acts of 1996, the Fisheries and Coastal Resource Act). For each site, a detailed Farm Management Plan

(FMP) is required to outline the company's policies for fish health management, containment management, farm operations and environmental monitoring. The FMP is reviewed by Nova Scotia's Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture to ensure compliance to the Aquaculture Management Regulations. KCS policies outlined in the FMP address priority objectives 1, 2, 4, and 6, as listed above, to reduce the potential impacts of salmon aquaculture on wild salmon populations. Points 3, 5, and 7 are beyond the control of KCS.

In the FMP, priority objective 1 involves maintaining the containment system, establishing contingency plans and reporting on escapees. Refer to information provided below under the sub-section headings Infrastructure, Containment Strategy, Hazard Assessment for Containment Management and Breach Response for specific details regarding the containment strategies and response plan employed by KCS. Priority objective 2 addresses fish health management, contingency plans and reporting system which is present in the FMP and approved by Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture. Section 2.2: Impact of Fisheries Activities of this document specifically outlines the monitoring and response to presence of diseases/pathogens/parasites. Priority objective 4 focuses on education and training of the site workers on the containment system, which is addressed in Containment Strategy - Education and Training. Lastly, priority objective 6 refers to the use of local stocks for culture. As stated in the site-specific FMP for Rattling (#1039), the Saint John River strain is the only strain cultured by KCS in Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture.

## Containment

## Infrastructure

An essential component of a marine finfish farm is containment with respect to supporting the sustainability of wild salmon. To ensure containment, equipment and infrastructure must be deemed acceptable for the environmental conditions in which the finfish farm is located. Any mechanical damage from ill-repaired equipment/infrastructure or choice of equipment/infrastructure can become a welfare, fish health, and containment concern.

All moorings, cages, containment nets, and predator nets meet best management practices. GMG, a sister company to Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd is the Fish Services division of Cooke Aquaculture Inc., provides the moorings for installation and the specifications were determined to be adequate based on product testing completed by GMG.

## Moorings and Grid

The moorings and materials were designed based on historical winds, wave heights, oceanography, currents and 30 + years of ocean farming experience. Each area of the grid was designed to withstand different maximum loads based on a maximum resistance of 80,689 lbs. Table 4 presents the specifications of the infrastructure on the Rattling Beach (#1039) aquaculture site. The materials and breaking strengths and specifications for the components of the grid system are outlined in Table 5.



# Table 4. Infrastructure Specifications at the Proposed Rattling Beach (#1039) Aquaculture Sites

Infrastructure	Component	Diameter/Specification	Material	Operating Pressure (water @ 73.4°F (23°C))	Average Inside Diameter	Minimum Wall Thickness	Average Weight (Ibs/ft)
Moorings	Lines from anchors to compensator buoys	2" 8 strand, MBS 80,689 lbs	Polysteel				
	Lateral lines	-	-				
	Grid lines	2" 8 strand, MBS 80,689 lbs	Polysteel				
	Compensator buoys	CB4, 400 pounds and CB 2,000 lbs	HDPE				
	Chain	1 1/8" open link chain, MBS 100,000 lbs	Alloy Steel				
	Shovel Anchor	2,000 kg (200,000 kg holding power)	Alloy Steel				
	Thimbles	2" Galvanized Heavy Duty	Heavy Duty Steel Hot Dip Galvanized				
	Shackles	SWL- 9.5 Ton 1 1/8" screw pin shackle	Safety Ration 5:1 (47.5)				
	Grid plates/rings	11 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> " diameter-round 1" thick plate	High grade steel AR 400F				
	Bridal grid plate	13" x 14" 1" thick plate	High grade steel AR 400F				
	Concrete Blocks	8,000 kg	Concrete				
Cages	Bird Stand (HDPE)	110mm (Series 125 PE3608)		125 psi	93.60mm	7.97mm	1.74
	Float Pipe (HDPE)	315mm (Series 80 PE3608)		80 psi	284.60mm	15.00mm	9.61
	Brackets (HPDE)	6" (DR 17 PE4710)		125 psi	5.80"	0.390"	3.35
	Handrail (HPDE)	5" (DR 17 PE4710)		125 psi	4.87"	0.327"	2.36
	Weight Ring (HPDE)	6" (DR 11 PE4710)		200 psi	5.35"	0.602"	4.99

SW2016-059



**Table 5.** Materials and Breaking Strengths/Specifications for the Components of theProposed Rattling Beach (#1039) Grid Systems

Grid System Component	Specifications	Breaking Strengths
Grid Plate	179,000 lbs	81,363 kg
Screw Pin Shackle	1 1/8" SWL 9.5 T safety factor ratio of 5:1	47.5 T
Rope	2" 8 strand poly steel rope	MBS 80,689 lbs (36,676 kg) each line
Chain	1 1/8" open link chain	MBS 100,000 lbs

<u>Nets</u>

Containment and predator nets must meet the BC Fisheries Act, Aquaculture Regulation, B.C. Reg. 78/2002, Deposited April 19, 2002. The minimum specifications of containment nets and predator nets are reported in Table 6 and Table 7, respectively. Each of the components, containment and predator nets, were determined to be adequate based on product testing completed by GMG. The net lifecycle depends on a variety of factors however it is not company policy to limit a net simply by age but rather its strength. Likewise, a net may be retired due to the number and/or severity of repairs required but with passing break strength scores. Nets that do not meet the break strength testing requirements are retired.

All nets (smolt, market, bird and predator) are given a unique identifier. The history and maintenance of each net is maintained by GMG. Each net, new or repaired, is tested by trained personnel at GMG prior to installation at a site. GMG follows established testing protocols and break strength requirements which are specific to the materials used. The procedures used are in alignment with the Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture requirements.

The nets are repaired immediately with the on-site net repair kit once wearing weakness or holes have been identified. KCS has a documented procedure to make the repairs which has been accepted by Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture. All repairs must be recorded on the net-specific history record.

**Table 6.** Minimum Specification Requirements for All Nets to be Used on the ProposedRattling Beach (#1039) Site

Net Type	Brand Name	Mesh Stretched Opening (mm)	Material	Mesh Strengt h/Rating (KG)	Diameter of Mesh Material (mm)
Smolt	Star K (Knotless)	26 ± 1	HDPE Fiber	130	4.2 (360 Ply)
	Star Market	57 ± 2	HDPE Fiber	130	4.2 (360 Ply)
Market			HDPE Fiber		
IVIAINEL	Sapphire UC	57 ± 1	with Ultra	150	2.6
			Core		

Note: HDPE – High Density Polyethylene

SW2016-059



#### Table 7. Predator Net Specifications

Net Type	Brand Name	Mesh Stretched Opening (mm)	Material	Mesh Strength/ Rating (KG)	Diameter of Mesh Material (mm)
Predator	Sapphire UC	150 ± 1	HDPE Fiber with Ultra Core	380	3.8
	Star Knotted	35 ± 1	HDPE Fiber	90.72	1.9mm (#21)
	Plateena/Dyneem a	51 ± 1	UHMWPE Fiber	91	1.5 (1600+800D/6 Bar)
	Plateena/Dyneem a	51 ± 1	UHMWPE Fiber	91	1.5 (2400D/2 Bar)
	Plateena/Dyneem a	51 ± 1	UHMWPE Fiber	95	1.6 ([1600+1360]/1x2)
Bird Net	PPMF/MFPP	25.7 ± 1	PPMF Fiber	77	2.5 (1000D/18 Ply)
Diru Net	PPMF/MFPP	51 ± 1	PPMF Fiber	77	2.5 (1000D/18 Ply)
	PPMF/MFPP	51 ± 1	PPMF Fiber	105	3 (1000D/24 Ply)
	Bird Net – Sapphire	35 ± 1	HDPE Fiber	110	1.7
	Shogun – Smolt BN	35	HDPE	93	400/38
	Shogun – Market BN	57	HDPE	106	400/38

Notes:

PPMF – Polypropylene Multi Filament

UHMWPE – Ultra High Molecular Weight Polyethylene

## Containment Strategy

<u>Fish</u>

Fish stocked at Rattling Beach (#1039) will be no smaller than 60 g (individual weight) but more likely to be near the 120 g average. KCS ensures that the fish size is adequate not only for fish health and survival but to ensure that they align with netting design to prevent containment breaches. Industry's best practices are used to determine the net changing strategy for mesh size (Table 8). The net mesh sizing strategy was determined to be adequate based on thirty years of experience fish farming in Atlantic Canada and exceeds the guidelines proposed by research conducted at Memorial University of Newfoundland Marine Institute in March 2000.



#### Table 8. Net Changing Strategy for Mesh Size

Average fish size (g)	Minimum fish size (g)	Mesh size (inches)
120	50	1 <sup>1/8</sup>
600	450	2 <sup>1/4</sup>

#### Infrastructure and Equipment

Moorings and anchors will be inspected prior to the stocking of a new production cycle. This may include removing them from the water and visually inspecting prior to redeployment. Once installed, the moorings and anchors will be inspected biannually (every 6 months), unless otherwise required. The moorings and anchors will also be inspected using (divers or ROV) after any significant storm event.

Above water inspections will be continuous as staff work on the site daily – any net maintenance will be recorded on the Net Maintenance On-Site Record. It is the responsibility of the site crew to perform these daily inspections. The Site Manager will ensure that the daily inspections are being completed. In addition, formal inspections will occur on a weekly basis for surface components and recorded on the Surface Inspection Record. This inspection examines compensator buoys, visible portions of the grid, shackles, thimbles, cages, support, jump net rails, above water nets (containment, bird), attachment of nets, and site markers.

Underwater inspections will be conducted biannually using divers and/or a ROV. As necessary, cameras that are placed in each of the cages on site can be used, in addition to divers and ROVs, to ensure that all below water infrastructure is being monitored and maintained. Additionally, maintenance barges will be used to lift the components to the surface for visual inspection at the end of each production cycle when the site is fallowed. Any weaknesses in the containment structure will be repaired as soon as possible and recorded. Suspected underwater irregularities, damage, or points of wear noted on any dive or via surface observations will be investigated and repaired as soon as possible and recorded on Net Repair On-Site Record. Furthermore, below-water net inspections will be formally completed every <u>60 days</u>. A Below Surface Inspection checklist is to be completed.

#### <u>Severe Weather</u>

Severe weather can greatly impact the structures in place for containment management. Cage location relative to wind direction and land, as well as other variables can affect the level of impact. Severe weather conditions or expected conditions that will trigger the severe weather management measures at Rattling Beach (#1039) include high winds more than 40 knots from a southerly direction; cold water temperatures around the site below one degree Celsius (<1°C); and, low oxygen levels at the site below 6 mg/L.

The Site and Area Manager will track forecasts to predict if a weather event will impact the site. The Site Manager will ensure that reasonable preparations are made by all Site Workers in response to an impending severe weather event. Site Workers will monitor oxygen and



water temperature daily to track environmental data and if a situation arises on site, KCS will follow the guidelines set out in Table 2.

Time permitting, extra inspection of the net pens *above water* will take place (in addition to daily, routine inspections) leading up to the predicted event and any appropriate-steps (potential reinforcement) will be taken by all parties to ensure the system is ready for the impending weather event. A *below water* inspection prior to the impending weather event may be completed (in addition to weekly, routine inspections), at the discretion of the Site Manager.

Surface inspections will occur on site after a severe weather event by the staff. These inspections will take place either the day after a severe weather event or as soon as it is safe.

An underwater inspection will occur during the next scheduled mortality dive on site following the severe weather event. This inspection can be completed sooner at the discretion of the Site Manager.

Immediately after a severe weather event, a detailed evaluation for damage will be conducted. Any damage will be recorded and details of repairs will be monitored and tacked. In some instances, temporary repairs may take place until permanent repairs can be completed.

#### Education and Training

As a preventative measure, employees will review applicable Standard Operating Procedures prior to beginning any task that may result in a fish escape. Drill procedures are executed to test the Escape Response Plan which occur at minimum every month or when a new onsite person is hired. During the drill, the drill coordinator alerts the site crew that a drill is about to occur. "Fish Escape" is announced which commences timing for the drill. A fictitious scenario is devised which includes the type of hole (size and location) and the source of the incident (seal, debris, equipment entanglement, etc.). The best course of action, roles and responsibilities are enacted and/or discussed. During each drill, the contents and availability of net report kits are evaluated and the posting of the Emergency Communication Procedures containing updated contact information is verified. The results of the drill are entered into the Integrated Management System (IMS). Frequent drills are essential to ensure all on-site staff are familiar with their roles and responsibilities in the execution of timely and efficient response in the event of escapee(s).

## Mortality Collection

The procedure to collect mortalities at the Rattling Beach (#1039) site has been approved by Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture as outlined in the site's FMP. Divers collect the mortalities, which are placed in lined, leak-proof, covered tubs for transport to shore. Once brought ashore all mortalities are composted by Spec Environmental Solutions. Note, the mortality collection schedule varies depending upon the age of the fish. During smolt entry and the first few weeks post entry, the frequency of mortality collection may



increase. After these production milestones, mortality collection occurs once per week unless there is an identified fish health event.

#### <u>Harvesting</u>

Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture has approved harvesting procedures at the Rattling Beach (#1039) site as outlined in the site's FMP. The procedure considers fish health and welfare, biosecurity as well as containment risk. Harvesting operations are performed by vessels owned and operated by CAI's processing division, True North Salmon (TNS). TNS utilizes the most humane method to harvest fish. Fish are seined and pumped onto a harvest vessel where they are stunned using percussive stunning, then bled into chilled seawater. The utmost care is taken to ensure the highest quality product while also being the most humane.

#### Hazard Assessment for Containment Management

Potential containment management hazards and strategies to prevent occurrence have been identified throughout the production cycle at Rattling Beach (#1039). This information is contained in the FMP and summarized below which has been approved by Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (Table 9).



Potential Containment Hazard	Operational Process Step(s)	Is the hazard significant? (Y/N)	Is it reasonable to occur? (Y/N)	Measures to Control Hazard
Weak or incorrectly attached equipment	<ul> <li>Fish transport in, shore to boat</li> <li>Fish transport in, boat to cage</li> <li>Harvest, fish transport out - cage to boat</li> <li>Harvest, fish transport out – boat to shore</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with fish transport, smolt delivery and harvesting standard operating procedures; approved by NSDFA
Fish release during transfer to and from well boat	<ul> <li>Fish transport in, boat to cage</li> <li>Sea lice treatment management</li> <li>Splitting / Transfers</li> <li>Harvest, fish transport out – cage to boat</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with well boat treatment procedures and splitting / transfers standard operating procedures; approved by NSDFA
Fish release during transfer from well boat	<ul> <li>Harvest, fish transport out – boat to shore</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with well boat treatment procedures standard operating procedures; approved by NSDFA
Fish too small for mesh	<ul> <li>Stocking of cages</li> <li>Net change</li> </ul>	Y	Not without prior knowledge	Controlled with COHFT and review of production plan with NSDFA. Also controlled with net mesh sizing strategy; approved by NSDFA.
Hole in net due	Grow out	Y	Y	Controlled with equipment maintenance and inspection

SW2016-059

April 2019



to chafing or other equipment wear				requirements; approved by NSDFA
Predator attacks	Grow out	Y	Y	Cannot be controlled during production. Reduced risk with predator deterrence and predator netting; approved by NSDFA
Storms	Grow out	Y	Y	Cannot be controlled during production. Reduced risk with emergency plans; approved by NSDFA
Net washing	Grow out	Y	Y	Controlled with biofouling control plan and net washing protocols; approved by NSDFA
Fish jumping out of transfer net	<ul> <li>Sampling (fish health, sea lice counting, biomass estimates)</li> </ul>	Y	Y	Controlled with weight sampling by hand standard operating procedure; approved by NSDFA
Fish released due to insecure new net	Net change	Y	Ν	Controlled by net changing standard operating procedure; approved by NSDFA
Net not removed properly	Net change	Y	Ν	Controlled by net changing standard operating procedure; approved by NSDFA
Not dropping the net properly for diver entry may allow fish escape	<ul> <li>Mortality and maintenance dives</li> </ul>	Y	N	Controlled by mortality removal standard operating procedure; approved by NSDFA
Not closing the net after dive entry may allow fish escape	Mortality and maintenance dives	Y	Y	Controlled by mortality removal standard operating procedure; approved by NSDFA
Fish released from collection	Mortality and maintenance	Y	Y	Controlled by mortality removal standard operating procedure; approved by NSDFA

Υ

Controlled by harvesting and seining & corking

Last fish in

bags/equipment

dives

Harvest, fish

Υ



0	transport out – cage to boat	standard operating procedures; approved by NSDFA
---	---------------------------------	--



## Breach Response

All sites have a documented emergency response to address a breach as outlined in the site's Farm Management Plan by Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture. This plan of action considers the areas of potential impact and respects all federal and provincial regulations and licencing requirements.

NSDFA is immediately notified once a breach of containment occurs. If the cages or nets have been damaged or compromised by an unusual event such as vandalism or boat collision, KCS's Escape and Response procedures will be followed. These procedures have been approved under the Best Aquaculture Practices (BAP) certification. Events such as interactions with vessels, marine mammals or other users will require situational specific handling and the best course of action is determined in consultation with Senior Management, and/or other regulatory bodies.

## Security Bond

In accordance to the Aquaculture Licence and Lease Regulations, an aquaculture licence holder must establish a security bond for each aquaculture site under the licence (Province of Nova Scotia 2015). BFL Canada and Aquaculture Association of Nova Scotia (AANS) partnered to provide surety bonds to AANS members. The surety bond ensures each licence holder will operate a farm in accordance to provincial and federal regulations. The bond covers any resulting damages or losses should the licence holder not abide by these regulations. A surety bond in the amount of \$25,000 is paid by KCS annually for each license.

# 2.10 Interaction with Shellfish Aquaculture

In Section 8.2.3 – Shellfish and Atlantic Salmon Aquaculture, the EIA states "There are no direct interactions between shellfish and Atlantic salmon aquaculture, specifically related to disease transfer". This is likely true however, what about impacts from BOD, drugs and/or pesticides on downstream shellfish sites.

While there is no direct interaction between shellfish and Atlantic salmon aquaculture specifically related to disease transfer, benthic and water quality as well as exposure to drugs and/or pesticides may affect shellfish aquaculture. Details on environmental and disease monitoring/treatment with associated mitigation strategies employed at the Rattling (#1039) site are outlined in **Section 2.2: Impact on Fisheries** of this report.

# 2.11 Site Development Plans

Provide legible site development plans outlining all details/specifications of the aquaculture facility including cages, mooring lines, buoys, anchors, shackles, feed barge etc.

Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc. produced the aquaculture site development plans submitted with the signed notice of works. The plans include:



- a. Proposed navigation aid limits to demonstrate the extent of the marine aquaculture site as well as adjacent parcels of land. Property identification number (P.I.D. #) with corresponding owner names and addresses are also outlined in the plans;
- b. Depiction of the basic seafloor topography within the proposed lease boundaries;
- c. Demonstration of anchors, cages, and grid/mooring configuration location within the proposed lease boundaries;
- d. Lateral and longitudinal cross sections demonstrating cage infrastructure, anchor blocks, mooring lines and seafloor profile; and
- e. Proposed navigational and marking plan.

Each plan indicates the exact location of the proposed lease, legal lease site number and position of the lease. **Appendix C** contains the complete site development plans for Rattling Beach (#1039).



#### 3.0 LIST OF CONTACTS

#### Table 10. Contacts

Contact Name	Affiliation	E-mail	Phon e	Date of Contac t	Reason for Contact
Alex Campbell	DFO – Policy & Economics	CommercialData.XMAR@dfo- mpo.gc.ca	(902) 399- 8507	Dec 17, 2018	Landing data (Fisheries)

#### 4.0 REFERENCES

Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, 2018a. Environmental Monitoring Program Framework for Marine Aquaculture in Nova Scotia. <u>https://novascotia.ca/fish/documents/ns-emp-framework.pdf</u>

Nova Scotia Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, 2018b. Standard Operating Procedures for the Environmental Monitoring of Marine Aquaculture in Nova Scotia. <u>https://novascotia.ca/fish/documents/ns-emp-sops.pdf</u>.

APPENDIX A Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada – Commercial Data

# **DFO Maritimes Region Data Request Form**

(All fields must be completed)

Please complete electronically and return by email to XMARComData@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

or Fax to (902) 426-3254

Client Name:	Sweeney International Marine Corp		
Client Contact	Phone Number: 902-492-7865		
Information:	E-mail: sallain@simcorp.ca		
<b>Organization:</b> (Please check all that apply)	External       DFO       DFO continued         Provincial Gov't:       Maritimes Region:       DFO Science:         Academic:       Gulf Region:       C&P:         Association:       Newfoundland Region:       Oceans:         NGO:       Quebec Region:       Resource Management:         Licence Holder:       Central and Arctic:       Policy:         Other:       Consultant       Pacific Region:       Economics:         National Headquarters:       Other:       Other:		
<b>Data Description:</b> ( <i>Please provide a detailed description of the data requested</i> )	<ul> <li>We are hoping to acquire data from landing ports in southwest Nova Scotia;</li> <li>Liverpool</li> <li>Digby</li> <li>Annapolis Royal</li> <li>Westport</li> <li>Weymouth</li> <li>Any other port that may exist on Digby Neck/Brier Island?</li> <li>We are looking for data on the most recent available annual landings. Specifically, we are looking for a list of the top 5 species landed at each</li> </ul>		
	<ul><li>port.</li><li>Are there any aboriginal fisheries in and around these ports? What type of gear is used? What species are caught? When was the last reported landings and which species were landed?</li><li>Are there any bait fisheries in and around these ports? If so, which species?</li><li>Do any small pelagic fisheries exist in these areas? If so, which species?</li></ul>		
	Do any recreational fisheries exist in these areas? What species are harvested? Or what species are caught and released? Can clams be collected in these areas? If so, when?		
Time Period Required:	<ul> <li>Calendar year(s): Most recent available data</li> <li>Fishery Season (for species and area(s) indicated):</li> <li>Other, e.g., month(s), day(s):</li> </ul>		
Date data requested:	September 1, 2016		
Date data required:	As soon as possible.		
<b>Intended use of data:</b> (Check all that are applicable	Industry InformationPublic PublicationInternal Research (DFO)Consultation with IndustryLegal ProceedingsInternal Publication (DFO)Academic ResearchNGO ResearchOther:		

# **DFO Maritimes Region Data Request Form**

and provide a description)	<i>Detailed Description:</i> We are requesting various aspects of fisheries related data in order to provide environmental consulting to a client on a number of regions in Nova Scotia.
Previous Request # or Report # (If applicable)	Not applicable

Will you require this report again in the future? 
YES NO MAYBE

Thank you for your data request! Commercial Data Division

Policy & Economics Branch 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, 176 Portland Street P.O. Box 1035 Dartmouth, NS B2Y 4T3 Fax: (902) 426-3254 Email: <u>XMARComData@dfo-mpo.gc.ca</u>

DFO Maritimes Region - List of Species			
Groundfish	Pelagic & Estuarial	Mollusc & Crustacean	Other
AMERICAN PLAICE	ALEWIVES/GASPEREAU	CLAMS, BAR	CLAMS, STIMPSON SURF MANTLE
CATFISH	ARGENTINE	CLAMS, PROPELLOR	DULSE
COD	EEL	CLAMS, QUAHAUGS	FINS, FISH UNSPECIFIED
CUSK	HERRING	CLAMS, SOFT SHELL	IRISH MOSS
DOGFISH	MACKEREL	CLAMS, STIMPSON SURF	KELP
FLOUNDER, UNSPECIFIED	MAHI MAHI (DOLPHIN)	CLAMS, UNSPECIFIED	LIVERS, UNSPECIFIED
GREENLAND HALIBUT/TURBOT	MARLIN BLUE	COCKLES	MARINE PLANT
GREYSOLE/WITCH	MARLIN WHITE	CRAB, JONAH	NORI MOSS
GROUNDFISH, UNSPECIFIED	OTHER FIN FISH UNSPECIFIED	CRAB, RED	ROCKWEED
HADDOCK	PELAGIC, UNSPECIFIED	CRAB, ROCK	TONGUE, SOUNDS AND CHEEKS
HAGFISH (SLIME EEL)	SHAD	CRAB, SNOW	
HALIBUT	SHARK, BASKING	CRAB, SPIDER/TOAD	
LUMPFISH	SHARK, BLUE	CRAB, STONE	
MONKFISH	SHARK, DUSKY	CRAB, UNSPECIFIED	
POLLOCK	SHARK, MAKO	LOBSTER	
RED HAKE	SHARK, PORBEAGLE/MACKEREL	OCEAN QUAHAUG	
REDFISH	SHARK, THRESHER	OYSTERS, AMERICAN	
ROUNDNOSE GRENADIER	SHARK, TIGER	PERIWINKLES	
SCULPIN	SHARK, UNSPECIFIED	SCALLOP, ICELAND	
SILVER HAKE	SMELTS	SCALLOP, ROE	
SKATE	STRIPED BASS	SCALLOP, SEA	
SUMMER FLOUNDER	STURGEON	SEA CUCUMBER	
TILEFISH	SUCKERS (MULLET, ETC.)	SEA URCHINS	
WHITE HAKE	SWORDFISH	SHRIMP, PANDALUS BOREALIS	
WINTER FLOUNDER	TUNA, ALBACORE	SHRIMP, PANDALUS MONTAGUI	
WOLFFISH, STRIPED	TUNA, BIGEYE	SQUID, ILLEX	
YELLOWTAIL	TUNA, BLUEFIN	SQUID, RED; LOLLIGO	
	TUNA, UNSPECIFIED	SQUID, UNSPECIFIED	
	TUNA, YELLOWFIN	WHELKS	
	WHITEFISH		

# **DFO Maritimes Region Data Request Form**

# **DFO Maritimes Data Request Service Standards**

- A receipt of the request and a tracking number will be issued within 2 business days of the initial request.
- Most requests can be completed within 10 business days of the issuance of the receipt and tracking number.
- We will endeavor to provide data within the time frame identified in the data request.
- If we are unable to meet this time frame, we will contact the client as soon as possible to determine an alternative schedule.
- Weekly progress updates will be provided for data requests which have time frames in excess of 2 week.

## llewis@simcorp.ca

From: Date:	"Shaun Allain" < @simcorp.ca> October-18-16 2:50 PM
To:	"Leah Lewis-McCrea" < @simcorp.ca>
Attach:	Commercial Data Request Form - ENGLISH.DOC; RE Request for data - RQ20161138.eml;
	RQ20161138 FINAL 16-10-18.xlsx; Certification .htm
Subject:	FW: Request for data - RQ20161138

From: XMARComData / XMARDonnéesCommerciales (DFO/MPO) [mailto:CommercialData.XMAR@dfompo.gc.ca]
Sent: October 18, 2016 2:43 PM
To: sallain@simcorp.ca
Cc: Grandy, Robert < Robert.Grandy@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: RE: Request for data - RQ20161138

Hi Shaun,

Thank-you for your data request (RQ20161138).

Attached is the data you requested on landings and other data points for DFO Maritimes Region Statistical Districts: 28,36,37,38 & 39. There are a number of data issues and release conditions regarding the data that I would like to bring to your attention.

Notes:

- All groupings and fields where landings or values are not provided are to maintain participant confidentiality.
- Data could not be presented by port, and is instead presented by statistical district.
- Statistical districts 38 & 39 are combined.
- Species were grouped in to three categories: Lobster, Groundfish and Other Species. Specific species included in each group are in the included species key.
- Small pelagic fishery species are highlighted in the species key, the specific landings are not broken out.
- Clam data landings are not broken out, but are listed in the species key if there were any landings reported in a specific district.
- There were Aboriginal landings reported in Districts: 27,36,37 & 38. Totals are not available.
- Recreational fisheries data is not included in our database.
- Data for the year 2015 is preliminary and as such may be incomplete and/or subject to change without notice.
- Data was run on October 18, 2016.

Conditions:

- The data is being released for the purpose that was identified in the data request form "We are requesting various aspects of fisheries related data in order to provide environmental consulting to a client on a number of regions in Nova Scotia."
- The data has been reviewed for confidentiality issues and is approved for public release.

If you have any other questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Thank you,

Colin O'Neil

Statistical Analyst, Policy & Economics Fisheries & Oceans Canada | Government of Canada Colin.ONeil@dfo-mpo.gc.ca | Telephone: 902-426-6242 | Facsimile: 902-426-3254

Analyste en statistique, Politiques et services économiques Pêches et Océans Canada | Gouvernement du Canada <u>Colin.ONeil@dfo-mpo.gc.ca</u> | Téléphone: 902-426-6242 | Télécopieur: 902-426-3254

If you have received this communication by mistake, please notify the sender immediately and delete the communication without printing, copying or forwarding it. Thank you.

Si vous avez reçu cette communication par erreur, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur immédiatement et la supprimer sans l'imprimer, la copier, ou la faire suivre. Merci.

From: Shaun Allain [mailto: @simcorp.ca] Sent: 2016–September-01 12:46 PM To: XMARComData / XMARDonnéesCommerciales (DFO/MPO) Subject: Request for data - RQ20161138 Importance: High

Hi there,

We are requesting various aspects of fisheries related data in order to provide environmental consulting to a client on a number or regions in Nova Scotia. We require the following data from landing ports in southwest Nova Scotia separated by ports;

- Liverpool
- Digby
- Annapolis Royal
- Westport
- Weymouth
- Any other port that may exist on Digby Neck/Brier Island?

We are looking for data on the most recent available annual landings. Specifically, we are looking for a list of the top 5 species landed at each port.

Are there any aboriginal fisheries in and around these ports? What type of gear is used? What species are caught? When was the last reported landings and which species were landed?

Are there any bait fisheries in and around these ports? If so, which species?

Do any small pelagic fisheries exist in these areas? If so, which species?

Do any recreational fisheries exist in these areas? What species are harvested? Or what species are caught and released?

Can clams be collected in these areas? If so, when?

The above information has also been included on the 'Data Request Form' (attached).

Page 3 of 3

Best regards, Shaun Allain, B. SC.

Marine Environmental Biologist Sweeney International Marine Corp NRC-IMB Research Facilities 1411 Oxford St. Suite 367/368 Halifax, NS Canada B3N 3Z1 902-492-7865

Maritimes	Species Landed	Weight	Value (\$)
Statistical	Category	Landed	
District		(Round KG)	
28	GROUNDFISH	38,374	373,652
	LOBSTER	1,083,743	15,660,669
	SPECIES, OTHER	7,428,176	15,879,344
	TOTAL	8,550,292	31,913,664
36	GROUNDFISH	1,818,903	3,027,354
	LOBSTER	2,122,711	30,759,225
	SPECIES, OTHER	1,931,363	3,338,675
	TOTAL	5,872,977	37,125,254
37	GROUNDFISH	204,029	1,072,258
	LOBSTER	2,251,523	32,143,447
	SPECIES, OTHER	220,731	547,053
	TOTAL	2,676,283	33,762,758
38&39	GROUNDFISH	177,099	420,741
	LOBSTER	2,898,078	40,951,586
	SPECIES, OTHER	11,262,144	29,096,031
	TOTAL	14,337,321	70,468,358
TOTAL		31,436,873	173,270,034

DFO Maritimes Region - Landings by Selected Statistical Districts - Year Landed 2015

DFO Maritimes Region - Landings by Selected Statistical Districts Species Key - Year Landed 2015

Maritimes	Data Request	Species Description
Statistical	Category	
District		
28	GROUNDFISH	COD
28	GROUNDFISH	СИЗК
28	GROUNDFISH	GREENLAND HALIBUT/TURBOT
28	GROUNDFISH	GREYSOLE/WITCH
28	GROUNDFISH	HADDOCK
28	GROUNDFISH	HALIBUT
28	GROUNDFISH	MONKFISH
28	GROUNDFISH	POLLOCK
28	GROUNDFISH	REDFISH
28	GROUNDFISH	SKATE
28	GROUNDFISH	WHITE HAKE
28	GROUNDFISH	WOLFFISH, STRIPED
28	LOBSTER	LOBSTER
28	SPECIES, OTHER	ALEWIVES/GASPEREAU
28	SPECIES, OTHER	CRAB, GREEN
28	SPECIES, OTHER	CRAB, JONAH
28	SPECIES, OTHER	CRAB, ROCK
28	SPECIES, OTHER	EEL
28	SPECIES, OTHER	ELVERS
28	SPECIES, OTHER	HERRING
28	SPECIES, OTHER	MACKEREL
28	SPECIES, OTHER	SCALLOP, SEA
28	SPECIES, OTHER	SHARK, PORBEAGLE/MACKEREL
28	SPECIES, OTHER	SWORDFISH
28	SPECIES, OTHER	TONGUE, SOUNDS AND CHEEKS
28	SPECIES, OTHER	TUNA, BLUEFIN
36	GROUNDFISH	AMERICAN PLAICE
36	GROUNDFISH	COD
36	GROUNDFISH	СUSК
36	GROUNDFISH	DOGFISH
36	GROUNDFISH	GREYSOLE/WITCH
36	GROUNDFISH	GROUNDFISH, UNSPECIFIED
36	GROUNDFISH	HADDOCK
36	GROUNDFISH	HALIBUT
36	GROUNDFISH	MONKFISH
36	GROUNDFISH	POLLOCK
36	GROUNDFISH	REDFISH
36	GROUNDFISH	SCULPIN
36	GROUNDFISH	SILVER HAKE
36	GROUNDFISH	SKATE

36	GROUNDFISH	WHITE HAKE
20		
36	GROUNDFISH	WINTER FLOUNDER
36	GROUNDFISH	YELLOWTAIL
36	LOBSTER	LOBSTER
36	SPECIES, OTHER	CLAMS, BAR
36	SPECIES, OTHER	CLAMS, QUAHAUGS
36	SPECIES, OTHER	CLAMS, SOFT SHELL
36	SPECIES, OTHER	CRAB, JONAH
36	SPECIES, OTHER	CRAB, ROCK
36	SPECIES, OTHER	HERRING
36	SPECIES, OTHER	OTHER FIN FISH UNSPECIFIED
36	SPECIES, OTHER	SCALLOP, SEA
36	SPECIES, OTHER	SHARK, MAKO
36	SPECIES, OTHER	SHARK, PORBEAGLE/MACKEREL
36	SPECIES, OTHER	TUNA, BLUEFIN
37	GROUNDFISH	COD
37	GROUNDFISH	СUSК
37	GROUNDFISH	GREYSOLE/WITCH
37	GROUNDFISH	HADDOCK
37	GROUNDFISH	HALIBUT
37	GROUNDFISH	MONKFISH
37	GROUNDFISH	POLLOCK
37	GROUNDFISH	REDFISH
37	GROUNDFISH	SCULPIN
37	GROUNDFISH	WHITE HAKE
37	GROUNDFISH	WINTER FLOUNDER
37	GROUNDFISH	WOLFFISH, STRIPED
37	LOBSTER	LOBSTER
37	SPECIES, OTHER	CLAMS, BAR
37	SPECIES, OTHER	CLAMS, QUAHAUGS
37	SPECIES, OTHER	CLAMS, SOFT SHELL
37	SPECIES, OTHER	CLAMS, UNSPECIFIED
37	SPECIES, OTHER	CRAB, JONAH
37	SPECIES, OTHER	CRAB, ROCK
37	SPECIES, OTHER	MACKEREL
37	SPECIES, OTHER	SCALLOP, SEA
37	SPECIES, OTHER	SEA URCHINS
37	SPECIES, OTHER	SHARK, MAKO
38&39	GROUNDFISH	COD
38&39	GROUNDFISH	GREYSOLE/WITCH
38&39	GROUNDFISH	HADDOCK
38&39	GROUNDFISH	HALIBUT
38&39	GROUNDFISH	MONKFISH
38&39	GROUNDFISH	POLLOCK
38&39	GROUNDFISH	REDFISH
38&39	GROUNDFISH	SCULPIN
38&39	GROUNDFISH	SKATE

38&39	GROUNDFISH	WHITE HAKE
38&39	GROUNDFISH	WINTER FLOUNDER
38&39	LOBSTER	LOBSTER
38&39	SPECIES, OTHER	CLAMS, BAR
38&39	SPECIES, OTHER	CLAMS, QUAHAUGS
38&39	SPECIES, OTHER	CLAMS, SOFT SHELL
38&39	SPECIES, OTHER	CLAMS, UNSPECIFIED
38&39	SPECIES, OTHER	CRAB, ROCK
38&39	SPECIES, OTHER	ELVERS
38&39	SPECIES, OTHER	HERRING
38&39	SPECIES, OTHER	SCALLOP, SEA
38&39	SPECIES, OTHER	SEA URCHINS

DFO Maritimes Region - Bait Catch by	y Selected Statistical Districts - Year Landed 2015
Di O Maritinico Region - Dalt Cateri b	y Sciected Statistical Districts - real canaca 2015

Maritimes	Species Landed
Statistical	
District	
28	Crab, Jonah
	Crab, Rock
	Herring
	Mackerel
36	Crab, Jonah
	Crab, Rock
	Herring
	Sculpin
	Winter Flounder
37	Crab, Jonah
	Crab, Rock
	Sculpin
38	Crab, Rock
	Sculpin
	Winter Flounder
39	Crab, Rock

## Data Request Notes:

- All groupings and fields where landings or values are not provided are to maintain participan
- Data could not be presented by port, and is instead presented by statistical district.
- Statistical districts 38 & 39 are combined.
- Species were grouped in to three categories: Lobster, Groundfish and Other Species. Specifi
- Small pelagic fishery species are highlighted in the species key, the specific landings are not
- Clam data landings are not broken out, but are listed in the species key if there were any land
- There were Aboriginal landings reported in Districts: 27,36,37 & 38. Totals are not available
- Recreational fisheries data is not included in our database.
- Data for the year 2015 is preliminary and as such may be incomplete and/or subject to chang
- Data was run on October 18, 2016.
- DFO Maritimes Region CDD Data Request RQ20161138.

t confidentiality.

c species included in each group are in the included species key. broken out. lings reported in a specific district. e.

e without notice.

APPENDIX B Wildlife Interaction Plan

# Wildlife Interaction Plan

for Marine Salmon Farms on the East Coast of North America

Cooke Aquaculture Inc. Version 18.07-04

This Wildlife Interaction Plan (WIP) has been created to meet the requirements for Section 7 Environment – Predator and Wildlife Interactions of the Best Aquaculture Practices (BAP) Salmon Farms Standard. The guidance and practice herein have and will continue to be followed by all North American employees of Cooke Aquaculture who are employed in the Saltwater Division and those who directly interact with the salmon farms. This plan merely acts as an overall summary of the current requirements that each salmon farm must follow and in the effect of any conflict of information or direction between this document and the requirements, the requirements will prevail.

## **Table of Contents**

	-page-
Section 1; Local Laws and Regulations for Wildlife Management and Protection	2
Canadian Federal Legislation	2
Canadian Provincial Legislation	2
United States Federal Legislation	3
State of Maine Legislation	3
Section 2; Specific Conditions of Operating Permits for Wildlife Management and Protection	4
New Brunswick	4
Newfoundland	4
Nova Scotia	4
Maine	4
Section 3; Local Endangered or Threatened Species	5
Atlantic Canada	5
Maine	6
Section 4; Map of Sensitive Areas	8
Atlantic Canada	8
Maine	12
Section 5; Risk Assessment	13
Atlantic Canada Aquaculture Sites and the Species at Risk Act (SARA)	13
Maine Aquaculture Sites and the Maine Endangered Species Act	13
Section 6; Reporting and Training	14
SARA Reporting	14
Nuisance Seal Reporting	14
General Predator Interactions	14
Canadian Wildlife Service Permit	15
Section 7; Control Measures	16
Passive Control Measures	16
Active Control Measures	16
Lethal Control Measures	16
Daily Inspections	16
Section 8; Special Requirements	17
Newfoundland	17

## **Referenced Materials**

- 1 New Brunswick SARA List
- 2 Newfoundland SARA List
- 3 Nova Scotia SARA List
- 4 Maine DIFW: Maine's Endangered, Threatened & Recovered Species
- 5 Maine DMR: Endangered or Threatened Marine Species
- 6 USFWS: Threatened or Endangered Species
- 7 USFWS: Nationally Significant Seabird, Wading Bird and Eagle Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine
- 8 Acoustic Deterrent Policy

## Section 1; Local Laws and Regulations for Wildlife Management and Protection

#### 1.1 Canadian Federal Legislation

- Aquaculture Activities Regulations (AAR), 2015 Fisheries and Oceans Canada has developed the Aquaculture Activities Regulations, to clarify conditions under which aquaculture operators may treat their fish and deposit organic matter, while ensuring the protection of fish and fish habitat and sector sustainability.
- Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012 CEAA is an environmental assessment focused on potential adverse environmental effects that are within federal jurisdiction, including: fish and fish habitat; other aquatic species; migratory birds; federal lands; effects that cross provincial or international boundaries; effects that impact on Aboriginal peoples, such as their use of lands and resources for traditional purposes; changes to the environment that are directly linked to or necessarily incidental to any federal decisions about a project. If there is a Provincial requirement for an environmental assessment or review, the applicant has an exemption form the CEAA.
- **Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999** an Act respecting pollution prevention and the protection of the environment and human health to contribute to sustainable development.
- **Fisheries Act, 1985** established to manage and protect Canada's fisheries resources. It applies to all fishing zones, territorial seas and inland waters of Canada and is binding to federal, provincial and territorial governments.
- Marine Mammal Regulations, 1993 regulations that govern the fishing and hunting and in effect treatment of marine mammals in Canada.
- Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994 protecting and conserving migratory birds.
- **Oceans Act, 1997** Canada made a legal commitment to conserve, protect and develop the oceans in a sustainable manner.
- Species at Risk Act (SARA), 2002 The purposes of this Act are to prevent wildlife species from being extirpated or becoming extinct, to provide for the recovery of wildlife species that are extirpated, endangered or threatened because of human activity and to manage species of special concern to prevent them from becoming endangered or threatened.

#### 1.2 Canadian Provincial Legislation

#### 1.2.1 New Brunswick

- <u>Clean Environment Act, 1973</u> the Clean Environment Act contains many regulations that are centered on dealing with materials and actions that can contaminate the physical environment. It includes above and below surface level.
- <u>Clean Water Act, 1989</u> Governs water quality in the Province of New Brunswick.
- <u>Clean Air Act, 1997</u> supports and promotes the protection, restoration, enhancement and wise use of the environment.
- <u>Crown Lands and Forests Act, 1980</u> the Minister is responsible for the development, utilization, protection and integrated management of the resources of Crown Lands, including habitat for the maintenance of fish and wildlife populations.
- <u>Species at Risk Act (SARA), 2012</u> the purposes of this Act are to prevent wildlife species from being extirpated or becoming extinct, to provide for the recovery of wildlife species that are extirpated, endangered or threatened as a result of human activity and to manage species of special concern to prevent them from becoming endangered or threatened.



#### 1.2.2 Nova Scotia

- <u>Aquaculture Management Regulations, 2015</u> Regulations under the Fisheries and Coastal Resource Act for the management and development of the aquaculture industry – specifically regarding aquaculture management and licensing.
- <u>Endangered Species Act, 1998</u> the purpose of this Act is to provide for the protection, designation, recovery and other relevant aspects of conservation of species at risk in the Province, including habitat protection.

#### 1.2.3 Newfoundland

- <u>NL Endangered Species Act, 2001</u> provides special protection for plant and animal species considered to be endangered, threatened, or vulnerable in the province.
- <u>Wilderness and Ecological Reserves Act, 1990</u> an act to provide for the natural areas in the province to be set aside for the benefit, education and enjoyment of the people of the province.

#### 1.3 United States Federal Legislation

- Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C 1531 et seq.) requires federal agencies, in consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the U.S National Oceanic and Atmosphere Administration (NOAA) Fisheries Service, to ensure that actions they authorize, fund, or carry out are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat of such species.
- Clean Water Act of 1972 (Formerly the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1948) (33 U.S.C 1251 et seq.) under this Act, it is unlawful for any person to discharge any pollutant from a point of source into navigable waters, unless a permit is obtained under its provisions.
- **Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972** (16 U.S.C. 1451-1465) this act, administered by NOAA, provides for the management of the nation's coastal resources, including the Great Lakes. The goal is to "preserve, protect, develop, and where possible, to restore or enhance the resources of the nation's coastal zone."
- **Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918** (16 U.S.C 703-712) protecting and conserving migratory birds, or the parts, nests, or eggs of such birds.

#### 1.4 State Legislation

#### 1.4.1 Maine

- <u>Maine Endangered Species Act, 1975</u> to conserve, by according such protection as is necessary to maintain and enhance all species of fish or wildlife found in the State, as well as the ecosystems upon which they depend.
- <u>Maine Coastal Management Program, 1978</u> led by the Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation, and Forestry. The coastal management program consists of a network of 19 state laws with four state agencies working in cooperation with local governments, nonprofit organizations, private businesses, and the public to improve management of coastal resources. Maine's coastal zone extends to the inland boundary of all towns bordering tidal waters and includes all coastal islands.



## Section 2; Specific Conditions of Operating Permits for Wildlife Management and Protection

#### 2.1 New Brunswick

- **2.1.1** License: Schedule A; this license may be suspended or revoked should the licensee fail to comply with the *Clean Water Act*, the *Clean Environment Act*, the *Navigation Protection Act* (formerly the *Navigable Water Protection Act*), the Federal *Fisheries* Act or the *Crown Lands and Forests Act*, the *Public Health Act*, the *Seafood Processing Act*, the *Fish and Wildlife Act*, or any other applicable law.
- **2.1.2 Approval to Operate:** Schedule A; the Approval Holder shall ensure that all wastes generated throughout the operation and maintenance of the Facility are managed and disposed; shall operate the Facility to minimize impacts to benthic environment below the facility, and; shall operate the facility so as to minimize noise emission impacts to off-site receptors in accordance with the most recent version of the Environmental Management Program for the Marine Finfish Cage Aquaculture Industry in New Brunswick.

#### 2.2 Newfoundland

- **2.2.1** Lease: Schedule C; the use of the demised premises will, for its intended purpose, be subject to and in accordance with all provincial acts and regulations respecting the promotion of efficient aquaculture and environmental control.
- **2.2.2** License: The proponent is required to complete, on an annual basis, a DFO Finfish Aquaculture Farm Monitoring Report for Fish Habitat.
- **2.2.3** Water Use Permit: The Licensee/Holder shall not impair, pollute or cause to be polluted the quality of water.

#### 2.3 Nova Scotia

**2.3.1** Lease & License: Any undertakings required by Schedule "B" to this license, and any permits, protocols, approvals, licenses or permissions which may be required under the laws of the Province or Canada form part of this Agreement, and the Licensee hereby agrees to comply with any conditions or limitations contained in these requirements unless compliance for licensing purposes is expressly waived by the Minister.

#### 2.4 Maine

- 2.4.1 DMR Lease: DMR Rule Chapter 2.37; Area Resources (Essential Habitats/Endangered Species) Under the Maine Endangered Species Act a state agency or municipal government shall not permit, license, fund or carry out projects occurring partly or wholly within the Essential Habitat, without the approval of the Commissioner of MDIFW. Applicants are required to provide a signed statement to confirm the proposed lease either does not fall within the boundary of an Essential Habitat or that the applicant has contacted MDIF&W and preliminary review will grant approval for the MDMR to issue an aquaculture lease within part or the entire boundary of a designated Essential Habitat. No nuisance shall be permitted to exist on the leased premises. Lessee shall not operate in such a fashion as to be detrimental to public health, personal property or marine resources, or as to create a serious threat to the marine environment.
- **2.4.2 ACOE Permit:** Appendix C; Special Conditions which are intended to minimize potential impact to Atlantic salmon, Atlantic salmon critical habitat, other fisheries, benthic habitat, and local water quality.
- **2.4.3 DEP Permit:** PART II.I.1-8 (Protection of Atlantic Salmon)



## Section 3; Local Endangered or Threatened Species

#### 3.1 Atlantic Canada

The following species are listed as endangered or threatened in Atlantic Canada:

- E = Endangered under the SARA and listed on COSEWIC
- **T** = **Threatened** under the SARA and listed on COSEWIC
- s = Special Concern under the SARA and listed on COSEWIC
- c = COSEWIC Designation, no SARA Status

#### Birds

- 1 Bank Swallow (*Riparia riparia*) c
- 2 Barn Swallow (*Hirundo rustica*) c
- 3 Barrow's Goldeneye (Bucephala islandica) s
- 4 Canada Warbler (*Wilsonig anadensis*) **T**
- 5 Chimney Swift (Chaetura pelagica) **T**
- 6 Common Nighthawk (Chordeiles minor) T
- 7 Eastern Wood Peewee (*Contopus virens*) c
- 8 Harlequin Duck (Histrionicus histrionicus) s
- 9 Ivory Gull (Pagophila eburnean) E
- 10 Olive-sided Flycatcher (Contopus cooperi) T
- 11 Peregrine Falcon Anatum Subspecies (Falco peregrinus anatum) c
- 12 Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus) E
- 13 Red Knot Rufa (Calidris canutus rufa) E
- 14 Roseate Tern (Sterna dougallii) E
- 15 Rusty Blackbird (Euphagus carolinus) s
- 16 Short-eared Owl (Asio flammeus) s

#### Fish

- 17 American Eel (Anguilla rostrate) c
- 18 American Plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*) c
- 19 Atlantic Bluefin Tuna (Thunnus thynnus) c
- 20 Atlantic Cod Newfoundland and Labrador, Laurentian North and South, Southern Populations (*Gadus morhua*) **E**
- 21 Atlantic Salmon Inner Bay of Fundy, Eastern Cape Breton, Outer Bay of Fundy, Nova Scotia Southern Upland Populations (Salmo salar) **E**
- 22 Atlantic Sturgeon Maritime Population (Acipenser oxyrinchus) c
- 23 Atlantic Whitefish (Coregonus huntsman) E
- 24 Atlantic Wolffish (Anarhichas lupus) s
- 25 Basking Shark Atlantic Population (Cetorhinus maximus) c
- 26 Blue Shark (Prionace glauca) c
- 27 Porbeagle (Lamna nasus) E
- 28 Shortfin Mako Atlantic Population (Isurus oxyrinchus) c
- 29 Smooth Skate Lauranian-Scotian Population (Malacoraja senta) c
- 30 Spiny Dogfish (Squalus acanthias) c
- 31 Spotted Wolffish (Anarhichas minor) T
- 32 Striped Bass Bay of Fundy, St. Lawrence River Populations (Morone saxatillis) E
- 33 Thorny Skate (Amblyraja radiata) c
- 34 White Shark (Carcharodon Carcharias) E
- 35 White Hake (Urophycis tenuis) c
- 36 Winter Skate Georges Bank, Western Scotian Shelf, Bay of Fundy Populations (Leucoraja ocellate) c



#### Mammals

- 37 Blue Whale (Balaenoptera musculus) E
- 38 Fin Whale (Balaenoptera physalus) s
- 39 Harbour Porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) s
- 40 Humpback Whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) s
- 41 Killer Whale Northwest Atlantic Population (Orcinus orca) c
- 42 North Atlantic Right Whale (Eubalaena glacialis) E
- 43 Sowerby's Beaked Whale (Mesoplodon bidens) s

#### Turtles

- 44 Leatherback Sea Turtle Atlantic Population (Dermochelys coriacea) E
- 45 Loggerhead Sea Turtle (*Caretta caretta*) **c**

#### 3.2 Maine

The following species are listed as endangered or threatened in Maine:

**F** = **Federally Endangered** under the U.S. Endangered Species Act

- f = federally threatened under the U.S. Endangered Species Act
- **S= State Endangered** under the Maine Endangered Species Act
- **s** = **state threatened** under the Maine Endangered Species Act

#### Beetles

1 American Burying Beetle (Nicrophorus americanus) F

#### Birds

- 2 American Pipit (Anthus rubescens) (Breeding population only) S
- 3 Arctic Tern (Sterna paradisaea) s
- 4 Atlantic Puffin (*Fratercula arctica*) **s**
- 5 Barrow's Goldeneye (Buchephala islandica) s
- 6 Black-crowned Night Heron (*Nycticorax nycticorax*) s
- 7 Black Tern (Chlidonias niger) S
- 8 Common Moorhen (Gallinula chloropus) s
- 9 Eskimo Curlew (Numenius borealis) F
- 10 Golden Eagle (Aquila chrysaetos) S
- 11 Grasshopper Sparrow (Ammodramus savannarum) S
- 12 Great Cormorant (Phalacrocorax carbo) (Breeding population only) s
- 13 Harlequin Duck (Histrionicus histrionicus) s
- 14 Least Bittern (*Lxobrychus exilis*) **S**
- 15 Least Tern (Sterna antillarum) S
- 16 Peregrine Falcon (Falco peregrinus) (Breeding population only) S
- 17 Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus) S f
- 18 Razorbill (Alca torda) s
- 19 Roseate Tern (Sterna dougallii) S F
- 20 Sedge Wren (Cistothorus platensis) S
- 21 Short-eared Owl (Asio flammeus) (Breeding population only) s
- 22 Upland Sandpiper (Bartramia longicauda) s

#### Fish

- 23 Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar) F
- 24 Redfin Pickerel (Esox americanus americanus) S
- 25 Shortnose Sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum) F
- 26 Swamp Darter (Etheostoma fusiforme) s



#### **Butterflies and Skippers**

- 27 Clayton's Copper (Lycaena dorcas claytoni) S
- 28 Edwards' Hairstreak (Satyrium edwardsii) S
- 29 Hessel's Hairstreak (Callophrys hesseli) S
- 30 Juniper Hairstreak (Callophrys gryneus) S
- 31 Karner Blue (Lycaeides melissa samuelis) F
- 32 Katahdin Arctic (Oeneis polixenes katahdin) S
- 33 Purple Lesser Fritillary (Boloria chariclea grandis) s
- 34 Sleepy Duskywing (Erynnis brizo) s

#### **Dragonflies and Damselflies**

- 35 Boreal Snaketail (Ophiogomphus colubrinus) s
- 36 Rapids Clubtail (Gomphus quadricolor) S
- 37 Ringed Boghaunter (Williamsonia lintneri) s

#### **Freshwater Mussels**

- 38 Brook Floater (Alasmidonta varicosa) s
- 39 Tidewater Mucket (Leptodea ochracea) s
- 40 Yellow Lampmussel (Lampsilis cariosa) s

#### Mayflies

- 41 Flat-headed Mayfly (Roaring Brook Mayfly) (Epeorus frisoni) S
- 42 Tomah Mayfly (Siphlonisca aerodromia) s

#### Moths

- 43 Pine Barrens Zanclognatha (Zanclognatha martha) s
- 44 Twilight Moth (Lycia rachelae) s

#### Mammals

- 45 Canada Lynx (Lynx canadensis) f
- 46 Eastern Cougar (Felis concolor couguar) F
- 47 Finback Whale (Balaenoptera physalus) F
- 48 Gray Wolf (Canis lupus) F
- 49 Humpback Whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) **F**
- 50 New England Cottontail (Sylvilagus transitionalis) S
- 51 Northern Bog Lemming (Synaptomys borealis) s
- 52 Northern Right Whale (Eubalaena glacialis) F
- 53 Sei Whale (Balaenoptera borealis) F
- 54 Sperm Whale (Physeter catodon) F

#### Snakes

55 Black Racer (Coluber constrictor) S


#### Turtles

- 56 Atlantic Ridley (Lepidochelys kempi) F
- 57 Blanding's Turtle (Emys blandingii) S
- 58 Box Turtle (*Terrapene carolina*) **S**
- 59 Leatherback (Dermochelys coriacea) F
- 60 Loggerhead (Caretta caretta) f
- 61 Spotted Turtle (*Clemmys guttata*) s



## Section 4; Map of Sensitive Areas

#### 4.1 Atlantic Canada



4.1.1 National Wildlife Areas and Migratory Bird Sanctuaries in New Brunswick

National Wildlife Areas			
No.	Name	Year Established	Size in Hectares
1	Cape Jourimain	1980	662
2	Portage Island	1979	349
3	Portobello Creek	1995	2,154
4	Shepody	1980	1,069
5	Tintamarre	1977	1,941

Migratory Bird Sanctuaries			
No.	Name	Year Established	Size in Hectares
1	Grand Manan MBS	1931	433
2	Inkerman MBS	1998	16
3	Machias Seal Island MBS	1944	1,046



4.1.2 Migratory Bird Sanctuaries and Wilderness and Ecological Reserves in Newfoundland and Labrador.

There are no Canadian Wildlife Service of Environment Canada designated National Wildlife Areas in Newfoundland and Labrador. However, there are 3 designated Migratory Bird Sanctuaries. The first two are located near Belle Isle, off the northeast coast of Newfoundland, the third is located in the Bonavista Bay region of northeastern Newfoundland, adjacent to Terra Nova Provincial Park.

Migratory Bird Sanctuaries			
No.	Name	Year Established	Size in Hectares
1	Shepherd Island	1991	18
2	lle aux Canes	1991	162
3	Terra Nova	1967	1,178


The government of Newfoundland and Labrador has designated 18 wilderness and ecological reserves which protect wide-ranging caribou herds, diverse seabird colonies, globally important fossil sites, and habitat for endangered or threatened plants and animals. Several protected areas are representative examples of the province's natural regions.

Wilderness reserves are large protected areas (greater than 1,000 km<sup>2</sup>) that are designed to protect significant natural features and landscapes. There are two wilderness reserves in Newfoundland the Avalon and the Bay du Nord and none in Labrador which were created primarily to protect the habitat and range of a caribou herd.

Ecological reserves are protected areas (less than 1,000 km<sup>2</sup>) that were created for two main purposes:


- 1. To protect representative examples of ecosystems or ecoregions, or
- To protect unique, rare, or endangered plants, animals, or other elements of our natural heritage.

Most of the reserves in the second category are divided into three general typesbotanical, fossil, and seabird ecological reserves.





### 4.1.3 National Wildlife Areas and Migratory Bird Sanctuaries in Nova Scotia



	National Wildlife Areas			
No.	Name	Year Established	Size in Hectares	
1	Boot Island	1979	107	
2	Chignecto	1978	432	
3	John Lusby Marsh	1982	552	
4	Sand Pond	1977	531	
5	Sea Wolf Island	1982	76	
6	Wallace Bay	1980	783	

	Migratory Bird Sanctuaries			
No.	Name	Year Established	Size in Hectares	
1	Amherst Point	1947	432	
2	Big Glace Bay Lake	1939	393	
3	Port Herbert	1980	346	
4	Kentville	1939	506	
5	Port Joli	1941	346	
6	Sable River	1941	397	
7	Sable Island	1977	3,100	
8	Haley Lake	1941	313	



## 4.1.3 Marine Protected Areas

Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) are defined geographic areas dedicated to and managed for the long-term conservation of nature. The Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) Canada establishes and manages MPAs under the Oceans Act in order to conserve numerous aspects which include, but are not limited to, commercial and non-commercial fishery resources, endangered or threatened marine species, unique habitats and other marine resources, or habitats necessary to fulfill the DFOs mandate of scientific research.

As of May 2018, there are 11 MPAs designated across Canada, 6 of these are in Atlantic Canada.

- Basin Head located off the eastern tip of PEI, about 100 km east of Charlottetown.
- Eastport surrounds Round Island and Duck Islands located in Bonavista Bay, roughly 3 hours drive from St. John's, Newfoundland
- Gilbert Bay located 300km from Happy Valley-Goose Bay on the south coast of Labrador.
- The Gully located 200km of the coast of Nova Scotia and east of Sable Island.
- Musquash Estuary located 20km southwest of Saint John, New Brunswick with the boundary defined by low tide water levels.
- St. Anns Bank located east of Scatarie Island, off Cape Breton, Nova Scotia.



#### 4.2 Maine

#### 4.2.1 Maine Natural Areas Program

Ecological Reserves are lands specifically set aside to protect and monitor the State of Maine's natural ecosystems. These lands are managed by the Bureau of Parks and Public Lands, and the Maine Natural Areas Program oversees the long-term ecological monitoring plan. As of 2013, Maine has designated more than 90,000 acres of Ecological Reserves on 17 public land units. The purposes of the Reserves are:

- 1. To maintain one or more natural community types or native ecosystem types in a natural condition and range of variation and contribute to the protection of Maine's biological diversity,
- 2. To act as a benchmark against which biological and environmental change may be measured, as a site for ongoing scientific research, long-term environmental monitoring and education, and
- 3. To protect sufficient habitat for those species whose habitat needs are unlikely to be met on lands managed for other purposes.

Reserves were designated following a multi-year inventory and assessment project coordinated by the Maine Forest Biodiversity Project, with staff assistance from The Nature Conservancy, the Maine Natural Areas Program, and the Bureau of Parks and Public Lands. In total, there are 17 Maine Ecological Reserves as of July 2018 - ranging in size from 775 acres at Wassataquoik Stream to over 11,000 acres at Nahmakanta.

Factsheets on each of the reserves are available through the Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry website (<u>https://www.maine.gov/dacf/mnap/reservesys/factsheets.htm</u>

- Big Spencer Mountain
- Bigelow Preserve
- <u>Chamberlain Lake/Lock Dam</u>
- <u>Cutler Preserve</u>
- <u>Deboullie</u>
- Duck Lake
- Gero Island
- Great Heath
- Mahoosucs Unit
- Mt. Abraham
- Nahmakanta
- Number Five Bog
- <u>Rocky Lake</u>
- <u>Salmon Brook Lake</u>
- <u>St. John Ponds</u>
- Tunk Lake Area, including Donnell Pond and Spring River Lake
- Wassataquoik Stream

The US Fish and Wildlife Service and Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife has identified coastal islands that support nesting pairs of seabirds, wading birds, and bald eagles. A table of these areas is attached to this document.

The Cross Island (MACH CI2) is located near one of these islands and a line of impasse is described in the Army Corp of Engineers Permit for MACH CI2 (1989) and that no aquaculture gear can be placed south of this line.



## Section 5; Risk Assessment

#### 5.1 Atlantic Canada Aquaculture Sites and the Species at Risk Act (SARA)

The Species at Risk Act is a key federal government commitment "to prevent wildlife species from being extirpated or becoming extinct, to provide for the recovery of wildlife species that are extirpated, endangered or threatened as a result of human activity and to manage species of special concern to prevent them from becoming endangered or threatened."<sup>1</sup> SARA provides for the legal protection of wildlife species and the conservation of their biological diversity.

When creating New Site and Boundary Amendment Applications, endangered, at risk and threatened species that have been or may be found in the area of the site have to be identified. For some species it is easy to determine whether or not they would be found in the area, for others it has to be assumed they could be found there as the limited available data does not state otherwise. Species listed under the Federal SARA (Species at Risk Act) designation must be protected.

#### 5.2 Maine Aquaculture Sites and the Maine Endangered Species Act

The Maine Endangered Species Act provides the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (MDIFW) with a mandate to conserve all of the species of fish and wildlife found in the State, as well as the ecosystems upon which they depend.

Under the Maine Endangered Species Act, as stated in Maine aquaculture site DMR Leases, a state agency or municipal government shall not permit, license, fund or carry out projects occurring partly or wholly within the Essential Habitat, without the approval of the Commissioner of MDIFW.

Applicants are required to provide a signed statement to confirm the proposed lease either does not fall within the boundary of an Essential Habitat or that the applicant has contacted MDIFW and preliminary review will grant approval for the Maine Department of Marine Resources (MDMR) to issue an aquaculture lease within part or all the boundary of a designated Essential Habitat.



## Section 6; Reporting and Training

Farm staff will be trained in recognizing endangered, threatened and protected species they may see from their farm and a system for recording and reporting such observations to farm management. A Standard Operating Procedure for Predator Interaction is also included in the Fish Health Management Plan available on each site.

#### 6.1 SARA Reporting

Species identified on the Provincial Protected Wildlife factsheets are protected under SARA (Species at Risk Act) and COSEWIC (Committee on the status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada) and have been or could be found in the area of aquaculture sites in Atlantic Canada.

If any of these animals are found in distress around the aquaculture sites, Canadian Coast Guard should be contacted at 1-800-565-1633.

If the animals are observed around the aquaculture sites, care should be exercised to avoid causing them any harm.

#### 6.2 Nuisance Seal Reporting

A Nuisance Seal license may be obtained from the Department of Fisheries and Oceans under the Marine Mammal Regulations. It authorizes producers to harvest those seals that have been observed to be causing damage to aquaculture gear, or fish entrapped in aquaculture gear.

The license holder shall submit a catch report annually which identifies:

- a. The day, month, year on which any seals were taken
- b. The location where any seals were taken
- c. The number of seals recovered
- d. The number of seals struck but not recovered

The catch report shall be mailed to the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (see permit for address).

#### 6.3 General Predator Interactions

Due to the environment in which we operate, wildlife interactions will be unavoidable – both positive or neutral and negative (predator).

Positive or neutral interactions may require management notification if the species is listed on a Species at Risk list or other similar document.

Negative or predator interactions should be noted to determine if there is an increase or decrease in activity. If a predator is persistent or there is the potential for endangerment of employees, deterrence methods may be required. Any interaction, whether intentional or accidental, must be reported.

An IMS Incident Report Form must be completed and submitted in the event of a negative predator interaction – hard copy or via Pronto Forms on an iPad.



## CAI Wildlife Interaction Plan for Marine Salmon Farms on the East Coast of North America

#### 6.4 Canadian Wildlife Service Permit

Marine birds may become entangled, trapped or oiled from gear or chemicals on an aquaculture site. The first step to preventing such emergencies is prevention. Continually checking nets for integrity and avoiding oil, gas and chemical spills is important.

If a large spill does occur, immediately contact Coast Guard (CG) at 1-800-565-1633 and activate the Spill Prevention and Response Plan (SPRP) or Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan. If wildlife is not initially affected, it should be kept out of the spill area, if possible.

Migratory birds are protected under the Migratory Birds Convention Act and some species are also protected under the Species at Risk Act (SARA); this protection can extend to the point where evening handling these species is <u>not allowed without a Canadian Wildlife Service Permit.</u>

Common sense must prevail in all circumstances and caution must be exercised when dealing with birds. In stressful situations, birds may react with more force in an attempt to protect themselves. As well, birds can carry diseases and parasites which may be transmitted to humans. If a bird can be easily released from entrapment without handling, this may be attempted by site workers. Workers should not touch birds, regardless of the situation. If a bird must be handled, clean work gloves must be worn and the bird handled with care. If an incident cannot be resolved, Canadian Wildlife Services should be contacted (506-364-5068) for further direction. A permit may become necessary to handle and transport the bird to a rehabilitation facility.

Any instances of wildlife interaction shall be recorded on the IMS Incident Report Form.

If any of these species are found around the sites in distress, the Canadian Coast Guard should be contacted immediately at 1-800-565-5068. The Coast Guard can help confirm the identity of the bird(s) in question. Workers must describe the scenario (entanglement, chemical spill, etc.) which caused the distress, if known, as well as the location of the species. Proper directions and/or coordinates are essential to help experts arrive in time.

Migratory birds that are more commonly seen around the sites or have the greatest potential to be seen include:



Ivory Gull





Roseate Tern



## **Section 7; Control Measures**

Any measures taken to protect fish from predators are always carried out in a manner that considers predator welfare and does not endanger the predator population; however, if a predator cannot be deterred and is threatening the security of the containment, it may be dispatched in accordance with Government Policy and Saltwater Management consent.

#### 7.1 Passive Control Measures

The primary containment net will be protected from predators by the use of a predator net as needed. The predator net mesh size will be consistent with that utilized in the area for controlling access by predators. Bird nets shall be present over top of each containment net when fish are present and only pulled back to allow access to the cage.

#### 7.2 Active Control Measures

Non-Lethal, acoustic deterrent devices may be used on sites to discourage birds from landing on the cages. Usage of underwater acoustic devices must be administered under Regulatory approval and following the Acoustic Deterrent Policy.

#### 7.3 Lethal Control Measures

Lethal control measures for predators are prohibited, unless there is a permit in place and actions are carried out according to said permit under the instructions and guidance of Senior Management.

#### 7.4 Daily Inspections

Daily inspections are required on each cage with fish. Any debris should be removed from around or in the cages including garbage, large sticks, and excessive amounts of kelp or rockweed. Waterlines or handrail ties that are missing, broken or chaffed should be replaced. Any lines that are untied must be retied.

For larger repairs, such as broken, chaffed or missing bridals, weight ring ropes or camera lines should be reported to the Site Manager as these types of repairs may require the use of divers, maintenance vessels, or plastic welders.

Any holes discovered in the netting should immediately be repaired, if able, or reported to the Site Manager so that divers can be called in to assess and check for signs of fish escapement.



## Section 8; Special Requirements

#### 8.1 Newfoundland

Interactions between wildlife and aquaculture facilities are bound to occur from time to time. Therefore, our activities should be conducted with respect and care for the local wildlife, ensuring that harmful encounters are minimized.

In cases where you do encounter entangled birds, other wildlife and marine mammals on your site, whether alive or dead, you must contact the following authorities for their information and action;

- Birds and other wildlife: notify the local Conservation Officer, Department of Environment and Conservation (in the Bay D'Espoir area the phone number is 882-2200). If the animal in question is an eagle, you should also contact the Conne River Band Council.
- Marine mammals and fish (tuna, etc.): contact the local Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada Conservation and Protection Officer in your community.

In the case of wild animals that are alive, the province's Department of Environment and Conservation has a "Wildlife Care and Rehabilitation Program" at Salmonier Nature Park. The local Conservation Officer will be able to determine if the animal in question should be sent to the Salmonier Park.

If a dead animal is encountered, it should be retrieved where possible, treated respectfully, and turned over to the appropriate authority when directed to do so. In the case of bald eagles, the Conservations Officer will make properly permitted arrangements to turn them over to the Conne River Band Council for respectful burial at Conne River.



# REFERENCED MATERIALS

1 – New Brunswick SARA List

2 – Newfoundland SARA List

3 – Nova Scotia SARA List

4 – Maine DIFW: Maine's Endangered, Threatened & Recovered Species

5 – Maine DMR: Endangered or Threatened Marine Species

6 - USFWS: Threatened or Endangered Species

7 – USFWS: Nationally Significant Seabird, Wading Bird and Eagle Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine

8 – Acoustic Deterrent Policy



#### New Brunswick's Protected Wildlife

The following species are protected under SARA (Species at Risk Act) and COSEWIC (Committee on the status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada) and have been or could be found in the area of southwestern NB's aquaculture sites. If any of these animals are found in distress around the aquaculture sites, Canadian Coast Guard should be contacted at **1-800-565-1633**. If the animals are observed around the aquaculture sites, care should be exercised to avoid causing them any harm.



Atlantic Cod (Gadus morhua)

Habitat: Shoreline to continental shelf in Northeast Atlantic Description: Brown to green or grey with spots on dorsal surface, pale underside. Distinctive chin barbell. 3 dorsal fins and 2 anal fins. Max. size: 2 m, 96 kg



#### North Atlantic Right Whale (Eubalaena glacialis)

<u>Habitat:</u> Temperate northern waters in summer <u>Description:</u> Large black baleen whale distinguished by the callosities (thick, hard, white bumps) on its head. Broad back, lacks a dorsal fin. <u>Adult Size:</u> 16-17 m, 63,500 kg <u>Season of Concern:</u> Congregate in summer and fall in the lower Bay of Fundy, mainly east of Grand Manan



#### Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar)

<u>Habitat</u>: Fresh water streams in winter then migrates out to Bay <u>Description</u>: Sides and belly are silvery, back varies from shades of brown to green and blue. <u>Adult size:</u> 60 cm, 3 kg <u>Season of Concern</u>: Spring, summer and fall



Atlantic Wolffish (Anarhichas lupus)

<u>Habitat:</u> Inhabits cold, deep water, bottom dwellers, prefer rock or hard-clay sediment

<u>Description:</u> Rounded profile, heavy head, blunt snout, lacking pelvic fins. Body color ranges from slate blue to dull green to purplish brown with vertical, dark brown bars along the sides. Extensive teeth structure <u>Max. size:</u> 150 cm, 20 kg



Fin Whale (Balaenoptera physalus) "Grey hound of the deep" <u>Habitat:</u> Temperate, deep, cool waters <u>Description:</u> Baleen whale with a long and slender, streamlined body, dark greybody, white underneath. Narrow, V-shaped head, pointed snout, paired blowholes. <u>Adult Size:</u> 20-27 m, 70,000 kg



#### Harbour Porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) <u>Habitat:</u> Close to cooler (<16 °C), coastal areas or river estuaries <u>Description:</u> Black back, grayish-white sides fading to white underneath <u>Max. size:</u> 1.7 m, 65 kg



#### Porbeagle (Lamna nasus)

<u>Habitat:</u> Coastal and oceanic <u>Description:</u> Large shark with a powerful streamlined

body. Grey-bluish black body with a white patch on the back of dorsal fin, white underside. Head is stout, snout is pointed. Distinguished by its 3-cusped teeth. <u>Max. size:</u> 3 m in length, 135 kg



#### Sowerby's Beaked Whale (Mesoplodons bidens)

<u>Habitat:</u> Generally found in deep waters, continental shelf/slope <u>Description</u>: Medium sized dark gray, beaked whale. Streamlined body with a small head with a long, narrow beak. Tails have no central notch. Small, triangular dorsal fin. <u>Max. size</u>: 4.5 -5.5 m in length, 1000-1300 kg



#### Leatherback Sea Turtle (Dermochelys coriacea)

<u>Habitat:</u> Prefer open ocean, deep water. Nest on ocean beaches. <u>Description:</u> Largest living sea turtle. Lacks a bony shell, instead its carapace is covered by bluish black skin. <u>Max.size:</u> 2.4 m in length, 3.6 m wide, up to 725 kg <u>Season of Concern:</u> June to October

#### Barrow's Goldeneye (Buscephala islandica)

<u>Habitat:</u> Wooded lakes, beaver ponds, overwinter in protected coastal waters or open inland water <u>Description:</u> Medium sized sea duck. Males are black and white. Females are grayish brown and white on the sides and belly with a chocolate brown head Adult Size: 53 cm. 1 kg







#### Eskimo curlew (Numenius borealis)

\*May have gone extinct <u>Habitat:</u> Pass through Maritimes during migration, no specific habitat known

<u>Description:</u> Mottled brown shorebird, brown back, buff underside, long legs, long, thin down-curving bill <u>Adult size:</u> 337 cm in length, 270-454 g, 19-23 cm wing span <u>Season of Concern:</u> July – October (fall migration)



#### Harlequin Duck (Histrionicus histrionicus)

<u>Habitat:</u> Turbulent mountain streams in summer, rocky coastal waters in winter. Nest in a well-concealed location on the ground, near a stream

Description: Small sea duck. Males have slate-blue plumage, chestnut sides, and streaks of white, chestnut and black on head. Females are plain, brownish-grey with patches of white <u>Adult size:</u> 45cm



Least Bittern (Ixobrynchus exilis)

Habitat: Nest in freshwater marches and swamps, often with cattails

<u>Description:</u> Member of the heron family. Mainly brown and buff colored body, white underside, black head and back <u>Adult Size:</u> 30 cm in length, 80 g Season of Concern: Summer (overwinter in southern US states)



#### Yellow Rail (Coturnicops noveboracensis)

<u>Habitat:</u> Found in marshes through summer, coastal wetlands and rice fields in winter <u>Description:</u> Tiny bird with black and white markings on plumage, short tail, small bill. Almost never flies unless disturbed. Adult size: 15-19 cm in length, 60 g



#### Monarch (Danaus plexippus)

Habitat: Wherever milkweed and wildflowers are found- fields, meadows, gardens, *etc.* <u>Description:</u> Small sea duck. Males have slate-blue plumage, chestnut sides, and streaks of white, chestnut and black on head. Females are plain, brownish-grey with patches of white Adult size: 45cm



#### Roseate Tern (Sterna dougalli)

<u>Habitat:</u> Nests are usually built on flat terrain or on sheer cliffs above ice sheets. <u>Description:</u> Adults have black legs and pure white plumage. Bill is slate blue at the base, yellow in the middle with a red tip. Season of Concern: Spring to late August/September



#### Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus melodus)

<u>Habitat:</u> Nests along coastal sand, gravel beaches, sand flats <u>Description:</u> Small, sand coloredshorebird. Black ring around neck. Bill yellow with a black tip, yellow legs (In winter, bill is black, legs are pale) <u>Adult size:</u> 15-19 cm, 43-48 g Season of Concern: Late April /May to August

#### Ivory Gull (Pagophila eburnea)



Habitat: Live near the edges of pack or drift ice <u>Description:</u> Small white seabird with black legs. Juveniles have a dusky face and chin and black spots on the breast and along the flanks and tail. <u>Adult size:</u> 38-43 cm <u>Season of Concern:</u> Late May/early June (breeding season)





# Newfoundland Labrador

# Newfoundland and Labrador Protected Wildlife

The following species are protected under SARA (Species at Risk Act) and COSEWIC (Committee on the status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada) and have been or could be found near aquaculture sites on the south coast of Newfoundland island. If any of these animals are found in distress around the aquaculture sites, Canadian Coast Guard should be contacted at **1-800-565-1633**. If the animals are observed around the aquaculture sites, care should be exercised to avoid causing them any harm.



American Eel (Anguilla rostrata) <u>Habitat:</u> Uses all salinities during life stage, found in all freshwater that are accessible to the to Atlantic Ocean.

<u>Description:</u> Elongated body, grey with white or cream color belly, one dorsal/caudal/anal fin. <u>Max. size:</u> Adults - male: 0.4 m, female: 1.0 m



Leatherback Sea Turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) <u>Habitat:</u> Prefer open ocean, deep water. Nest on ocean beaches.

<u>Description:</u> Largest living sea turtle. Lacks a bony shell, its carapace is covered by bluish black skin. <u>Max.size:</u> 2.4 m in length, 3.6 m wide, 725 kg <u>Season of Concern:</u> June to October



## Atlantic Cod – Laurentain North (Gadus morhua)

Habitat: Northern Gulf of St. Lawrence and waters off the south coast of Newfoundland. Migrate inshore to their feeding grounds. <u>Description:</u> Brown to green or grey with spots on dorsal surface, pale underside. Distinctive chin barbell, 3 dorsal and 2 anal fins. <u>Max. size:</u> 2 m, 96 kg



**Blue Whale** (*Balaenoptera musculus*) <u>Habitat:</u> Along the north shore of the Gulf of St. Lawrence; off eastern Nova Scotia; off the south coast of the island of Newfoundland. <u>Description:</u> Largest animal on earth, colored dark and light grey, smallish dorsal fin and pointed pectoral flippers.

Max. size: 30 m, 181 MT





**Fin Whale** (Balaenoptera physalus) <u>Habitat:</u> Temperate, deep, cool waters. <u>Description:</u> Baleen whale with a long and slender, streamlined body, dark grey body, white underneath. Narrow, V-shaped head, pointed snout, paired blowholes. <u>Adult Size:</u> 20 - 27 m, 70 MT



Harbour Porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) <u>Habitat:</u> Close to cooler (<16 °C), coastal areas or river estuaries. <u>Description:</u> Black back, grayish-white sides fading to white underneath. <u>Max. size:</u> 1.7 m , 65 kg

## North Atlantic Right Whale (Eubalaena glacialis)

<u>Habitat:</u> Temperate northern waters in summer. <u>Description:</u> Large black baleen whale distinguished by the callosities (thick, hard, white bumps) on its head. Broad back, lacks a dorsal fin. <u>Adult Size:</u> 16 - 17 m, 64 MT

Atlantic Wolffish (Anarhichas lupus) <u>Habitat:</u> Inhabits cold, deep water, bottom dwellers, prefer rock or hard-clay sediment. <u>Description:</u> Rounded profile, heavy head, blunt snout, lacking pelvic fins. Body color ranges from slate blue to dull green to purplish brown with vertical, dark brown bars along the sides. Extensive teeth structure. <u>Max. size:</u> 150 cm, 20 kg









Northern Wolffish (*Anarhichas denticulatus*) <u>Habitat:</u> Open continental-shelf water that is cold—usually between 2°C to 5°C—and mainly at depths between 400 and 1000 metres. Prefer a rocky or muddy sea floor. <u>Description:</u> Thick and heavy set, with a large head, small sharp teeth with grey to dark chocolate color appearance. <u>Max. size:</u> 1.4 m, 20 kg



**Spotted Wolffish** (*Anarhichas minor*) <u>Habitat:</u>Found offshore in cold, deep water, usually below 5°C and between 50 – 800 m in depth but as shallow as 25 m, prefer a coarse sand bottom with rocky areas. <u>Description:</u> Canine teeth, round blunt head long body, olive to deep brown with blackishbrown spots. Max. size: 1.8 m, 23 kg

Harlequin Duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*) <u>Habitat:</u> Turbulent mountain streams in summer, rocky coastal waters in winter. Nest in a well-concealed location on the ground. <u>Description:</u> Small sea duck. Males have slateblue plumage, chestnut sides, and streaks of white, chestnut and black on head. Females are plain, brownish-grey with patches of white. Adult size: 45 cm



Short-eared owl (Asio flammeus) <u>Habitat:</u> Tundra, coastal barrens, sand dunes, field and bog areas. All coastal areas and near shore islands are suitable. <u>Description:</u> Medium-sized, puffy white and brown owl with shirt ear tufts and yellow eyes. <u>Max. size:</u> 34 - 43 cm , 206 - 475 g







(*Loxia curvirostra percna*) <u>Habitat:</u> Restricted to the island of NL. Found in mature conifer forests. <u>Description:</u> Medium-sized finch with a crossed beak. Males are dull red color with brown shading . Females are grayish-olive with yellow rumps. Max size: 14 - 16 cm

## **Olive-sided Flycatcher**

(Contopus cooperi)

<u>Habitat:</u> Coniferous, mixed wood or boreal forests where suitable habitat is more likely to be in or near wetland areas. <u>Description:</u> Dark olive on the face, upperparts and flanks. They have light under parts, a large dark bill and a short tail. <u>Max. size:</u> 18 - 20 cm

Monarch (*Danaus plexippus*) <u>Habitat:</u> Wherever milkweed and wildflowers are found- fields, meadows, gardens, *etc.* 

<u>Description:</u> Bright orange butterfly with heavy black veins and a wide black border containing two rows of white spots.

Adult size: Wingspan of 8.9 - 10.2 cm

## **Boreal Felt Lichen**

(Erioderma pedicellatum)

<u>Habitat:</u> It grows on trees in damp boreal forests along the Atlantic coast.


<u>Description:</u> Medium-sized foliose lichen, fuzzy upper surface that is greyish-brown when dry and slate-blue when moist. The underside is white with edges usually curled upward

<u>Max. size:</u> 2 - 5 cm across, sometimes reaching 12 cm in diameter









## Nova Scotia Protected Wildlife

The following species are protected under SARA (Species at Risk Act) and/or COSEWIC (Committee On the Status of Endangered Wildlife In Canada) and have been or could be found in areas of NS where aquaculture is taking place. If any of these animals are found in distress around the aquaculture sites, Canadian Coast Guard should be contacted at **1-800-565-1633**. If the animals are observed around the aquaculture sites, care should be exercised to avoid causing them any harm.



Atlantic Whitefish (Coregonus huntsmani) <u>Habitat</u>: Petite Riviere watershed and surrounding waters. Found at sea during spring and summer. Returns to freshwater to spawn during winter. <u>Description</u>: Black, dark green or blue back, silver sides, white underbelly, large scales, <u>Classification</u>: Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size</u>: Up to 40 cm



Barrow's Goldeneye (Buscephala islandica) <u>Habitat:</u> Coastal waters throughout Atlantic Canada <u>Description:</u> Medium sized sea duck. High, rounded head is black with white patch under eye. Males are black and white, femaes are greyishh brown and white. <u>Classification:</u> Threatened (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 53 cm, 1 kg



Atlantic Cod (Gadus morhua) Habitat: Shoreline to continental shelf in Northeast Atlantic

Description: Brown to green or grey with spots on dorsal surface, pale underside. Distinctive chin barbs. 3 dorsal fins and 2 anal fins. Classification: Endangered (COSEWIC) Max Size: 2 m, 96 kg



Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus) <u>Habitat:</u> Nest and feed primarily on coastal sand or gravel beaches and sand flats. Found all along the southern shore of Nova Scotia. <u>Description:</u> Grey/brown sides and back, white under. Black spots around neck, on forehead and at beak tip. <u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 19 cm, 48 g



Atlantic Wolffish (Anarhichas lupus) <u>Habitat:</u> All around Nova Scotia. Deep, rocky continental shelf. Periodically found on sandy or muddy bottom. <u>Description:</u> Rounded profile, heavy head, blunt snout, lacking pelvic fins. Body color ranges from slate blue to dull green to purplish brown with vertical, dark brown bars along the sides. Extensive teeth structure. <u>Classification:</u> Threatened (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 150 cm, 20 kg



Roseate Tern (Sterna dougallii) <u>Habitat</u>: Occurs in large colonies on coasts and islands all along the Atlantic shore of Nova Scotia <u>Description</u>: Medium sized seabird with long forked tail. White with black head cap and bill. <u>Classification</u>: Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) Max Size: 40cm, 130 g



Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar) <u>Habitat:</u> Throughout the inner Bay of Fundy following anadromous migration. <u>Description:</u> Sides and belly are silvery, back varies from shades of brown to green and blue. <u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 60 cm, 3 kg



Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) <u>Habitat</u>: Along the Bay of Fundy coast of Nova Scotia. Nests on cliff ledges near water and large open spaces. <u>Description</u>: Medium sized, grey/blue upper body and wings, white to light brown speckled underparts, black bars on legs. Classification: Threatened (SARA)

<u>Classification:</u> Threatened (SAF <u>Max Size:</u> 59 cm, 910 g









Blue Whale (Balaenoptera musculus) <u>Habitat:</u> North shore of the Gulf of St. Lawrrence and off Eastern Nova Scotia during spring, summer and fall. <u>Description:</u> Tapered, elongated body, pleated grooves in the skin of the neck, small dorsal fin, mottled dark blue and grey. Classification: Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA)

Max Size: 30 m, 181 MT



#### Red Knot (Calidris canustus)

<u>Habitat</u>: Migrate from Canadian Arctic to South America in July and August. Migration stops can include tidal sandflats and mudflats along the gulf of St Lawrence and Bay of Fundy.

<u>Description:</u> Shorebird with long straight bill, small head and long legs. Brownish red face, neck, chest, and underparts. White stripe on upper part of wings. <u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC) <u>Max Size:</u> 26 cm



#### **North Atlantic Right Whale** (*Eubalaena glacialis*) Habitat: Temperate northern waters in summer

<u>Habitat:</u> Temperate northern waters in summer <u>Description:</u> Large black baleen whale distinguished by the callosities (thick, hard, white bumps) on its head. Broad back, lacks a dorsal fin. <u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 17 m, 64 MT



## Leatherback Sea Turtle

(Dermochelys coriacea) <u>Habitat:</u> Often found in deep, temperate waters throughout the Atlantic where they feed. Often sighted between June and October.

<u>Description:</u> Blue-black carapace composed of skin and small bones. Seven ridges running entire length of carapace. <u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 2.4 m, 725 kg



## Northern Bottlenose Whale

(Hyperoodon ampullatus)

<u>Habitat:</u> Scotian Shelf, areas surrounding 'The Gully', a submarine canyon off the Southeast coast of Nova Scotia

<u>Description:</u> Beaked whale with bulbous 'forehead'. Variable in colour, ranging from chocolate brown in young animals, to light brown in older animals, to yellowish brown (with whitish beaks and heads) in very old males.

<u>Classification:</u> Endangered (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 10 m, 7.5 MT



#### Least Bittern (Ixobrychus exilis)

Habitat: Prefer large marshes with stable water levels during spring and summer. Winter habitat can be any wetland, including brackish and saline swamps. <u>Description:</u> Small member of the heron family. Brown plumage with broad buff streaks on its white underside. Back and crown are glossy black. <u>Classification:</u> Threatened (COSEWIC & SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 30 cm, 80 g



Harbour Porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) <u>Habitat:</u> Three distinct Canadian Atlantic populations: Newfoundland-Labrador, St. Lawrence and Bay of Fundy.

<u>Description:</u> Rounded head with no obvious beak, small triangular dorsal fin. White underside, mottled grey/white sides to dark grey back. <u>Classification:</u> Threatened (SARA) <u>Max Size:</u> 1.7 m, 65 kg



## Striped Bass (Morone saxatilis)

Habitat: Anadramous species spawns in freshwater, moves to coastal brackish or salt water to feed and mature. Found along the Atlantic coast; noteably in several rivers which drain into the Bay of Fundy. <u>Description:</u> Dark olive green back with pale silver striped sides and white belly. <u>Classification:</u> Threatened (COSEWIC) Max Size: 1.8 m







## MAINE'S ENDANGERED SPECIES<sup>1</sup>

(12 MRSA §12803: last revision = September 12, 2009)

## Birds

American pipit (*Anthus rubescens*): breeding population only Black tern (*Chlidonias niger*) Golden eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*) Grasshopper sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*) Least bittern (*Ixobrychus exilis*) Least tern (*Sterna antillarum*) Peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*): breeding population only Piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*)<sup>2</sup> Roseate tern (*Sterna dougallii*)<sup>2</sup> Sedge wren (*Cistothorus platensis*)

## Fish

Redfin pickerel (Esox americanus americanus)

### Invertebrates

## **Butterflies and Skippers**

Clayton's copper (*Lycaena dorcas claytoni*) Edwards' hairstreak (*Satyrium edwardsii*) Hessel's hairstreak (*Callophrys hesseli*) Juniper hairstreak (*Callophrys gryneus*) Katahdin Arctic (*Oenis polixenes katahdin*)

## Dragonflies and Damselflies

Rapids clubtail (Gomphus quadricolor)

#### <u>Mayflies</u>

Flat-headed mayfly (a.k.a., Roaring Brook mayfly; Epeorus frisoni)

## Mammals

New England cottontail (Sylvilagus transitionalis)

## Reptiles

<u>Snakes</u>

Black racer (*Coluber constrictor*)

## <u>Turtles</u>

Blanding's turtle (*Emydoidea blandingii*) Box turtle (*Terrapene carolina*)

## **MAINE'S THREATENED SPECIES<sup>1</sup>**

(12 MRSA §12803: last revision = September 12, 2009)

## Birds

Arctic tern (*Sterna paradisaea*) Atlantic puffin (*Fratercula arctica*) Barrow's goldeneye (*Bucephala islandica*) Black-crowned night heron (*Nycticorax nycticorax*) Common moorhen (*Gallinula chloropus*) Great cormorant (*Phalacrocorax carbo*): breeding population only Harlequin duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*) Razorbill (*Alca torda*) Upland sandpiper (*Bartramia longicauda*) Short-eared owl (*Asio flammeus*): breeding population only

## Fish

Swamp darter (Etheostoma fusiforme)

### Invertebrates

## Butterflies and Skippers

Purple lesser fritillary (*Boloria chariclea grandis*) Sleepy duskywing (*Erynnis brizo*)

## <u>Moths</u>

Pine barrens zanclognatha (*Zanclognatha martha*) Twilight moth (*Lucia rachelae*)

### Dragonflies and Damselflies

Boreal snaketail (*Ophiogomphus colubrinus*) Ringed boghaunter (*Williamsonia lintneri*)

## Freshwater Mussels

Brook floater (Alasmidonta varicosa)

Tidewater mucket (Leptodea ochracea)

Yellow lampmussel (Lampsilis cariosa)

## <u>Mayflies</u>

Tomah mayfly (Siphlonisca aerodromia)

#### Mammals

Northern bog lemming (Synaptomys borealis)

## Reptiles

<u>Turtles</u> Spotted turtle (*Clemmys guttata*)

## MAINE'S RECOVERED SPECIES

(12 MRSA §12810: last revision = September 12, 2009)

Birds

Bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus)

<sup>1</sup> Includes only species listed by the Maine legislature after recommendation by the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (*Maine Revised Statutes: Title 12 Conservation, Part 13 Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, Chapter 925 Fish and Wildlife Management and Research, Subchapter 3 Endangered Species* § 12801 - § 12810); <u>http://www.mainelegislature.org/legis/statutes/12/title12sec12803.html</u> This summary <u>excludes:</u>

- (a) marine species (except migratory birds) listed separately through the Maine Department of Marine Resources (12 MRSA § 6975), and
- (b) federally listed species designated <u>only</u> under the U.S. Endangered Species Act (16 USC Chapter 35) that are not listed under Maine law.
- <sup>2</sup> These species are also federally listed under the U.S. Endangered Species Act as well as Maine's Endangered Species Act.

## Maine Revised Statutes

## Title 12: CONSERVATION Part 9: MARINE RESOURCES Subpart 2: LICENSING Chapter 631: ENDANGERED OR THREATENED MARINE SPECIES

## §6975. List of state endangered and state threatened marine species

The list of state endangered or state threatened marine species by common name, scientific name and status is as follows: [2003, c. 573, S1 (NEW).]

1. Right whale. Right whale, Eubalaena glacialis, endangered;

[ 2003, c. 573, §1 (NEW) .]

**2. Humpback whale**. Humpback whale, Megaptera novaeangliae, endangered;

[ 2003, c. 573, §1 (NEW) .]

3. Finback whale. Finback whale, Balaenoptera physalus, endangered;

[ 2003, c. 573, §1 (NEW) .]

**4. Sperm whale.** Sperm whale, Physeter catodon, endangered;

[ 2003, c. 573, §1 (NEW) .]

5. Sei whale. Sei whale, Balaenoptera borealis, endangered;

[ 2003, c. 573, §1 (NEW) .]

6. Leatherback turtle. Leatherback turtle, Dermochelys coriacea, endangered;

[ 2003, c. 573, §1 (NEW) .]

7. Atlantic ridley turtle. Atlantic ridley turtle, Lepidochelys kempii, endangered; [ 2007, c. 6, §1 (AMD) .]

**8. Loggerhead turtle.** Loggerhead turtle, Caretta caretta, threatened; and [ 2007, c. 6, §2 (AMD) .]

**9. Shortnose sturgeon.** Shortnose sturgeon, Acipenser brevirostrum, endangered. [ 2007, c. 6, §3 (NEW) .]

SECTION HISTORY

2003, c. 573, §1 (NEW). 2007, c. 6, §§1-3 (AMD).

The Revisor's Office cannot provide legal advice or interpretation of Maine law to the public. If you need legal advice, please consult a qualified attorney.

## **U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service**



ECOS / Species Reports / Species occurrence by state / Listed species believed to or known to occur in Maine

# Listed species believed to or known to occur in Maine

Notes:

- As of 02/13/2015 the data in this report has been updated to use a different set of information. Results are based on where the species is believed to or known to occur. The FWS feels utilizing this data set is a better representation of species occurrence. Note: there may be other federally listed species that are not currently known or expected to occur in this state but are covered by the ESA wherever they are found; Thus if new surveys detected them in this state they are still covered by the ESA. The FWS is using the best information available on this date to generate this list.
- This report shows listed species or populations believed to or known to occur in Maine
- This list does not include experimental populations and similarity of appearance listings. ٠
- This list includes species or populations under the sole jurisdiction of the National Marine Fisheries Service.
- Click on the highlighted scientific names below to view a Species Profile for each listing.

## Listed species -- 12 listings

Animals -- 9 listings

<u>Status</u>	Species/Listing Name
Т	Bat, Northern long-eared Wherever found (Myotis septentrionalis)
Е	Bumble bee, Rusty patched Wherever found (Bombus affinis)
Т	Knot, red Wherever found (Calidris canutus rufa)
Т	Lynx, Canada Wherever Found in Contiguous U.S. (Lynx canadensis)
Т	Plover, piping [Atlantic Coast and Northern Great Plains populations] - Wherever found, except those areas where listed as endangered. ( <i>Charadrius melodus</i> )
Е	Salmon, Atlantic Gulf of Maine DPS (Salmo salar)
Е	Sea turtle, hawksbill Wherever found (Eretmochelys imbricata)
Е	Sea turtle, leatherback Wherever found (Dermochelys coriacea)

<u>Status</u>	Species/Listing Name
Е	Tern, roseate northeast U.S. nesting pop. (Sterna dougallii dougallii)

## Plants -- 3 listings

<u>Status</u>	Species/Listing Name
E	Lousewort, Furbish ( <i>Pedicularis furbishiae</i> )
Т	Orchid, eastern prairie fringed ( <i>Platanthera leucophaea</i> )
Т	Pogonia, small whorled ( <i>Isotria medeoloides</i> )

## Nationally Significant Seabird, Wading Bird and Eagle Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine



For many years, seabird biologists from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife have conducted surveys to identity coastal islands that support nesting pairs of seabirds, wading birds, and bald eagles. The table below is based on information last updated in 2002.

## KEY TO THE TABLE on the following 8 pages):


	The middle of the rono wing o puges).
CIR#	Coastal Island Registry Number (every island has a unique CIR#)
OWNER	(May indicate fee and/or easement ownership)
Π	Maine Dept. of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife
F	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Maine Coastal Nesting Islands NWR
Α	Acadia National Park
В	Maine Bureau of Parks and Lands
Ν	<b>DT</b> Maine Dept. of Transportation
Ν	Non-government conservation organization
Р	towns and private owners
(1	Privately owned, protected with conservation easement
*	nesting site usually for bald eagles on a relatively large island with multiple owners

#### VALUES

- **S** Island where 1% or more of the state's seabird population nests
- W Island where 1% or more of the state's wading bird population nests
- **R** Island where any number of federally endangered roseate terns nests
- **E** Island where bald eagles nest
- **D** Island that may not meet the 1% population criteria for any one species, but support three or more species of nesting seabirds

## MCINWR

Island identified in the Comprehensive Conservation Management Plan for potential acquisition by Maine Coastal Islands National Wildlife Refuge — if current owners are willing sellers and federal funds are available for acquisition.



This list of nationally significant islands is intended to provide a helpful reference to inform recreational users and to catalyze protection of high value nesting islands through effective stewardship, management agreements, easements and/or fee acquisition with willing landowners. This list alone should not be used for making final management decisions or for regulatory purposes. Rather, the list should be considered as a helpful first reference, to be checked for updates and accuracy on an as-needed, island-specific basis.

In order to minimize disturbance and maximize nesting success, please respect island closures for recreational uses during the nesting season (April 1 - August 31).

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
55-012	FREYEE ISLAND (EAST)	IFW	Brooklin	9.6	Е	
55-088	UPPER COOMBS ISLAND	PRI	Brunswick	8.6	E	~
55-105	DOUGHTY ISLAND	NGO	Harpswell	1.4	E	
55-156	DUCK ROCK	IFW	Harpswell	1.0	D	
55-159	JENNY ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	3.5	S, R, D	
55-175	LONG LEDGE	IFW	Harpswell	1.3	D	
55-176	LONG LEDGE (SOUTH)	IFW	Harpswell	2.0	S, D	
55-177	FLAG ISLAND ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	26.2	S, D	
55-178	TWO BUSH ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	2.0	D	
55-179	CEDAR LEDGE	IFW	Harpswell	2.4	D	
55-200	LANES ISLAND	PRI	Yarmouth	28.2	Е	~
55-223	THE NUBBIN	IFW	Yarmouth	0.2	R	
55-245	SOW AND PIGS	PRI	Freeport	2.9	Е	
55-275	UPPER GREEN (SOUTH)	IFW	Cumberland	1.2	S, D	
55-282	LITTLE WHALEBOAT ISLAND	PRI	Harpswell	18.0	W	~
55-283	LITTLE WHALEBOAT ISLAND (SE)	PRI	Harpswell	4.3	D	~
55-295	WILLIAMS ISLAND	PRI,PRI/NGO	Freeport	21.4	E	
55-297	UPPER GREEN ISLAND (N)	IFW	Cumberland	0.6	D	
55-330	SCREECHING GULL	IFW	Falmouth	0.1	R	
55-381	HOUSE ISLAND	PRI	Portland	31.1	D	~
55-383	RAM ISLAND	IFW	Portland	14.1	S, W, D	1
55-386	OUTER GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Portland	5.4	S, D	
55-406	LITTLE BIRCH ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	9.2	S, D	
55-415	UPPER FLAG ISLAND	FWS	Harpswell	34.1	D	
55-427	TURNIP ISLAND	PRI	Harpswell	1.9	D	~
55-437	LITTLE MARK ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	1.7		
55-439	EAGLE ISLAND	BPR	Harpswell	13.3	<u>D</u>	
55-458	WEST BROWN COW ISLAND	IFW	Cumberland	1.3	D	
55-499	INNER GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Portland	3.0	D	
55-521	RAM ISLAND	PRI	Cape Elizabeth	2.8	S, D	✓
55-605	RAM ISLAND	FWS	Harpswell	6.3	D	
55-615	POND ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	22.7		
55-626	RAGGED ISLAND	PRI	Harpswell	74.9	S, D	~
55-628	WHITE BULL ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	5.5	 D	
55-630	MARK ISLAND	IFW	Harpswell	10.5	 W, D	
55-632	EAST BROWN COW	IFW	Harpswell	2.4	D	
59-010	HOG ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Gouldsboro	52.3	E	
59-012	JORDAN ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Winter Harbor	261.5	E	
59-036	BALD ROCK	PRI	Steuben	1.3	D	~
59-037	SALLY ISLAND	PRI	Gouldsboro	5.3	D	~
<u>59-039</u>	SHEEP ISLAND	PRI	Gouldsboro	9.4	E	~
<u>59-059</u> 59-060	ROLLING ISLAND	ANP	Winter Harbor	<u> </u>	Ē	· · · ·
<u>59-060</u> 59-062	SCHOODIC ISLAND	ANP		67.2	<u> </u>	
<u>59-062</u> 59-065	TURTLE ISLAND	TNC	Winter Harbor Winter Harbor	128.7	<u> </u>	
<u>59-065</u> 59-084	BURYING ISLAND	PRI/IFW	Franklin	37.8		
<u>59-084</u> 59-087	HILLS COVE ISLAND	PRI/IFW PRI/NGO	Hancock	<u> </u>	V, <u>E</u>	
<u>59-087</u> 59-089	KILKENNY COVE ISLAND	PRI	Hancock	<u> </u>	E	
		PRI			E	~
<u>59-110</u>	BUCKSKIN ISLAND		Franklin Bor Harbor	5.6		-
<u>59-119</u>	MT DESERT ISLAND*	PRI IFW		<u>69,049.0</u>	<u>E</u>	
59-127	INDIAN PT LEDGE		Bar Harbor	0.4	S	2

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
59-132	BLACK ISLAND	NGO	Bar Harbor	13.8	Е	<b>~</b>
59-136	JED ISLAND	PRI	Bar Harbor	11.8	E	
59-137	CONARY NUB	PRI	Blue Hill	0.2	S	¥
59-160	THE TWINNIES (NORTH)	PRI	Bar Harbor	3.6	E	~
59-161	THE TWINNIES (SOUTH)	FWS	Bar Harbor	3.3	E	
59-170	TREASURE ISLAND	PRI	Sorrento	18.7	E	
59-177	CALF ISLAND	PRI	Sorrento	98.2	E	
59-180	STAVE ISLAND	PRI	Gouldsboro	499.4	E	
59-182	IRONBOUND ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Winter Harbor	830.8	E	
59-183	PREBLE ISLAND	NGO	Sorrento	78.8	E	
59-189	INGALLS ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Sorrento	23.5	E	
59-190	BEAN ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Sorrento	30.1	W, E	~
59-195	SHEEP PORCUPINE ISLAND	NPS	Gouldsboro	22.2	E	
59-197	BALD PORCUPINE ISLAND	NPS	Gouldsboro	31.9	E	
59-198	BURNT PORCUPINE ISLAND	PRI	Gouldsboro	37.6	E	
59-201	LONG PORCUPINE ISLAND	NGO	Gouldsboro	130.1	E	
59-236	HARDWOOD ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Tremont	196.1	E	
59-240	BARTLETT ISLAND*	PRI/TOWN	Mount Desert	2,158.6	Е	
59-242	TINKER ISLAND	NGO,PRI/NGO	Tremont	446.9	E	
59-265	BAR ISLAND	NPS	Mount Desert	6.7	E	
59-270	GREAT CRANBERRY ISLAND*	PRI	Cranberry Isles	1,064.9	E	
59-300	THE THRUMCAP	IFW	Bar Harbor	2.6	S, D	
59-301	EGG ROCK	FWS	Winter Harbor	12.5	R, D	
59-313	LT CRANBERRY ISLAND	PRI	Cranberry Isles	491.3	E	
59-340	TRUMPET ISLAND	FWS	Tremont	6.4	D	
59-341	SHIP ISLAND	FWS	Tremont	13.1	S	
59-343	WEST BARGE ISLAND	FWS	Tremont	0.5	D	
59-347	POND ISLAND	PRI	Frenchboro	241.0	Е	<b>~</b>
59-351	JOHNS ISLAND	PRI	Swans Island	21.8	Е	~
59-398	GOOSEBERRY ISLAND	PRI	Swans Island	5.4	D	~
59-409	BAKER ISLAND (N)	NGO	Swans Island	8.1	E	
59-413	SWANS ISLAND*	PRI	Swans Island	6,853.3	 E	
59-438		NGO	Frenchboro	553.0	E	
59-439	LT DUCK ISLAND	NGO	Frenchboro	89.8	S, D, E	
59-440	GREAT DUCK ISLAND	PRI/NGO's/IFW	Frenchboro	212.0	S, D, E	
59-443	LT BLACK ISLAND	PRI(NGO)	Frenchboro	2.9	E	
59-445	GREEN I LEDGE	IFW	Frenchboro	1.9	D	
59-446	GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Frenchboro	5.6	S, D	
59-447	SISTER ISLAND	PRI	Swans Island	30.3	E	<b>~</b>
59-448	CROW ISLAND	PRI	Frenchboro	10.6	E	~
59-449	DRY MONEY LEDGE	IFW	Frenchboro	0.6	S	
59-450	HARBOR ISLAND	PRI	Frenchboro	19.9	E	~
<u>59-451</u>	LONG ISLAND*	PRI,PRI/NGO	Frenchboro	1,468.5	E	
59-470	RINGTOWN(LT MARSHALL) ISLAND	,	Swans Island	13.9	 E	
<u>59-470</u> 59-479	BRIMSTONE ISLAND	IFW	Swans Island	1.2	 D	
59-479 59-480	HERON ISLAND	NPS	Swans Island	51.8	S, D	
59-480 59-481	MASON LEDGE	IFW	Swans Island	4.5	S, D S, D	
59-483	JOHN'S ISLAND	FWS	Swans Island	43.1	<u> </u>	
<u>59-403</u> 59-570	VERONA ISLAND*	PRI	Verona	3,977.1	<u> </u>	
<u>59-570</u> 59-587	YOUNGS ISLAND (MID) (SAMS?)	PRI	Pembroke	2.9	 E	
55 501		1 1 1 1		2.0	-	2

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
59-596	NN I S BEAR HEAD(RAM?)	PRI	Brooksville	0.4	Е	
59-650	HOLBROOK ISLAND	BPL	Castine	110.9	E	
59-651	RAM ISLAND	NGO	Castine	7.3	Е	
59-669	THRUMCAP ISLAND	IFW	Brooksville	1.2	D	
59-672	BUCK ISLAND	IFW	Brooksville	0.9	D	
59-673	SPECTACLE ISLAND	PRI	Brooksville	8.7	S, D	<b>~</b>
59-674	GREEN LEDGE	IFW	Deer Isle	0.8	D	
59-675	WESTERN ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Deer Isle	22.0	S, E	~
59-685	COLT HEAD	IFW	Deer Isle	4.3	D	
59-687	BEACH ISLAND	PRI	Deer Isle	73.4	E	
59-709	SCOTT I (W)	PRI/NGO	Deer Isle	6.2	E	
59-742	NN I S CARLETON I(SALT POND IS	S.?) IFW	Blue Hill	0.2	E	
59-771	BRADBURY ISLAND	NGO	Deer Isle	160.7	E	
59-772	LITTLE SPRUCEHEAD	PRI	Deer Isle	44.1	S	~
59-782	HARDHEAD ISLAND	IFW	Deer Isle	5.2	S, D	
59-789	GRASS LEDGE (W)	IFW	Deer Isle	1.1	S, D	
59-790	COMPASS ISLAND	PRI	Deer Isle	7.0	D	~
59-799	INNER PORCUPINE ISLAND	PRI	Deer Isle	10.2	E	~
59-800	OUTER PORCUPINE ISLAND	PRI	Deer Isle	6.3	 E	~
<u>59-800</u> 59-802	GRASS LEDGE	IFW	Deer Isle	1.3	 D	
<u>59-802</u> 59-810	CROW ISLAND	IFW	Deer Isle	5.3	E	
59-825	BARRED ISLAND	NGO	Deer Isle	3.4	E	
59-836	SCRAGGY ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Stonington	8.5	W	<b>~</b>
<u>59-849</u>	CURRENT ISLAND	PRI?	Deer Isle	2.3	E	
<u>59-049</u> 59-923	CAMPBELL ISLAND	NGO	Deer Isle	92.0	E	
<u>59-925</u>	BEAR ISLAND	PRI	Deer Isle	20.1	E	~
<u>59-925</u> 59-931	SMUTTYNOSE ISLAND	IFW	Brooklin	0.7	R	· · · · · ·
<u>59-931</u> 59-933	MAHONEY ISLAND	PRI	Brooklin	7.0	S, D	~
						<u> </u>
59-956	EASTERN MARK ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Stonington	9.9	E	
59-959	SHINGLE ISLAND	PRI/ANP	Stonington	9.2	E	~
59-966	RAM ISLAND	BPL	Stonington	2.8	E	
59-977	NO MANS ISLAND	BPL	Stonington	4.7	E	
59-980	THREE BUSH ISLAND	PRI	Swans Island	1.6	S	~
59-991	HALIBUT ROCKS (EAST)	IFW	Swans Island	2.7	D	
59-996	SHABBY ISLAND	IFW/ANP	Deer Isle	3.6	<u>S, D</u>	
<u>59-998</u>	SPIRIT LEDGE	IFW	Swans Island	1.7	D	
61-002	NEHUMKEAG ISLAND	PRI?	Gardiner	2.3	E	,
<u>63-011</u>	SPOON LEDGE	IFW	North Haven	0.8	<u>S, D</u>	
<u>63-013</u>	BURNT ISLAND	IFW	North Haven	17.2	<u> </u>	
<u>63-018</u>	SHEEP Island	IFW	North Haven	22.5	<u> </u>	
63-034	STIMPSONS ISLAND	PRI/NGO	North Haven	194.0	<u> </u>	
63-079	BLUFF HEAD	PRI/NGO	Vinalhaven	7.8	E	
<u>63-081</u>	NECK ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Vinalhaven	21.7	E	
<u>63-093</u>	PENOBSCOT ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Vinalhaven	257.0		~
<u>63-135</u>	GREEN LEDGE	PRI	Vinalhaven	0.7		*
<u>63-157</u>		PRI	Vinalhaven	432.5	<u> </u>	
63-160		PRI		1,397.8	E	
<u>63-166</u>		BPL (IFW)	Vinalhaven	8.4	<u>S, D</u>	
63-169	HAY ISLAND	NGO	Vinalhaven	3.6	<u> </u>	
63-174	ROBERTS ISLAND	FWS	Vinalhaven	10.8	S, D	4

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands inCoastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
63-175	ROBERTS ISLAND (WEST)	FWS	Vinalhaven	2.4	S, D	
63-176	BRIMSTONE ISLAND	NGO	Vinalhaven	32.3	S, D	
63-179	LITTLE BRIMSTON	NGO	Vinalhaven	3.3	D	
63-183	OTTER ISLAND	IFW/NGO	Vinalhaven	44.4	S, D	
63-200	SPARROW ISLAND	IFW	Isle au Haut	5.3	S, D	
63-204	HARDWOOD ISLAND	IFW	Isle au Haut	13.6	Е	
63-211	RAM ISLAND	IFW	Isle au Haut	3.4	E	
63-230	ISLE AU HAUT*	PRI/ANP	Isle au Haut	6,808.7	E	
63-260	SOUTHERN MARK ISLAND	IFW	Isle au Haut	5.3	S, D	
63-264	FOG ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Isle au Haut	56.7	E	<b>~</b>
63-266	GREEN LEDGE	IFW	Isle au Haut	4.2	S, D	
63-283	COW PEN (WEST)	IFW	Isle au Haut	3.8	S	
63-284	COW PEN (EAST)	IFW	Isle au Haut	2.6	S	
63-287	GREAT SPOON ISLAND	IFW/ANP	Isle au Haut	50.4	S, D	
63-289	LITTLE SPOON ISLAND	NGO/ANP	Isle au Haut	23.1	S, D	
63-313	CURTIS ISLAND	PRI?	Camden	7.8	E	
63-314	GOOSE ROCK	IFW	Rockport	0.5	D	
63-323	RAM ISLAND	PRI	Rockport	1.1	S, D	<b>~</b>
63-330	MOUSE ISLAND	PRI	North Haven	2.7	D	✓
63-335	EAST GOOSE ROCK	IFW	North Haven	0.7	D	
63-336	GOOSE ISLAND	IFW	North Haven	1.6	D	
63-339	MARK ISLAND	NGO	North Haven	31.1	E	
63-341	ROBINSON ROCK	IFW	North Haven	1.9	D	
63-393	SHEEP ISLAND	PRI	Owls Head	62.3	E	
63-402	FISHERMAN ISLAND	IFW	Matinicus Isle F	기. 8.9	D	
63-403	MARBLEHEAD ISLAND	IFW	Matinicus Isle F	기. 1.0	D	
63-418	LT GREEN ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle F	기. 2.9	S, D	~
63-420	GARDEN ISLAND	IFW	Thomaston	1.5	D	
63-421	OAK ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle F	기. 1.8	D	~
63-485	GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Vinalhaven	1.7	D	
63-493	GREEN LEDGES	IFW	Vinalhaven	2.3	S, D	
63-501	CRANE ISLAND (NORTH)	PRI	Vinalhaven	35.9	Е	
63-503	SPECTACLE ISLAND (WHITE IS.?)	PRI	Vinalhaven	3.7	Е	
63-505		PRI	Vinalhaven	1.6	E	~
63-526	HURRICANE ISLAND LEDGE	IFW	Vinalhaven	1.4	D	
63-578	GUNNING ROCK (EAST)	IFW	Saint George	2.7	D	
63-579	THE BROTHERS (NORTH)	NGO	Saint George	3.8	D	<b>~</b>
63-580	THE BROTHERS (C)	NGO	Saint George	0.6	R, D	~
63-581	THE BROTHERS (SOUTH)	NGO	Saint George	7.4	D	~
63-582	HAY LEDGE	NGO	Saint George	5.0	D	
63-584	METINIC ISLAND	FWS/PRI	Matinicus Isle F			)
63-585	METINIC GREEN ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle F		S, D	
63-588	HOG ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle F		D	
63-626	HURRICANE ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle F		D	~
63-634	GRAFFAM ISLAND	PRI	Muscle Ridge S			~
			<u>v</u>		E	✓
<u>63-651</u>		PRI	Matinicus Isle F			•
63-653	TWO BUSH ISLAND	FWS	Matinicus Isle F		D	~
<u>63-654</u>	LT GREEN ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle F		<u>S, D</u>	
63-655	LARGE GREEN ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle		S, R, D	
63-701	HARBOR ISLAND	NGO/PRI	Friendship	96.7	S	<b>*</b> 5

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
63-705	CRANE ISLAND	PRI/FWS	Friendship	11.9	S, D	
63-707	FRANKLIN ISLAND	FWS	Friendship	10.9	S, W, D	
63-730	SAND ISLAND	PRI	Friendship	4.2	Е	<b>~</b>
63-731	RAM ISLAND	PRI	Friendship	1.3	Е	¥
63-802	BAR ISLAND	PRI	Saint George	8.1		~
<u>63-820</u>	SHAG LEDGES (EAST)	IFW	Saint George	1.7	0, D	
63-821	SHAG LEDGES (WEST)	IFW	Saint George	1.4	D	
63-833	HART ISLAND	FWS	Saint George	13.2		
63-836	GUNNING RK (WEST)	IFW	Saint George	2.1	<u>S, D</u>	
63-839	OLD HUMP LEDGES (SOUTH)	IFW	Saint George	1.7	D	
63-860	EASTERN EGG ROCK	IFW	Saint George	9.6		
63-873	LITTLE EGG ROCK	IFW	Saint George	3.2	D	
<u>63-875</u>	SHARK ISLAND	IFW	Saint George	2.5	S, D	
63-900	NO MAN'S LAND	IFW	Matinicus Isle PI.	23.5	S, D	
63-901	TWO BUSH ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle PI.	5.9	S, D	~
63-917	WOODEN BALL ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle Pl	38.2	S D	<b>~</b>
63-920	TENPOUND ISLAND	NGO	Matinicus Isle PI.	28.3	<u> </u>	
<u>63-923</u>	SEAL ISLAND	FWS	Vinalhaven	95.8	<u> </u>	
<u>63-924</u>	PUDDING ISLANDI	IFW	Matinicus Isle PI.		<u> </u>	
63-929	GREEN LEDGE	IFW	Matinicus Isle PI.	4.4	 D	
<u>63-930</u>	RAGGED ISLAND	PRI	Matinicus Isle PI.		D	
63-940	MATINICUS ROCK	FWS	Matinicus Isle PI.	25.7		
<u>65-019</u>	HOG ISLAND	PRI	Damariscotta	4.7	<u> </u>	<b>~</b>
<u>65-123</u>	HODGSONS ISLAND	NGO	South Bristol	23.2	E	
65-165	HOG ISLAND	NGO	Bremen	302.2	E	
65-173	CROTCH ISLAND (SOUTH)	IFW	Bremen	0.7	E	
65-189	KILLICK STONE	IFW	Bristol	5.5	 R, D	
65-194	WRECK ISLAND	IFW	Bristol	14.1	S, W, D, I	=
65-198	ROSS ISLAND	NGO	Bristol	26.7	S, D	
65-200	HADDOCK ISLAND	PRI	Bristol	12.1	D	¥
65-201	WESTERN EGG ROCK	NGO	Bristol	7.9		
65-244	CHRISTMAS COVE	IFW	South Bristol	0.3	 R, D	
65-258		PRI	South Bristol	1.4	S, D	~
<u>65-267</u>	THRUMCAP ISLAND (SOUTH)	FWS	South Bristol	9.0	<u> </u>	
<u>65-274</u>	FISHERMAN ISLAND	PRI	Boothbay	70.7	W, D	<b>~</b>
65-274	WHITE ISLAND (INNER)	NGO/FWS	Boothbay	10.6	S, D	
65-278	WHITE ISLAND (OUTER)	FWS	Boothbay	13.4	 W, D	
65-279	OUTER HERON ISLAND	FWS	Boothbay	66.2	W, E	
65-280	DAMARISCOVE ISLAND	NGO	Boothbay	242.3	S, D	¥
65-287	PUMPKIN ISLAND	State of Maine	Boothbay	5.7	D D	
65-313	EASTERN DUCK ROCK	IFW	Monhegan Island		D	
65-408	ISLE OF SPRINGS	PRI	Boothbay Harbor		E	
<u>65-423</u>	GREEN ISLAND	PRI	Southport	19.6	E	
<u>65-461</u>	LOWER MARK ISLAND	NGO/FWS	Southport	9.5	 S, W	
73-010	SWAN ISLAND	IFW		1,434.7	E	
73-012	LT SWAN ISLAND	IFW	Perkins Twp	46.3	E	
73-030	FREYEE ISLAND (WEST)	PRI	Topsham	5.3	E	<b>~</b>
73-065	NN I (STONEY ?)	PRI?	Bath	1.5	E	
73-067	THORNE ISLAND	PRI	Woolwich	11.5	E	
73-072	CRAWFORD ISLAND	PRI	Bath	7.6	E	
10012		1 1 1	Dani	7.0	L	6

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
73-090	LITTLE LINES ISLAND	PRI?	Woolwich	0.9	Е	
73-168	LEE ISLAND	IFW	Phippsburg	105.6	E	
73-213	NORTH SUGARLOAF	IFW	Phippsburg	0.8	R	
73-262	OUTER HEAD	BPL	Georgetown	3.4	R	
73-280	SOUTH SUGARLOAF	IFW	Phippsburg	1.3	S, R, D	
73-282	POND ISLAND	FWS	Phippsburg	10.5	S	
73-308	FULLER ROCK	PRI	Phippsburg	2.4	D	✓
73-313	HERON ISLAND (NORTH)	NGO	Phippsburg	2.0	S, D	
73-315	HERON ISLAND (C)	NGO	Phippsburg	2.7	 D	
73-316	HERON ISLAND (SOUTH)	NGO	Phippsburg	3.3	S, D	
73-320	SEGUIN ISLAND	NGO	Georgetown	63.1	<u>S, D</u>	~
<u>77-011</u>	SEARS ISLAND	MDOT	Searsport	977.1	<u> </u>	
77-012	ISLESBORO*	PRI	Islesboro	7,750.6	E	
77-045	RAM ISLAND	PRI	Islesboro	7.0	<u>       Е        </u>	~
77-045	FLAT ISLAND	IFW	Islesboro	11.5	 S, D	·
79-047	ST. CROIX ISLAND	ANP	Calais	7.4	<u> </u>	
	FALLS ISLAND				 E	
79-061		NGO,PRI/NGO IFW	Trescott Twp	143.1 6.1	 E	
79-072			Pembroke		E	
79-081		PRI	Pembroke	69.4	E E	
79-085	NN I REYNOLDS POINT	IFW	Edmunds Twp	0.3		
<u>79-126</u>	GOOSE ISLAND	IFW	Eastport	3.7	<u>S, D</u>	
79-128	MATTHEWS ISLAND	PRI?	Eastport	18.1	<u> </u>	~
<u>79-132</u>	SPECTACLE ISLAND	PRI	Eastport	4.8	<u>S, D</u>	•
<u>79-172</u>	BIRCH ISLAND (SOUTH)	FWS	Edmunds Twp	2.1	E	
79-193	FREDS ISLAND	PRI	Trescott Twp	3.4		
79-219	GOOSEBERRY ISLAND	PRI	Lubec	4.5	<u> </u>	
79-222	TALBOT COVE ISLAND (WEST)	IFW	Trescott Twp	4.5	E	
79-228	CARLOS COVE ISLAND	IFW	Trescott Twp	3.8	E	
79-241	HOG ISLAND	NGO	Lubec	12.6	E	
79-279	HOG ISLAND	IFW	Machiasport	30.7	D, E	
79-285	SALT ISLAND	IFW/PRI	Machiasport	73.0	E	
79-290	YELLOW HEAD ISLAND	PRI?	Machias	15.8	E	
79-291	BAR ISLAND	??	Machiasport	49.7	E	
79-297	CAPE WASH ISLAND	PRI	Cutler	21.1	E	~
79-304	LT RIVER ISLAND	US Coast Guard	Cutler	16.9	E	
79-313	OLD MAN ISLAND	FWS	Cutler	5.3	S, D	
79-345	MINK ISLAND	FWS	Cutler	11.2	E	
79-347	CROSS ISLAND	FWS	Cutler	1,474.8	E	
79-351	DBL HEADSHOT (INNER)	FWS	Cutler	8.0	E	
79-352	DBL HEADSHOT (OUTER)	FWS	Cutler	14.5	S, D	
79-356	STONE ISLAND	NGO	Machiasport	57.7	W, E	
79-359	BIG LIBBY ISLAND	IFW	Machiasport	95.6	S, D	
79-360	LITTLE LIBBY	FWS	Machiasport	39.7	D	
79-370	TREAT ISLAND	PRI	Eastport	73.2	E	
79-371	POPES FOLLY	IFW	Lubec	1.7	E	
79-393	HOPE ISLAND	PRI	Roque Bluffs	5.5	E	<b>~</b>
79-410	HARDWOOD ISLAND	PRI	Addison	20.2	E	
79-412	DUCK LEDGE ISLAND	PRI	Addison	1.1	D	✓
79-422	INNER GOOSE ISLAND	IFW	Addison	2.9	E	
79-462	LT RAM ISLAND	PRI	Roque Bluffs	2.0	E	✓
10 702		1 1 1 1		2.0	L	7

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
79-464	FELLOWS ISLAND	PRI	Roque Bluffs	33.0	Е	~
79-475	ROQUE ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport	1,306.7	E	
79-481	LT SPRUCE ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport	84.3	E	
79-488	BALLAST	IFW	Jonesport	3.5	S, D	
79-493	MARK ISLAND	NGO	Jonesport	39.2	E	
79-499	NIPPLE ISLAND	NGO	Jonesport	0.3	D	
79-512	GREAT WASS ISLAND*	PRI/NGO	Beals	2,653.5	E	
79-514	SHEEP ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport	4.2	E	~
<u>79-520</u>	PIG ISLAND	PRI	Beals	54.1	E	
79-523	FRENCH HOUSE ISLAND	PRI	Beals	8.1	E	
79-570	HALIFAX ISLAND	FWS	Jonesport	60.0	D	
79-572	GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Jonesport	2.0	D	
79-573	EAST BROTHERS	FWS	Jonesport	16.8	S, D	
79-574	ANGUILLA ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport	12.9	E	
79-576	PULPIT ROCK	IFW	Jonesport	1.7	S, D	
79-580	DOUBLE SHOT ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport	7.5	E	
79-586	WEST BROTHERS	IFW	Jonesport	12.9	D	
79-600	LITTLE RAM ISLAND	IFW	Beals	13.1	E	
79-601	BIG RAM ISLAND	PRI	Beals	29.3	E	~
79-602	OUTER RAM ISLAND	PRI	Beals	8.6	E	~
79-605	EGG ROCK	IFW	Beals	1.9	D	
79-610	TOMS ISLAND (NORHT)	PRI	Addison	1.6	E	
79-614	INNER SAND ISLAND	FWS	Addison	17.8	 D	
79-619	PLUMMER ISLAND (EAST)	NGO	Addison	8.0	E	
79-621	FLAT ISLAND	PRI	Addison	19.6	S, D	~
79-623	RAM ISLAND	PRI?	Addison	5.7	<u> </u>	
79-626	BIG NASH ISLAND/CONE	PRI	Addison	75.3		~
<u>79-627</u>	NASH ISLAND	PRI/FWS	Addison	16.7	<u> </u>	~
	THE LADLE	PRI	Addison	2.3	<u> </u>	~
<u>79-632</u>					E	•
<u>79-635</u> 79-638	PLUMMER ISLAND (WEST) LITTLE DRISKO	PRI IFW	Addison Addison	<u>13.0</u> 10.9	<u> </u>	
79-662	LT HARDWOOD ISLAND	NGO		5.2	 E	
79-676	FREEMAN ROCK	IFW	Jonesport	1.5	 S, D	
79-679	MINK ISLAND	PRI	Jonesport Beals	2.6	<u> </u>	
79-693	BROWNEY ISLAND	NGO	Beals	39.8	 S, D, E	
79-693		PRI		48.1		~
-	FISHERMAN ISLAND		Beals		<u>S, D</u> E	
<u>79-740</u> 79-742	UPPER BIRCH ISLAND	NGO PRI	Addison Addison	27.5	<u> </u>	
	NIGHTCAP ISLAND	PRI/IFW	Addison	23.9 2.7	 S, D	
<u>79-748</u> 70 751	EAGLE ISLAND	PRI/NGO	Addison	3.5	<u> </u>	
79-751 79-757		NGO		7.2	Ē	
	BOWLINE HEAD	PRI	Harrington		E	~
<u>79-763</u>	STROUT ISLAND		Harrington	20.8		•
79-765		BPL	Harrington	1.0	E	
79-778		PRI	Harrington	0.9	E	<b>~</b>
79-787	PINKHAM ISLAND	PRI	Milbridge	79.6	E	*
79-789	FOSTER ISLAND	PRI	Harrington	322.5	E	
79-820	BAR ISLAND	PRI?	Milbridge	82.2	E	
79-824	BOIS BUBERT ISLAND	FWS/PRI	Milbridge	1,059.3	<u> </u>	
79-832	POP ISLAND	PRI?	Steuben	2.8	E	
79-835	SHEEP ISLAND	PRI	Steuben	7.9	E	<b>*</b> 8

Nationally Significant Nesting Islands in Coastal Maine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2002

CIR #	Island name	OWNER	TOWN	Acres	Values	MCINWR
79-836	SALLY ISLAND	FWS	Steuben	1.3	E	
79-843	EASTERN ISLAND	PRI	Steuben	4.7	S, D	¥
79-903	FLINT ISLAND	NGO	Harrington	136.0	E	
<u>79-906</u>	SHIPSTERN ISLAND	NGO	Harrington	8.0	E	
<u>79-909</u>	TRAFTON ISLAND	PRI/IFW	Harrington	113.2	W	<b>~</b>
<u>79-917</u>	DOUGLAS ISLAND (WEST)	PRI	Milbridge	10.5	Е	
<u>79-918</u>	DOUGLAS ISLAND (MID)	PRI	Milbridge	19.4	E	
<u>79-919</u>	DOUGLAS ISLAND (EAST)	PRI	Milbridge	3.9	E	
79-922	JORDANS DELIGHT	FWS/PRI	Harrington	27.0	S, D	
79-929	GREEN ISLAND	IFW	Steuben	14.2	S, D	
79-933	PETIT MANAN	FWS	Steuben	15.7	S, R, D	
79-935	EGG ROCK	IFW	Milbridge	1.8	D	
<u>81-001</u>	BLUFF ISLAND	NGO	Saco	14.5	S, D	
81-002	STRATTON ISLAND	NGO	Saco	30.0	S, W, R, I	D
<u>81-010</u>	EAGLE ISLAND	PRI	Saco	3.1	S, D	¥
<u>81-015</u>	WOOD ISLAND	NGO/US Coast Guard	Biddeford	43.5	S, D	¥
<u>81-016</u>	STAGE ISLAND	NGO	Biddeford	10.1	D	
81-018	BEACH ISLAND	IFW	Biddeford	3.1	R	
81-025	GOOSEBERRY ISLAND	IFW	Biddeford	1.7	D	
81-040	W GOOSE ROCKS	IFW	Kennebunkport	2.1	R	
81-041	W GOOSE ROCKS	IFW	Kennebunkport	0.4	R	
81-098	GREEN ISLAND	NGO	Kennebunkport	5.8	S, D	
81-101	FOLLY ISLAND	PRI	Kennebunkport	5.4	S, D	<b>~</b>
81-102	BUMPKIN ISLAND	NGO	Kennebunkport	1.7	S, D	
81-181	DUCK ISLAND	FWS	Kittery	8.8	S, D	
81-182	SMUTTYNOSE ISLAND	PRI/FWS	Kittery	40.5	S, D	
81-191	APPLEDORE ISLAND	PRI	Kittery	99.1	S, W, D	<b>~</b>

## **Acoustic Deterrent Policy**

Version 15.05-01

From the careful selection of farm sites and investment in the best technology in everything from cage and net construction to feeding systems, to regular monitoring and sampling of sediment under cage sites, we ensure that all the necessary steps to safeguard the health of our salmon and of the surrounding areas are taken. To make certain that we live up to the commitment of protecting and maintaining the sustainability of the environment in which we operate, we need to establish Best Management Practices and Policies and as such we have developed this Acoustic Deterrent Policy regarding their use.


Acoustic Deterrent Devices (ADDs), also referred to as Acoustic Harassment Devices (AHDs) are equipment used underneath the surface of the water to deter predators away from our cages. While we continue to advance our predator exclusion systems, such as the use of the steel-core nets, redesign of our grid systems and other technologies, including ADDs, predator interactions are unavoidable given the environment in which we operate.

- Any use of an ADD must be first communicated with and approved by the respective Area and/or Production Manager to ensure that all other preventative measures have been taken.
  - Other factors such as the legality to use such devices or the requirements of certification schemes need to be referred to prior to deployment and your Area and/or Production Manager are your best resources to answer these questions.
- To ensure that non-target species are not negatively impacted, we will limit the use of any ADDs during periods of high population densities. As such, the use of ADDs will NOT BE PERMITTED during the months of June through September.
  - o It is imperative that the devices are removed from the water during this time.


This policy supports our commitments to our Environmental Management System.

Michael Szemerda VP Saltwater Operations Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd.

APPENDIX C Site Development Plans



- (2.) THIS PLAN IS A COMPILATION OF THIRD PARTY DATA. DATA WAS PROVIDED BY SWEENEY INTERNATIONAL MARINE CORP. ACKER & DOUCETTE SURVEYING INC. HAS COMPLETED THIS PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "GUIDE TO MARINE FINFISH AQUACULTURE SITE REQUIREMENTS". DATED NOVEMBER 2007.
- (3.) ALL DEPTHS ARE REFERENCED TO CHART DATUM (LOWER LOW WATER, LARGE TIDE). (4.) DEPTH CONTOUR DATA IS BASED ON GARMIN MARINE MAPSOURCE DATA AND BATHYMETRIC SOUNDING DATA PROVIDED BY SWEENEY INTERNATIONAL MARINE CORP.
- (5.) SPOT SOUNDINGS ARE BASED ON SOUNDING DATA PROVIDED BY SWEENEY INTERNATIONAL MARINE CORP. SAID SOUNDINGS WERE CORRECTED TO CHART DATUM FROM G.N.S.S. OBSERVATIONS.
- (6.) NATURAL FEATURES WERE DETERMINED BY NOVA SCOTIA PROPERTY ONLINE MAPPING AND GEONOVA DATA LOCATOR GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION.
- (7.) ONSHORE PROPERTY DATA IS BASED ON NOVA SCOTIA PROPERTY ONLINE MAPPING.
- (8.) ALL BEARINGS SHOWN HEREON ARE GRID BEARINGS AND ARE BASED ON THE NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 (NAD83 CSRS, EPOCH 2010.0) USING THE UNIVERSAL TRANSVERSE MERCATOR PROJECTION, ZONE 20 NORTH (UTM Z2ON).




际


AQUACULTURE SITE AND AS WELL AS ADJACENT PARCELS OF LAND TO THE NORTH, FOR ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION, REFER TO SHEETS 2 THROUGH 7.



)	Bay View View Annapolis Basin Lookoff Provincial Park
	Legend: CALCULATED POINT
	AQUACULTURE SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS showing PROPOSED BOUNDARY AMENDMENT TO LEASE #1039 KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA
	Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted. Dated this 27th day of March, 2019.
-	Jeff Nicke         A&D JOB #300-18-1039           SHEET 1 OF 7 DATE: March 27, 2019
ιE	Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc.
-	Nova Scotia Land Surveyors & D Professional Engineers
	4083 Highway #308, P.O. Box 64 Tusket, Yarmouth County Nova Scotia, Canada BOW 3MO80B Ohio Road, P.O. Box 367 Shelburne, Shelburne County Nova Scotia, Canada BOT 1WO
	Phone: (902) 648-2186 Phone: (902) 875-2110 www.adsurveying.ca info@adsurveying.ca



- - -	Bay View Proposed Site Annapolis Basin
	Annapolis Basin Lookoff Provincial Park
	Legend:         CALCULATED POINT.       .C.P. O         CALCULATED.       .(c.)         N.S. PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER.       .P.I.D. #0000000         NORTHING / EASTING.       .N. / E.         LOCAL REGISTRY NUMBER.       .Book: / Poge:         ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK.       .O.H.W.M.         BOUNDARY DEALT WITH BY THIS PLAN.
	AQUACULTURE SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS SHOWING PROPOSED BOUNDARY AMENDMENT TO LEASE #1039 KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA
	Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted. Dated this 27th day of March, 2019.
	 Jeff
ŀ	A&D JOB #300-18-1039 SHEET 2 OF 7 DATE: March 27, 2019
E	A Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc. Nova Scotia Land Surveyors &
	4083 Highway #308, P.O. Box 64 Tusket, Yarmouth County Nova Scotia, Canada BOB Ohio Road, P.O. Box 367 Shelburne, Shelburne County Nova Scotia, Canada
	BOW 3MO BOT 1WO Phone: (902) 648-2186 Phone: (902) 875-2110



## Annapolis Basin

(Atlantic Ocean)

NAD83 Reference Frame, Epoch 2010.0 (Grid) Canadian Spatial Reference System (CSRS) UTM Zone 20N

Point	Northing	Easting
R.B1	4,948,620.731	281,435.104
R.B2	4,948,630.999	281,624.827
R.B3	4,948,463.461	281,690.636
R.B4	4,947,744.513	281,729.545
R.B5	4,947,724.518	281,360.086
R.B6	4,948,349.493	281,365.608

NAD83 Reference Frame, Epoch 2010.0 (Gr	id)
Canadian Spatial Reference System (CSRS	5)
Geographic Co-ordinates	


Point	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)
R.B1	44°39'27.6296"	65°45'24.2922"
R.B2	44°39'28.1697"	65°45'15.7031"
R.B3	44°39'22.8183"	65°45'12.4616"
R.B4	44°38'59.5875"	65°45'09.5951"
R.B5	44°38'58.5358"	65°45'26.3187"
R.B6	44°39'18.7731"	65°45'27.0277"


Proposed Marine Aquaculture Site Rattling Beach Aquaculture Site Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. Area = 29.08 hectares


## Annapolis Basin


(Atlantic Ocean)












- (2.) THIS PLAN IS A COMPILATION OF THIRD PARTY DATA. DATA WAS PROVIDED BY SWEENEY INTERNATIONAL MARINE CORP. ACKER & DOUCETTE SURVEYING INC. HAS COMPLETED THIS PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "GUIDE TO MARINE FINFISH AQUACULTURE SITE REQUIREMENTS", DATE MONETARING 2002. DATED NOVEMBER 2007.
- (3.) ALL DEPTHS ARE REFERENCED TO CHART DATUM (LOWER LOW WATER, LARGE TIDE).(4.) DEPTH CONTOUR DATA IS BASED ON GARMIN MARINE MAPSOURCE DATA AND BATHYMETRIC SOUNDING DATA PROVIDED BY SWEENEY INTERNATIONAL MARINE CORP.
- (5.) SPOT SOUNDINGS ARE BASED ON SOUNDING DATA PROVIDED BY SWEENEY INTERNATIONAL MARINE CORP. SAID SOUNDINGS WERE CORRECTED TO CHART DATUM FROM G.N.S.S. OBSERVATIONS.
- (6.) NATURAL FEATURES WERE DETERMINED BY NOVA SCOTIA PROPERTY ONLINE MAPPING AND GEONOVA DATA LOCATOR GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION.
- (7.) ONSHORE PROPERTY DATA IS BASED ON NOVA SCOTIA PROPERTY ONLINE MAPPING.
- (8.) ALL BEARINGS SHOWN HEREON ARE GRID BEARINGS AND ARE BASED ON THE NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 (NAD83 CSRS, EPOCH 2010.0) USING THE UNIVERSAL TRANSVERSE MERCATOR PROJECTION, ZONE 20 NORTH (UTM Z20N).







## SHOWN ON SHEET 4 OF 7, TO DEMONSTRATE DETAILS ASSOCIATED WITH THE CAGE INFRASTRUCTURE, ANCHOR BLOCKS AND MOORING LINES, AND SEAFLOOR PROFILE.


CROSS SECTION NOTES:

- (1.) THIS PLAN IS NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES.
- (2.) FOR HORIZONTAL LOCATION OF EACH CROSS SECTION SEE SHEET 4 OF 7.
   (3.) DISTANCES FROM SEA FLOOR MAY VARY DEPENDING ON TIDE AND CURRENT.
- (4.) DISTANCES FROM SEA FLOOR ARE APPROXIMATE ONLY.
- (5.) DEPTHS ARE REFERENCED TO CHART DATUM (LOWER LOW WATER, LARGE TIDE).

Bay View Proposed Site
R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R
Bay Joss And
View Proposed Site
Annapolis Basin
Annapolis Basin Lookoff Provincial Park
Legend:
CALCULATED POINT
TIE LINES:
AQUACULTURE SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS
SHOWING LATERAL CROSS-SECTION KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH
LATERAL CROSS-SECTION
LATERAL CROSS-SECTION KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN,
LATERAL CROSS-SECTION KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or worranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted.
LATERAL CROSS-SECTION KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity
LATERAL CROSS-SECTION KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or worranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted.
LATERAL CROSS-SECTION KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or worranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted.
LATERAL CROSS-SECTION KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted. Dated this 27th day of March, 2019.
LATERAL CROSS-SECTION KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or worranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted. Dated this 27th day of March, 2019. A&D JOB #300-18-1039
LATERAL CROSS-SECTION KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted. Dated this 27th day of March, 2019. A&D JOB #300–18–1039 SHEET 5 OF 7 DATE: March 27, 2019
LATERAL CROSS-SECTION KELLY COVE SALMON LTD. / RATTLING BEACH LOCATED AT: BAY VIEW (RATTLING BEACH), ANNAPOLIS BASIN, DIGBY COUNTY, NOVA SCOTIA Client's Statement I, Jeff Nickerson of Kelly Cove Salmon Ltd. acknowledge and confirm that Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc., make no representations or warranties with respect to the adequacy or the integrity of the proposed cage and mooring design of system depicted. Dated this 27th day of March, 2019. A&D JOB #300-18-1039 SHEET 5 OF 7 DATE: March 27, 2019 Acker & Doucette Surveying Inc. Nova Scotia Land Surveyors &








Sweeney International Marine Corp. 46 Milltown Blvd. St. Stephen, NB E3L 1G3

> NRC-IMB Research Facilities 1411 Oxford Street Suite 367-368 Halifax, NS B3H 3Z1



## 4.0 APPLICANT'S SCOPING REPORT



NS1039 Rattling Beach– Boundary Amendment

Report on Public Engagement during Scoping

Kelly Cove Salmon 134 North Street. Bridgewater. NS. B4V2V6



#### Introduction

On March 30, 2017, Kelly Cove Salmon (KCS) hosted a public meeting in Digby, Nova Scotia, at the Digby Fire Hall. The meeting ran from 3:30 – 7:00pm. The purpose of the meeting was to provide information about the boundary amendment application for the Rattling Beach site and to answer questions from the public and neighbours about the Rattling Beach site, the proposed boundary amendment, and the company in general.


KCS is seeking a boundary amendment the enable the lease to include the moorings as well as the footprint of the cages as per regulatory requirements. Operationally, the site will continue operating as it has for the last 16 years. Transport Canada has already issued an approval.




#### **Community Engagement**

On Monday, March 6, Jeff Nickerson and Nell Halse made a presentation to the Town of Digby to update the Mayor and Council about KCS NS operations and to explain the background of the Boundary Amendment for Rattling Beach. Advice was sought about setting up a Community Liaison Committee for the Digby area and notified them of the Open House scheduled for March 30, 2017. A similar presentation was made to the Municipal Council on March 13.

The following ad was posted in the regional newspapers for two consecutive weekends prior to the open house.





A greeting station was set-up near the entrance of the fire hall. Visitors were greeted by the Communications team and were asked if they would like to register. Those who registered, were given a ballot for a \$100 gift certificate/draw for the Fundy Restaurant in Digby. Visitors were also asked to fill out an exit survey. A summary of the exit survey is provided near the end of the scoping report.

#### **Company Representatives**

Certification and Compliance: Jennifer Wiper, Corporate Sustainability Manager, answered questions about certification and compliance and had brochures and information on hand.

Feed Manufacturing: Tom Taylor, GM of Northeast Nutrition and Charlotte Feeds, brought jars with samples of feed and feed ingredients and showed a loop of images from the feed mill. He answered questions about feed and feeding practices.

Feed Systems: Kelly Cove staff set up monitors to show live video from the Rattling Beach site's dome camera and underwater cameras.

SimCorp: Two staff from SimCorp had a display showing Environmental Monitoring Program (EMP) related equipment and diagrams showing the boundary amendment.

GMG: Ted Weire, VP Marine Services, and Rey Acebedo brought a model cage set up along with some net and hardware samples. They explained our farming technology and discussed innovations in moorings, rope and netting.



Human Resources: Betty Nickerson, Human Resources Manager, responded to questions about career opportunities and provided application forms and Cooke materials.

NSERC Industrial Research Chair in Sustainable Aquaculture: Dr. Jon Grant and Dr. Ramon Filguera provided a poster illustrating the NSERC Industrial Research Chair project. They answered questions relating to Research & Development and modeling work.

Fish Health/Farm Operations: Michael Szemerda, VP Saltwater Operations, Jeff Nickerson, NS Production Manager, and Scott Leslie, NS Area Manager, were on hand to respond to questions about farm operations and fish health.

A food station was set-up at back of the room: Samples of smoked salmon, fruit, sweets, coffee, tea were available for visitors.

#### Attendees

9 visitors, besides presenters and members of the Kelly Cove Salmon staff, attended the open house. Three people filled out application forms for employment. Three people were neighbours from the Rattling Beach site area who were interested in learning more about the farm.

Only one person refused to register or offer his name. He came with his mother and would only say that he was from the Annapolis area and that he was a boat builder and former urchin diver. He came with pre-conceived perceptions about the sector, of our fish health practices and of the environmental monitoring program. He did spend time with the experts in the room but questioned their science, experience and expertise and left with the general comment that we don't do enough testing. He did not fill out an exit survey.



#### **Exit Survey Summary**

The following is a summary of questions and responses to the exit survey.

- 1. What was your primary reason for attending the Open House?
  - Employment, Looking for work, Employment Opportunities, Education, Just wondering about the site, Just interested in the site, Live near the site.
- 2. Did you find the information provided helpful?
  - Very helpful, Yes, very much, It was very informative, Yes, Very interesting.
- 3. Was there a particular area that interested you?
  - The feed station, Feeding system, The career section, Food ingredients. The feeding, Feeding and how it works.
- 4. What outstanding questions or suggestions might you have about our farms in the Digby area or about our operations in Nova Scotia?
  - None.
- 5. Would you be interested in serving on a Community Liaison Committee?

No.

- 6. Any further comments?
  - Candied salmon sure is good! You should offer it to your neighbours sometimes.



### Photos from the Open House



**Figure 2.** KCS staff and presenters at the open house.



**Figure 3.** Dr. Jon grant and Michael Szemerda at the open house.





**Figure 4.** Jennifer Wiper and Betty Nickerson at the open house.



**Figure 5.** Tom Taylor speaking to meeting attendees.

Rattling Beach NS1039 Finfish Marine Aquaculture Development Plan






Figure 6. Information on research being conducted through NSERC,



**Figure 7.** Feed samples from NNI and Charlotte Feeds.


Rattling Beach NS1039 Finfish Marine Aquaculture Development Plan





**Figure 8.** Scott Leslie of KCS.





Rattling Beach NS1039 Finfish Marine Aquaculture Development Plan